



## OFFICIAL NOTICE AND AGENDA

of a meeting of a City Board, Commission, Department, Committee, Agency, Corporation, Quasi-Municipal Corporation, or Sub-unit thereof.

**Meeting:** CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND STREET MAINTENANCE COMMITTEE

**Members:** Lisa Rasmussen (C), Sherry Abitz, Gary Gisselman, Karen Kellbach, Robert Mielke.

**Location:** Council Chambers, City Hall, 407 Grant Street.

**Date/Time:** Thursday, November 12, 2015, at 5:30 p.m.

- 
1. Approve minutes of the October 8, 2015 meeting.
  2. PUBLIC HEARING: Discussion and possible action on petition to vacate the alley abutting 215 East Thomas Street west to Emter Street.
  3. PUBLIC HEARING: Discussion and possible action on petition to vacate a portion of the alley bounded by Prospect Avenue, Genrich Street, Dunbar Street and Single Avenue.
  4. PUBLIC HEARING: Discussion and possible action on petition to vacate a portion of 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue.
  5. Discussion and possible action on the 2015 Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan.
  6. Discussion and possible action on Relocation Order regarding the Thomas Street Project.
  7. Discussion and possible action on Request for Proposals for Engineering Design Services for South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue from Thomas Street to Stewart Avenue. (Proposals were opened by the Board of Public Works on October 27, 2015.)
  8. Discussion and possible action on easement from Wisconsin Public Service for facilities located along 1<sup>st</sup> Street
  9. Discussion and possible action on obtaining a drainage easement for 1215 Maple Hill Road.
  10. Future agenda items for consideration.
- Adjourn.

***The next regular meeting is scheduled for December 10, 2015.***

LISA RASMUSSEN, Chairperson

---

THIS NOTICE POSTED AT CITY HALL AND FAXED TO CITY PAGES AND DAILY HERALD: November 5, 2015 at 2:30 p.m.

It is possible that members of and possibly a quorum of members of other committees of the Common Council may be in attendance at this meeting to gather information. No action will be taken by any such groups at this meeting other than the committee specifically referred to in this notice.

Upon reasonable notice, effort will be made to accommodate the needs of disabled individuals through appropriate aids and services. For information or to request this service, contact the City Clerk at (715) 261-6620.

Agenda distribution: Committee members, Council members, Assessor, Attorney, Clerk, Community Development, Engineering, Finance, Inspections, Mayor, Parks, Planning, Public Works, County Planning, Daily Herald, City Pages, Wausau School District, Wausau Area Events, Becher-Hoppe Associates, AECOM, CWE, REI, Glenn Speich, Judy Bayba, Scholfield Group, Evergreen Civil Engineering, Schoen Engineering Solutions, Clark Dietz, Inc.

## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND STREET MAINTENANCE COMMITTEE

---

Date of Meeting: October 8, 2015, at 5:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of City Hall.

Members Present: Rasmussen, Mielke, Gisselman, Kellbach, Abitz

Also Present: Lindman, Wesolowski, Gehin

In compliance with Chapter 19, Wisconsin Statutes, notice of this meeting was posted and received by the *Wausau Daily Herald* in the proper manner.

Noting the presence of a quorum, at approximately 5:30 p.m. Chairperson Rasmussen called the meeting to order.

### **CONSENT AGENDA**

- A. Approve minutes of the September 10, 2015 meeting**
  - B. Action on an initial resolution to hold a public hearing to vacate a portion of 77<sup>th</sup> Avenue**
  - C. Action authorizing Downtown Snow/Ice Removal**
  - D. Action on a petition for annexation – Eau Claire River LLC, South 60<sup>th</sup> Avenue (076-2907-323-0977, Town of Stettin)**
- 

Gisselman requested the removal of Item D from the consent agenda to obtain background information.

Mielke moved to approve consent agenda items A, B and C. Kellbach seconded and the motion carried unanimously 5-0.

Dan Higginbotham, 156 Kent Street, stated he works for PGA, Inc. The owner of PGA also owns Eau Claire River LLC. They purchased about 100 acres of property in January of 2013. Of the 100 acres, approximately 30 acres were located within the City of Wausau. This is the Murray Machinery building and associated property. They did not purchase the Murray Machinery building but purchased the surrounding property. The acreage consists of some upland and some low land along the Rib River with 70 acres located within the Town of Stettin. They are looking to incorporate this land with the upland already located within the City of Wausau.

