OFFICIAL NOTICE AND AGENDA
of a meeting of a City Board, Commission, Department Committee, Agency, Corporation, Quasi-Municipal Corporation or Sub-unit thereof.

Notice is hereby given that the Community Development Authority of the City of Wausau, Wisconsin will hold a regular or special meeting on the date, time and location shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting of the:</th>
<th>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY BOARD OF THE CITY OF WAUSAU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date/Time:</td>
<td>Thursday, January 4, 2018 at 3:30 pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2105 Second Street, Wausau, Wisconsin 54403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>Russell Wilson, Andy Witt, Sarah Napgezek, David Welles, Gary Gisselman, Dorothy Korzilius, Becky McElhaney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGENDA ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION (All items listed may be acted upon)

1. Call the Meeting to Order
2. Public Comment
3. Approval of Minutes from 11/28/2017
   Consideration and Possible Action on Resolution 18-001 Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) Certification Submission to the US Department of HUD for Fiscal Year Ending 12/31/2017
4. Discussion and Possible Action on the Disposition of Property at 2102 N Second Street
5. Discussion and Possible Action on the Riverview Terrace Service Contract
6. Operational Issues and Current Activities
   - Occupancy Overview
   - Board Member Vacancy Update
   - 2017 Riverview Towers LLC Audit
7. Adjournment
   4:30 - 6:30 - Commissioner Planning Session (no action or votes will be taken)

Russell Wilson - Committee Chair

This Notice was posted at City Hall and faxed to the Daily Herald newsroom on Friday, December 29, 2017 @ 8:00 am. Questions regarding this agenda may be directed to Christian Schock, Community Development Director, 715-261-6683.

Please note that, upon reasonable notice, efforts will be made to accommodate the needs of disabled individuals through appropriate aids & services. For information or to request this service, contact the City Clerk at 407 Grant Street, Wausau, Wisconsin 54403 or 715-261-6620

It is possible that members of, and possible a quorum of members of other committees of the City of Wausau may be in attendance at the above mentioned meeting to gather information. No action will be taken by any such group at the above mentioned meeting other than the committee specifically referred to in this notice.

Distribution List: City Website, City Hall, Media, Committee Members, etc.
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
MINUTES

11/28/17

MEMBERS PRESENT: Russ Wilson, Sarah Napgezek, Andy Witt, David Welles, Becky McElhaney, Gary Gisselman

MEMBERS ABSENT: Dorothy Korzilius

OTHERS PRESENT: Juli Birkenmeier, Betty Noel, Barbara Betz, Anne Jacobson

(1) Call Meeting to Order
Meeting was called to order at 12:01 pm in the WCDA Boardroom at 550 E Thomas Street, Wausau, Wisconsin.

(2) Public Comment
None

(3) Approval of Minutes from 10/24/2017
Napgezek made a motion to approve the minutes from 10/24/17. Witt seconded. Motion was approved unanimously.

(4) 2018 Fair Market Rents and Payment Standards – HCV Program
Barbara Betz, Housing Project Coordinator, reviewed the 2018 Fair Market Rents and Payment Standards for the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program and explained that they are reviewed on an annual basis to maintain program compliance. She stated that although payment standards must remain within 90-110% of the published Fair Market Rents, a PHA may adjust them to better assist families with finding suitable housing. Betz reported that no adjustments were necessary and the 2018 payment standards would remain the same as 2017.

(5) 2018 Flat Rents, Utility Allowances and Passbook Rate Update – Public Housing Program
Birkenmeier explained that HUD requires the WCDA to review the Public Housing flat rents, utility allowances, and passbook rate on an annual basis. She furthered that each public housing family is offered the option of paying a flat rent or income-based rent at admission and at each annual re-certification. Birkenmeier stated that the calculations used for the flat rents and utility allowances are based on HUD guidance and are outlined in the WCDA’s Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy (ACOP). She reported there was only one necessary change made to the one bedroom utility allowance.

(6) Update on Status of Gregory Moss v. Riverview Towers, LLC, HUD Discrimination Complaint, Case No. 05-17-8680-8/6/4
Anne Jacobson was present to review a November 13, 2017, closure letter received from HUD. The letter explained that the complainant, Gregory Moss, had formally withdrawn his housing discrimination complaint on October 31, 2017, and HUD had terminated its investigation, and administratively closed the complaint.
(7) Finance Committee Report
Witt provided his Chair report from the November 17, 2017, Finance Committee meeting and reviewed the WCDA’s investment sheet as of September 30, 2017. He also reported that as of November 15, 2017, all remaining accounts at Associated Bank had been closed and the funds transferred to River Valley Bank. Witt added that all accounts will now earn interest.