Gisselman moved to approve the petition for annexation for Eau Claire River LLC on South 60<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Kellbach seconded and the motion carried unanimously 5-0.

### **Discussion and possible action on Real Estate Services Contract for right-of-way property acquisitions required related to the Thomas Street Project**

Rasmussen indicated that proposals were sent out and MSA surfaced to the top as the company to assist with real estate negotiations. Lindman added that MSA has provided a contract with costs of \$276,625 for services to prepare for acquisitions. This amount does not include the purchase of properties or relocation services. Lindman and Jacobson have reviewed the contract. Minor edits, which were mostly grammatical and not regarding the content of the contract, were requested. Jacobson will take one more look at the contract before final approval. This is intended to go to Council on October 27.

Abitz questioned if the additional five properties for acquisition would be included in this contract. Rasmussen confirmed that the properties are included.

Mielke moved to approve the Real Estate Services Contract for right-of-way property acquisitions required related to the Thomas Street project, contingent upon final legal review. Abitz seconded.

Lindman stated if the State guidelines are followed when purchasing the properties there is a cap on relocation services. If we follow just the State guidelines, future Federal funding could not be applied for the remaining Thomas Street corridor. An option is to follow the Uniform Federal guidelines, which does not have a cap on relocation costs. This would be more expensive but would allow the City to pursue future Federal funding. Abitz indicated it would be better to follow Federal guidelines for this section of Thomas Street and allow the opportunity to apply for Federal funding for the east section of Thomas Street. Rasmussen explained that staff met internally this week with MSA to discuss the steps of the acquisition process. Lindman and Jacobson are in the process of developing a document showing what will happen and when, which committees they will go to and in what order. This will insure that the process is the same for everyone. Lindman will have an outline of the process prepared when this item goes to Council on October 27<sup>th</sup>. Additionally, a public informational meeting is proposed for November 4<sup>th</sup> or 9<sup>th</sup>, which will be the first step in starting this process.

Gisselman wanted the committee to understand that for some properties there will be appraisals for full property acquisition and for strip acquisition. This would be a future Council decision. Abitz questioned if the Finance Committee has the information they requested so they can vote on the additional five properties. Lindman explained that the information requested by Finance is incorporated in the MSA contract.

There being a motion and a second, motion to approve the Real Estate Services Contract for right-of-way property acquisitions required related to the Thomas Street project, contingent upon final legal review carried unanimously 5-0.

#### **Discussion and possible action on right-of-way plat for the Thomas Street Project**

Lindman indicated that the proposed plat has been reviewed by Engineering staff. Staff had comments based upon the preliminary construction drawings. There may be some minor edits to the plat. Abitz asked if any properties on the north side between 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue would be affected by not having enough space in their driveway. She noted that currently there are at least three properties with vehicles parked up to the sidewalk. Bruce Gerland, AECOM, stated from 15<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the north side of the road the back of walk will be matched to the current location, with two exceptions. There is a transition on the northeast corner of 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue and the northwest corner of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue where a small sliver of land will be acquired. This does not impact any driveways. Abitz stated there are one or two homes that have a large tree in their front yard and questioned if they would be affected. Gerland stated that will be determined as they go through the final design process. Rasmussen stated the plat mirrors the plan that the committee has been looking at for some time and the process cannot move forward in any capacity unless there is a plat approved.

Abitz moved to approve the right-of-way plat for the Thomas Street Project. Mielke seconded and the motion carried unanimously 5-0.

The public informational meeting will likely be held on November 9 at GD Jones School.

#### **Discussion and possible action on researching and implementing traffic calming measures on future road designs**

Rasmussen explained that this item came to CISM from Parking & Traffic after there was some controversy surrounding the project on Spring Street. Those residents were concerned that new roads flow faster and people travel them more and tend to travel faster. As roads are rebuilt, Parking & Traffic

would like staff to look at traffic calming measures. Lindman included pictures of traffic calming measures in the packet and noted that staff can look at incorporating these measures depending upon the street being reconstructed. Lindman added that many of these measures would increase the cost of the project. Rasmussen stated that she has driven Spring Street during different times of the day. It seems that the problem exists before and after school. This is not an issue that is unique to Spring Street and she does not know if it has to do with the design. School zones are monitored year round by the Police and this may be more of an enforcement issue. She did not see a design flaw with Spring Street as all the other new streets look the same way. Some of the traffic calming measures, such as bump outs, hinders snow removal. She would not want to commit to building these items into every street, but it is something that can be looked at as an option.

Abitz cannot remember if Parking & Traffic has asked for additional Police statistics for Spring Street. She feels it depends upon the activities taking place at the school and believes the Police should look at it and report back. It may also be due to the new school year and people trying out the area. Possibly by the end of the school year there will not be the same issues. Rasmussen noted that when she has attended a neighborhood meeting or a Police Department sector meeting, she has heard residents from all over town complaining about speeding. She noted that when standing still all traffic looks fast and it is difficult to gauge the actual speed of a vehicle. A real problem should be verified before installing measures like this. Gisselman attended Parking & Traffic as he has concerns with certain streets, especially Scott Street. He feels presenting this to Engineering so areas can be looked at is a good step. Abitz noted that once Thomas Street was overlaid the traffic flow increased.

The committee agreed that traffic calming measures will be looked at for future projects.

### **Update on 2015 Street Construction Projects**

---

Gehin stated that Project A, 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue from Nehring Street to the dead end, has been completed, with the exception of asphalt paving. Staff has been working on trying to obtain the right-of-way on the south end of the project. The road from Helmke Street to Nehring Street has not been disturbed. This segment will not be completed until the right-of-way has been acquired. Project B consists of Grant Street and 11<sup>th</sup> Street. 11<sup>th</sup> Street was completed in August. Grant Street is completed with the exception of the last layer of asphalt, which is scheduled for early next week. Project E consists of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Crocker Street. On 7<sup>th</sup> Street the curb, gutter and drive approaches have been placed along with the first layer of asphalt. Curb and gutter has been placed on Crocker Street from 8<sup>th</sup> Street to 13<sup>th</sup> Street with drive approaches to be installed next week. The first layer of asphalt will be placed the following week. The street will be completed by the end of October. The Sidewalk Project has been completed with the exception of the walk on the north side of Bridge Street. The sidewalk has been poured and the approach work at the railroad crossing will be completed by DPW. A sidewalk shaving contract for approximately \$10,000 will be opened on October 13 with completion by November 18.

Wesolowski provided an update on the Asphalt Paving Project. Grant Street has not been completed at this time. The transformer has been set and the sidewalk re-poured. The curb can now be installed around the transformer. DPW will be adding a storm sewer inlet. Completion of Grant Street is at least two weeks out. 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue north of Campus Drive has been milled and will be paved next week. The site is being capped for the 1<sup>st</sup> Street Extension Project. It is anticipated that curb and gutter will be started on October 19. This project will run until snow falls. There is no traffic through this area and no residents.

Rasmussen noted that we are in a better position than last year. She believes making the projects smaller has helped. Wesolowski noted that Project A has been extended but this was by no fault of the contractor.

Abitz questioned what will happen if it snows. Gehin replied if there is snow before the end of October, the contractor will wait for it to melt and then pave. The asphalt plant is open until November 15. Gehin added that the Stinchfield Creek Project is completed with the exception of restoration work.

**Discussion and possible action on recommended supplemental budget request items and potential reductions for items requested in the original 2016 budget draft**

Rasmussen stated that the Committee of the Whole requested various committees look further at respective budgets to see if items could be reduced. Lindman indicated that DPW has four items as supplemental budget requests. Large Item Pick Up will be left to Finance. The other three items were \$2,200 for additional training funds for cross training in the Inspections Department, street sealcoating for \$57,000, and epoxy painting for streets. After speaking with Finance, it was determined that if the majority of the streets were in a TID, the sealcoating and painting could be funded out of the TID. Lindman feels this is a viable option and that would eliminate that supplemental budget request. Sealcoating could be reduced by \$33,000 and the difference made up in TID districts. In the Engineering budget there was \$10,000 for Other Professional Services. This could be removed as there most likely will be a carryover from the capital budget. There was also \$4,000 in the Engineering budget for testing services. The testing services will now be tied to the street projects. Overall the budget reduction proposed is \$47,000 and there would not be any supplemental budget requests remaining.