Witt reviewed the Capital Fund Program (CFP) grant summary stating that the 2016 grant has a disbursement balance of $140,860.72, and the 2017 grant of $190,979 remains whole. Witt furthered that the obligation and disbursement deadlines for both grants are being met and are in full compliance.

Witt then reviewed the third quarter financial statements and summaries for the WCDA’s budgeted programs as well as the third quarter financials for Riverview Towers LLC, and further reviewed the items of note contained in his report.

Witt reported that 2018 program budgets were reviewed in detail by the Finance Committee and all were approved for presentation and final approval by the full commission.

(8) Consideration and Possible Action on Resolution #17-008 – 2018 Public Housing Budget
Noel stated that the Public Housing budget is the only budget that requires a board resolution. She briefly reviewed the budget, highlighting budget line items of interest. Welles moved to approve Resolution 17-008. Witt seconded. Motion carried on a roll call vote 6-0.

(9) Consideration and Possible Action on 2018 Riverview Terrace Budget
Noel reviewed the 2018 Riverview Terrace Budget noting an increase in depreciation allowing for the 2017 improvements. She said the current meal contract expires 12/31/17, and although a renewal has not yet been finalized, Schock is in negotiations with Aspirus to renew at the current rate. Welles moved to approve the 2018 Riverview Terrace budget. Napgezek seconded. Motion approved unanimously.

(10) Consideration and Possible Action on 2018 Riverview Towers LLC Budget
Noel then reviewed the 2018 Riverview Towers budget. She said 2018 is the first year budgeting for RAD funding, replacing operating subsidy, and expects there to be a budgeting learning curve. Welles moved to approve the 2018 Riverview Towers LLC budget. Napgezek seconded. Motion approved unanimously.

(11) Consideration and Action on 2018 Housing Choice Voucher Budget
Noel then reviewed the 2018 Housing Choice Voucher budget stating that the budget included input from both Hawkins Ash and Barb Betz, HCV Project Coordinator. She furthered that this budget remains challenging as HAP income can only be used for rental assistance payments to landlords and may not be used for program administration. Welles moved to approve the 2018 Housing Choice Voucher budget. Napgezek seconded. Motion approved unanimously.

(12) Consideration and Possible Action on Resolution #17-009 – 2017 Doubtful Accounts for the HCV Program
Noel presented Resolution 17-009 reflecting one HCV doubtful account totaling $2,956 and sought board approval to have the balance written off for 2017. Noel stated that staff will make continued efforts to collect outstanding balances via tax intercepts. Witt moved to approve Resolution 17-009. Napgezek seconded the motion. Roll call vote 6-0.
(13) Consideration and Possible Action on Resolution #17-010 – 2017 Doubtful Accounts for the Riverview Towers, LLC Program
Noel also presented Resolution 17-010 reflecting one Riverview Towers LLC doubtful account totaling $170 and sought board approval to have this balance written off for 2017. She added that there were no 2017 Public Housing balances requiring write-off. Witt moved to approve Resolution 17-010. Napgezek seconded the motion. Roll call vote 6-0.

(14) Operational Issues and Current Activities
   Occupancy Overview – Noel reported 94%+ occupancy at Riverview Towers and Terrace and 100% occupancy at the Scattered Sites.
   Riverview Terrace Meal Contract Renewal – Noel reported that Schock is currently negotiating the renewal of the Riverview Terrace meal contract with Aspirus.
   Status of Sale of 2102 N Second Street – Noel provided email communication from Dave Sether which states that he is withdrawing his January 13, 2017, proposal to purchase 2102 N Second Street. Welles suggested that the WCDA not pursue continued negotiations with Sether. The board agreed not to take further action on this agenda item until Schock can provide further insight.
   2018 Commissioner Retreat Update – Noel reiterated that the retreat will be held on January 4, 2018. She said a board meeting will begin at 3:30 pm with the retreat to follow. Schock is handling retreat details and preparing materials for the event.

(15) Adjourn
Meeting adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,

Russ Wilson
Chairperson
Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) Certification

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 12 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and you are not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

This collection of information is required by 24 CFR sec 985.101 which requires a Public Housing Agency (PHA) administering a Section 8 tenant-based assistance program to submit an annual SEMAP Certification within 60 days after the end of its fiscal year. The information from the PHA concerns the performance of the PHA and provides assurance that there is no evidence of seriously deficient performance. HUD uses the information and other data to assess PHA management capabilities and deficiencies, and to assign an overall performance rating to the PHA. Responses are mandatory and the information collected does not lend itself to confidentiality.

**Instructions**
Respond to this certification form using the PHA's actual data for the fiscal year just ended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Name</th>
<th>Wausau Community Development Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For PHA FY Ending (mm/dd/yyyy)</td>
<td>12/31/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Date (mm/dd/yyyy)</td>
<td>02/01/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check here if the PHA expends less than $300,000 a year in Federal awards [ ]
Indicators 1 - 7 will not be rated if the PHA expends less than $300,000 a year in Federal awards and its Section 8 programs are not audited for compliance with regulations by an independent auditor. A PHA that expends less than $300,000 in Federal awards in a year must still complete the certification for these indicators.

**Performance indicators**

1. **Selection from the Waiting List.** (24 CFR 982.54(d)(1) and 982.204(a))
   (a) The PHA has written policies in its administrative plan for selecting applicants from the waiting list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>Yes [✓]</th>
<th>No [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

   (b) The PHA's quality control samples of applicants reaching the top of the waiting list and of admissions show that at least 98% of the families in the samples were selected from the waiting list for admission in accordance with the PHA's policies and met the selection criteria that determined their places on the waiting list and their order of selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>Yes [✓]</th>
<th>No [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2. **Reasonable Rent.** (24 CFR 982.4, 982.54(d)(15), 982.156(f)(7) and 982.507)
   (a) The PHA has and implements a reasonable written method to determine and document for each unit leased that the rent to owner is reasonable based on current rents for comparable unassisted units (i) at the time of initial leasing, (ii) before any increase in the rent to owner, and (iii) at the HAP contract anniversary if there is a 5 percent decrease in the published FMR in effect 60 days before the HAP contract anniversary. The PHA's method takes into consideration the location, size, type, quality, and age of the program unit and of similar unassisted units, and any amenities, housing services, maintenance or utilities provided by the owners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>Yes [✓]</th>
<th>No [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

   (b) The PHA's quality control sample of tenant files for which a determination of reasonable rent was required shows that the PHA followed its written method to determine reasonable rent and documented its determination that the rent to owner is reasonable as required for (check one):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>At least 98% of units sampled</th>
<th>80 to 97% of units sampled</th>
<th>Less than 80% of units sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3. **Determination of Adjusted Income.** (24 CFR part 5, subpart F and 24 CFR 982.516)
   The PHA's quality control sample of tenant files shows that at the time of admission and reexamination, the PHA properly obtained third party verification of adjusted income or documented why third party verification was not available; used the verified information in determining adjusted income; properly attributed allowances for expenses; and, where the family is responsible for utilities under the lease, the PHA used the appropriate utility allowances for the unit leased in determining the gross rent for (check one):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>At least 90% of files sampled</th>
<th>80 to 89% of files sampled</th>
<th>Less than 80% of files sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4. **Utility Allowance Schedule.** (24 CFR 982.517)
   The PHA maintains an up-to-date utility allowance schedule. The PHA reviewed utility rate data that it obtained within the last 12 months, and adjusted its utility allowance schedule if there has been a change of 10% or more in a utility rate since the last time the utility allowance schedule was revised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>Yes [✓]</th>
<th>No [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5. **HQS Quality Control Inspections.** (24 CFR 982.405(b))
   A PHA supervisor (or other qualified person) reinspected a sample of units during the PHA fiscal year, which met the minimum sample size required by HUD (see 24 CFR 985.2), for quality control of HQS inspections. The PHA supervisor's reinspected sample was drawn from recently completed HQS inspections and represents a cross section of neighborhoods and the work of a cross section of inspectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>Yes [✓]</th>
<th>No [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. **HQS Enforcement.** (24 CFR 982.404)
   The PHA's quality control sample of case files with failed HQS inspections shows that, for all cases sampled, any cited life-threatening HQS deficiencies were corrected within 24 hours from the inspection and, all other cited HQS deficiencies were corrected within no more than 30 calendar days from the inspection or any PHA-approved extension, or, if HQS deficiencies were not corrected within the required time frame, the PHA stopped housing assistance payments beginning no later than the first of the month following the correction period, or took prompt and vigorous action to enforce the family obligations for (check one):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA Response</th>
<th>At least 98% of cases sampled</th>
<th>Less than 98% of cases sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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7. Expanding Housing Opportunities. (24 CFR 982.54(d)(5), 982.153(b)(3) and (b)(4), 982.301(a) and 983.301(b)(4) and (b)(12)). Applies only to PHAs with jurisdiction in metropolitan FMR areas.