Abitz questioned if removing the \$2,200 for Inspections Department training meant they would not receive any training in 2016. Lindman explained that this was for cross training. There is small budget for training that Inspections will work with. Rasmussen indicated that this fund could possibly be restored mid-year if there are savings from other projects.

Gisselman questioned epoxy painting. Lindman explained it is the yellow or white striping on the streets. DPW uses latex, a water based paint, and an epoxy-based paint lasts significantly longer. Gisselman spoke earlier this year to Lindman regarding the painting around Athletic Park. People are getting ticketed for parking to close to a crosswalk. He feels that the neighborhoods that get a lot of visitors need the painting as a guide on where they cannot park. Lindman explained there is a pavement marking project under the Engineering infrastructure budget. The hope is to coordinate the two projects together. Abitz said that Parking & Traffic discussed striping of parking stalls on 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue and questioned if that was included. Wesolowski believes that will be completed with TID funds.

Rasmussen indicated that street projects are borrowed for. If fewer streets are completed the savings may reduce the amount borrowed but do not reduce the levy amount. Abitz noted that Neal had wanted to extend the reduction proposals. Lindman stated there was one DPW item on the modification proposal and that was \$345,000 for motor pool. \$163,000 increase in motorpool contract services and \$152,000 in salaries and benefits; about 92% of the \$345,000 requested.

The committee agreed by consensus to remove the supplemental budget requests totaling \$47,000 and directed Lindman to offer this to Finance as CISM's recommendations.

**Future agenda items for consideration**

Abitz questioned when a traffic count on Thomas Street would be completed as there has been a big influx of traffic. Rasmussen agrees that traffic has increased but believes it is back to the previous amount as people had been avoiding the area. The plan from 4<sup>th</sup> to 17<sup>th</sup> has been approved and she feels it is somewhat futile to study. However, she agrees that a study should be done of the east side as there has been difficulty in convincing many that a four lane bridge and road as designed by GRAEF is appropriate.

Abitz noted that the resident at 1<sup>st</sup> and Thomas Street has a planter on the boulevard indicating he does not want people driving on his boulevard. Lindman will address this. Rasmussen stated the traffic study of the east side does not have to be placed on an agenda. Engineering staff can accomplish it during the best time of the year. Gehin indicated the City has two traffic counters and either now or in the spring would be a good time for placement.

### **Adjourn**

---

Mielke moved to adjourn the meeting. Kellbach seconded and the motion carried unanimously 5-0. Meeting adjourned at approximately 6:20 p.m.

Agenda Item No.

2

*STAFF REPORT TO CISM COMMITTEE - November 12, 2015*

**AGENDA ITEM**

**PUBLIC HEARING:** Discussion and possible action on petition to vacate the alley abutting 215 East Thomas Street west to Emter Street

**BACKGROUND**

The initial resolution to hold a public hearing was before CISM on May 7, 2015. At that time, the petition submitted only had one signature. The petitioner has since provided a petition with additional signatures. All property owners abutting the alley bounded by Thomas Street, Edwards Street, Emter Street and McCleary Street were notified of this public hearing.


**FISCAL IMPACT**

If this portion of the alley is vacated, the City would not be responsible for future maintenance of the vacated portion.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Depending upon comments received at the public hearing, staff would recommend the vacation of this portion of the alley.


Staff contact: Allen Wesolowski 715-261-6762



cc: Engineering Dept

# PETITION

A Petition TO THE MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WAUSAU, WISCONSIN  
CITY OF WAUSAU CITY CLERK



- Alley Vacation
- Blacktop Paving
- Curb and Gutter
- Sanitary Sewer
- Street Light
- Street Vacation
- Storm Sewer
- Watermain
- Zoning Change
- Other as Follows \_\_\_\_\_

The undersigned petitioners respectfully request that your honorable body take such action as will cause the:

vacation of the alley from 215 E. THOMAS ST to the west TO Enter Street.