Check here if not applicable

(a) The PHA has a written policy to encourage participation by owners of units outside areas of poverty or minority concentration which clearly delineates areas in its jurisdiction that the PHA considers areas of poverty or minority concentration, and which includes actions the PHA will take to encourage owner participation.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

(b) The PHA has documentation that shows that it took actions indicated in its written policy to encourage participation by owners outside areas of poverty and minority concentration.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

(c) The PHA has prepared maps that show various areas, both within and neighboring its jurisdiction, with housing opportunities outside areas of poverty and minority concentration; the PHA has assembled information about job opportunities, schools and services in these areas; and the PHA uses the maps and related information when briefing voucher holders.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

(d) The PHA's information packet for voucher holders contains either a list of owners who are willing to lease, or properties available for lease, under the voucher program, or a list of other organizations that will help families find units and the list includes properties or organizations that operate outside areas of poverty or minority concentration.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

(e) The PHA's information packet includes an explanation of how portability works and includes a list of neighboring PHAs with the name, address and telephone number of a portability contact person at each.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

(f) The PHA has analyzed whether voucher holders have experienced difficulties in finding housing outside areas of poverty or minority concentration and, where such difficulties were found, the PHA has considered whether it is appropriate to seek approval of exception payment standard amounts in any part of its jurisdiction and has sought HUD approval when necessary.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

8. Payment Standards. The PHA has adopted current payment standards for the voucher program by unit size for each FMR area in the PHA jurisdiction and, if applicable, for each PHA-designated part of an FMR area, which do not exceed 110 percent of the current applicable FMR and which are not less than 90 percent of the current FMR (unless a lower percent is approved by HUD). (24 CFR 982.503)

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

Enter current FMRs and payment standards (PS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0-BR FMR</th>
<th>1-BR FMR</th>
<th>2-BR FMR</th>
<th>3-BR FMR</th>
<th>4-BR FMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>457</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS 492</td>
<td>PS 560</td>
<td>PS 720</td>
<td>PS 955</td>
<td>PS 1050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the PHA has jurisdiction in more than one FMR area, and/or if the PHA has established separate payment standards for a PHA-designated part of an FMR area, attach similar FMR and payment standard comparisons for each FMR area and designated area.

9. Annual Reexaminations. The PHA completes a reexamination for each participating family at least every 12 months. (24 CFR 982.516)

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

10. Correct Tenant Rent Calculations. The PHA correctly calculates tenant rent in the rental certificate program and the family rent to owner in the rental voucher program. (24 CFR 982, Subpart K)

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

11. Precontract HQS Inspections. Each newly leased unit passed HQS inspection before the beginning date of the assisted lease and HAP contract. (24 CFR 982.305)

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

12. Annual HQS Inspections. The PHA inspects each unit under contract at least annually. (24 CFR 982.405(a))

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

13. Lease-Up. The PHA executes assistance contracts on behalf of eligible families for the number of units that has been under budget for at least one year.

PHA Response Yes ☑ No ☐

14a. Family Self-Sufficiency Enrollment. The PHA has enrolled families in FSS as required. (24 CFR 984.105)

Apply only to PHAs required to administer an FSS program.

Check here if not applicable ☑

PHA Response

a. Number of voluntary FSS slots (Count units funded under the FY 1992 FSS incentive awards and in FY 1993 and later through 10/20/1998. Exclude units funded in connection with Section 8 and Section 23 project-based contract terminations; public housing demolition, disposition and replacement; HUD multifamily property sales; prepaid or terminated mortgages under section 236 or section 221(d)(3); and Section 8 renewal funding. Subtract the number of families that successfully completed their contracts on or after 10/21/1988.)

or, Number of mandatory FSS slots under HUD-approved exception
b. Number of FSS families currently enrolled

14b. Percent of FSS Participants with Escrow Account Balances. The PHA has made progress in supporting family self-sufficiency as measured by the percent of currently enrolled FSS families with escrow account balances. (24 CFR 984.305) Applies only to PHAs required to administer an FSS program.

Check here if not applicable ✓

PHA Response Yes No

Portability: If you are the initial PHA, enter the number of families with FSS escrow accounts currently enrolled in your FSS program, but who have moved under portability and whose Section 8 assistance is administered by another PHA.

Deconcentration Bonus Indicator (Optional and only for PHAs with jurisdiction in metropolitan FMR areas).

The PHA is submitting with this certification data which show that:

1. Half or more of all Section 8 families with children assisted by the PHA in its principal operating area resided in low poverty census tracts at the end of the last PHA FY;
2. The percent of Section 8 mover families with children who moved to low poverty census tracts in the PHA's principal operating area during the last PHA FY is at least two percentage points higher than the percent of all Section 8 families with children who resided in low poverty census tracts at the end of the last PHA FY;

or

3. The percent of Section 8 mover families with children who moved to low poverty census tracts in the PHA's principal operating area over the last two PHA FYs is at least two percentage points higher than the percent of all Section 8 families with children who resided in low poverty census tracts at the end of the second to last PHA FY.