Signature of Electors	Print Name Clearly	Print Home Address	Date of Signing
	Mike Starter	235 E THOMAS	5-26-15
	Randy Hill	249 E Thomas	5-26-15
	Randy Hill	241 E Thomas	5-26-15
	Tris Shelmake	302 Edwards St	5-26-15
	Russ Fuchs	228 Edwards St	5-26-15
	Fai H. Xiong	225 E. THOMAS ST	5-26-15
	LAMONT THAO	215 E. THOMAS ST	5-26-15
		205 E. THOMAS ST	
		220 Edwards ST	
		216 Edwards ST	
		212 Edwards ST	
		206 Edwards ST	
	Gary Costright	237 Thomas	6-5-2015
	Paul Schwantes	204 Edwards St.	6-5-2015

### AFFIDAVIT OF CIRCULATOR

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
 CITY OF WAUSAU LAMONT THAO being duly sworn disposes and says that he is a resident of the affected area, residing at \_\_\_\_\_ in the City of Wausau; that he is personally acquainted with the persons who have signed the foregoing petition; that he knows them to be residents of the affected area; that they signed the same with full knowledge of the contents thereof; that their respective residents are stated therein; that each signer signed the same on the date stated opposite his name; and that he intends to support the petition.

Filed in the Office of the City Clerk and sworn to before me this 26<sup>th</sup> day of MAY, 2015

Mary K. Goede  
Signature of City Clerk or designee

(Signature of Circulator)

215 E. THOMAS ST  
(Address of Circulator)

June 10, 2015  
Ann: Kark

**Alley Proposed To Be Vacated**

**E THOMAS ST**

**215 E.  
THOMAS ST**

**EDWARDS ST**

**EMTER ST**

**MCCLEARY ST**



0 50 100 200  
Feet


Map Date: April 22, 2015

# CITY OF WAUSAU


Marathon County, Wisconsin

## Legend


- Existing Right of Way
- Existing Building



<b>AGENDA ITEM</b>
<p><b>PUBLIC HEARING:</b> Discussion and possible action on petition to vacate a portion of the alley bounded by Prospect Avenue, Genrich Street, Dunbar Street and Single Avenue</p>
<b>BACKGROUND</b>
<p>The Community Development Department has been working with the Longfellow Neighborhood Association to develop a tot lot on the corner of Prospect Avenue and Single Avenue. To properly build this tot lot, Community Development is requesting the alley be vacated abutting 801 and 805 Dunbar Street.</p>
<b>FISCAL IMPACT</b>
<p>There is no fiscal impact in the vacation of a portion of this alley as the alley is unimproved.</p>
<b>STAFF RECOMMENDATION</b>
<p>Depending upon comments received at the public hearing, staff would recommend vacating a portion of the alley bounded by Prospect Avenue, Genrich Street, Dunbar Street and Single Avenue.</p>
<p>Staff contact: Allen Wesolowski 715-261-6762</p>



**Alley  
Proposed To Be Vacated**




Map Date: May 14, 2015

# CITY OF WAUSAU

Marathon County, Wisconsin

- Legend**
- Bridge
  - Building
  - Right of Way
  - Road (Paved)





## MEMO

TO: CISM Committee Members

FROM: Tammy Stratz, Community Development Manager

RE: Proposed Alley Vacation

DATE: August 5, 2015

The Community Development Department has been working with the Longfellow Neighborhood Association to develop a Tot Lot on the corner of Prospect and Single Avenues – which is a City-owned lot. (See attached proposed Tot Lot rendering.)

Through the investigation of this lot, it was discovered that there is an alley that was never vacated when the street was reconstructed. Through the reconstruction, the alley lost its access onto Prospect Avenue. As the attached photo shows, there is no evidence that vehicular traffic has been utilizing this alley until farther west down the alley. To properly build this Tot Lot, we are requesting the alley be vacated only on the south side of 801 and 805 Dunbar Street. I have spoken to both of the owners and, at that time, they did not have any reason to deny this request.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to call me at 715-261-6682.

Thank you for your consideration.




# Land Information Mapping System



**Legend**

- Parcel Annotations
- Parcels
- Land Hooks
- Section Lines/Numbers
- Right Of Ways
- Municipalities



**Notes**


DISCLAIMER: The information and depictions herein are for informational purposes and Marathon County-City of Wausau specifically disclaims accuracy in this reproduction and specifically admonishes and advises that if specific and precise accuracy is required, the same should be determined by procurement of certified maps, surveys, plats, Flood Insurance Studies, or other official means. Marathon County-City of Wausau will not be responsible for any damages which result from third party use of the information and depictions herein or for use which ignores this warning.

THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION

193.51 0 193.51 Feet

User\_Defined\_Lambert\_Conformal\_Conic

PROSPECT AVENUE



SINGLE AVENUE

4' HT. FENCE

4' HT. FENCE

6' HT. FENCE

ENTRY

TRASH

DRINKING FOUNTAIN

PICNIC TABLES

PLAY EQUIPMENT


BENCH

BENCH

MAINTENANCE GATE

# LONGFELLOW NEIGHBORHOOD TOT LOT

911 PROSPECT STREET





**AGENDA ITEM**

**PUBLIC HEARING:** Discussion and possible action on petition to vacate a portion of 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue

**BACKGROUND**

Due to the reconfiguration of 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue, the City has initiated a petition to vacate a portion of 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue as shown on the attached map.


**FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fiscal impact in vacating this right-of-way.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Depending upon comments received at the public hearing, staff would recommend vacating the portion of 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue as shown on the attached map.

Staff contact: Allen Wesolowski 715-261-6762



**Proposed Right of Way To Be Vacated**




Map Date: September 3, 2015

# CITY OF WAUSAU

Marathon County, Wisconsin

**Legend**

-  Vacate
-  Existing Right of Way
-  Existing Road (Paved)
-  Existing Building



**AGENDA ITEM**

Discussion and possible action on the 2015 Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

**BACKGROUND**

The Wausau Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has been engaging in an update to its bicycle and pedestrian plan. The previous plan was adopted by the City in 2010. Many recommendations from that plan for the city of Wausau have been implemented. The current plan builds on the previous plan in recognizing the importance of providing diverse transportation networks to meet safety, mobility, livability, environmental, and economic goals. The plan provides science-based recommendations for improving biking and walking in the community. A brief presentation will be given at the CISM meeting highlighting elements of the plan particularly relevant to the city of Wausau. The MPO, composed of leaders from the metropolitan communities, adopted the plan at their September meeting. The committee will be asked to recommend to the Common Council adoption of the plan.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

Adoption of the plan does not constitute financial obligations.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends approval of the plan.

Staff contact: Brad Lenz 715-261-6753

# Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

---

DRAFT – July 2015



# Table of Contents

## Chapter 1: Plan Overview

Introduction .....	1-1
The “Five E’s” .....	1-3
Vision, Goals and Objectives .....	1-4
Policy Statements .....	1-7

## Chapter 2: Evaluation

The Role of Evaluation .....	2-1
Population Characteristics .....	2-3
Summary of Existing Plans and Policies .....	2-6
Demand Analysis .....	2-7
Traffic Stress Analysis .....	2-9
Traffic Safety Analysis.....	2-12
Summary of Opportunities and Challenges.....	2-18

## Chapter 3: Engineering

The Role of Engineering.....	3-1
Inventory of Current Bicycle Routes & Infrastructure.....	3-2
Bicycle Network Recommendations .....	3-4
Bicycle Facility Types .....	3-6
Pedestrian Priority Improvement Areas .....	3-12
Crossings and Paths for Future Evaluation .....	3-15

## Chapter 4: Encouragement

The Role of Encouragement.....	4-1
Inventory of Current Encouragement Efforts .....	4-2
Inventory of Current and Potential Encouragement Partners.....	4-5
Target Encouragement Audience.....	4-6
Encouragement Themes .....	4-7
Recommendations for Encouragement Initiatives .....	4-8

## Chapter 5: Education

The Role of Education .....	5-1
Inventory of Current Education Efforts.....	5-2
Inventory of Current and Potential Education Partners .....	5-5
Target Education Audience.....	5-6
Recommended Education Messages.....	5-7
Recommendations for Education Initiatives.....	5-9