PHA Response Yes No If yes, attach completed deconcentration bonus indicator addendum.

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the above responses under the Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) are true and accurate for the PHA fiscal year indicated above. I also certify that, to my present knowledge, there is no evidence to indicate seriously deficient performance that casts doubt on the PHA's capacity to administer Section 8 rental assistance in accordance with Federal law and regulations.

Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

Executive Director, signature

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/04/2018

Chairperson, Board of Commissioners, signature

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/04/2018

The PHA may include with its SEMAP certification any information bearing on the accuracy or completeness of the information used by the PHA in providing its certification.
SEMAP Certification - Addendum for Reporting Data for Deconcentration Bonus Indicator

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) ____________________________

PHA Name ____________________________

Principal Operating Area of PHA ____________________________
(The geographic entity for which the Census tabulates data)

Special Instructions for State or regional PHAs Complete a copy of this addendum for each metropolitan area or portion of a metropolitan area (i.e., principal operating areas) where the PHA has assisted 20 or more Section 8 families with children in the last completed PHA FY. HUD will rate the areas separately and the separate ratings will then be weighted by the number of assisted families with children in each area and averaged to determine bonus points.

1990 Census Poverty Rate of Principal Operating Area __________________

Criteria to Obtain Deconcentration Indicator Bonus Points
To qualify for bonus points, a PHA must complete the requested information and answer yes for only one of the 3 criteria below. However, State and regional PHAs must always complete line 1) b for each metropolitan principal operating area.

1) ________
   a. Number of Section 8 families with children assisted by the PHA in its principal operating area at the end of the last PHA FY who live in low poverty census tracts. A low poverty census tract is a tract with a poverty rate at or below the overall poverty rate for the principal operating area of the PHA, or at or below 10% whichever is greater.
   ________
   b. Total Section 8 families with children assisted by the PHA in its principal operating area at the end of the last PHA FY.
   ________
   c. Percent of all Section 8 families with children residing in low poverty census tracts in the PHA’s principal operating area at the end of the last PHA FY (line a divided by line b).

   Is line c 50% or more? Yes □ No □

2) ________
   a. Percent of all Section 8 families with children residing in low poverty census tracts in the PHA’s principal operating area at the end of the last completed PHA FY.
   ________
   b. Number of Section 8 families with children who moved to low poverty census tracts during the last completed PHA FY.
   ________
   c. Number of Section 8 families with children who moved during the last completed PHA FY.
   ________
   d. Percent of all Section 8 mover families with children who moved to low poverty census tracts during the last PHA fiscal year (line b divided by line c).

   Is line d at least two percentage points higher than line a? Yes □ No □

3) ________
   a. Percent of all Section 8 families with children residing in low poverty census tracts in the PHA’s principal operating area at the end of the second to last completed PHA FY.
   ________
   b. Number of Section 8 families with children who moved to low poverty census tracts during the last two completed PHA FYs.
   ________
   c. Number of Section 8 families with children who moved during the last two completed PHA FYs.
   ________
   d. Percent of all Section 8 mover families with children who moved to low poverty census tracts over the last two completed PHA FYs (line b divided by line c).

   Is line d at least two percentage points higher than line a? Yes □ No □

If one of the 3 criteria above is met, the PHA may be eligible for 5 bonus points.

See instructions above concerning bonus points for State and regional PHAs.
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RESOLUTION NUMBER 18-001

SECTION EIGHT MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (SEMAP) SUBMISSION TO HUD

WHEREAS, the Wausau Community Development Authority is a Public Housing Agency within the City of Wausau that administers a Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program; and

WHEREAS, the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has established the Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) for the purpose of measuring the annual performance of public housing agencies (PHAs) that administer the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program; and

WHEREAS, SEMAP provides procedures for HUD to identify PHA management capabilities and deficiencies in order to target monitoring and program assistance more effectively; and

WHEREAS, the CDA has compiled Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program data as required for the annual submission to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Wausau Community Development Authority Commission hereby adopts Resolution 18-001 approving the submission of the annual SEMAP report to HUD for Fiscal Year ending December 31, 2017.

AYES___________ NAYS___________

Approved Date _______________________

Community Development Authority
Of the City of Wausau

__________________________________________  ________________________________
Russell W. Wilson                Christian Schock
Chairperson                        Director