## Chapter 6: Enforcement

The Role of Enforcement .....	6-1
Inventory of Current Enforcement Efforts .....	6-3
Target Enforcement Behaviors .....	6-4
Primary Enforcement Messages.....	6-4
Recommendations for Enforcement Initiatives .....	6-5

## Chapter 7: Implementation

Implementation Strategies .....	7-2
Bicycle Network Action Plan .....	7-4
Pedestrian Improvement Action Plan.....	7-5

## Appendix A: Summaries of Relevant Plans and Policies

Statewide Documents.....	A-2
Regional Documents.....	A-5
Local Planning Documents.....	A-8
Municipal Codes.....	A-11

## Appendix B: Traffic Stress Analysis Background and Methodology

Typical Methods for Calculating Level of Service .....	B-1
Types of Bicyclists and the New "Typical Bicyclist" .....	B-1
Level of Traffic Stress Methodology.....	B-3
Rating Rural Roads.....	B-5

## Appendix C: Law Enforcement Continuum of Training

Background .....	C-1
Approach .....	C-1
Components .....	C-2

# Chapter 1

# Plan Overview

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## Introduction

In urban areas throughout the U.S. and especially in Wisconsin, there is growing interest in improving transportation infrastructure to support biking and walking. Individual communities and government agencies at all levels recognize a need to provide diverse transportation networks to meet safety, mobility, livability, environmental, and economic goals. Biking and walking are proven strategies to meet these goals and they provide the added benefits of being healthy and enjoyable options for people to get to work, school, and to other destinations. Many people in the Wausau metro area have actively embraced biking and walking for transportation and recreational purposes and many more are likely to be interested in biking and walking should facilities be improved or constructed to meet their needs.



"Cedar Creek Trail, Rothschild" by Dennis Helke.

The Wausau area is a regional trade center, a regional hospital and health center, and home to a University of Wisconsin two-year campus, a technical college, major manufacturers, and a national insurance company. All of these employers have impacts on biking and walking as they are major destinations and potential supporters of the biking and walking network. It is clear there is a keen interest in biking and walking in the Wausau area. From a regional perspective, the Wausau area is ideally located in the transition to "Up North" where biking goes into a much more active phase during the summer and early fall. The area's proximity to the Wisconsin River—one of the main long distance biking corridors in the state connecting Wausau with the Wisconsin lake district—yields a significant amount of untapped potential.

This plan provides a coordinated, multi-jurisdictional strategy for enhancing conditions and providing inter-city links for biking and walking in support of the Wausau area's transportation, quality of life, and tourism goals. It does this by addressing all types of biking and walking trips—from a short walk across the street, to a longer bike trip to rural Marathon County or Rib Mountain or across the Wisconsin River.



"Fit for Two: Easy As Riding a Bike" by Dan Young/Daily Herald Media.

## Role of Marathon County and the Wausau MPO in Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility

The Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (Wausau MPO) is the federally-designated transportation planning agency for the Wausau metro area. It is closely aligned with Marathon County and is staffed by Marathon County employees. With the formation of the Wausau MPO Bicycle & Pedestrian Sub-Committee in 2006, the Wausau Area MPO has made a continued commitment to improving biking and walking throughout the metro area. The Bicycle & Pedestrian Sub-Committee has linked transportation planners, city planners, public works directors, park and recreation directors, law enforcement officials, and public health educators with local bike clubs, bike shop owners, and area bicycle enthusiasts.

The Wausau MPO has also demonstrated its support for biking and walking through its development of the 2009 Wausau Area MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan and the support of various implementation measures taken by the MPO itself and jurisdictions within the metro area. The 2009 Plan identified several general policies and a 105-mile metropolitan bicycle route network and signage system that spans across the entire Wausau metro area. Now, with over 600 custom bicycle route signs that are color-coded and numbered by route, the Marathon County Bicycle Route System is a prominent, visible representation of the community's investment in biking. The new bicycle route signage system has not only produced increased awareness for biking, it has transformed the Wausau metro area into a bicycle-friendly community.



Bike Fixtations (top) and the 105-mile metropolitan bicycle route system (above) are two of the Wausau MPO's more visible contributions to walking and biking in the Wausau metro area. Photos by Aaron Ruff.

## Purpose of this Plan

Since 2009, the tool box of engineering best practices to retrofit roadways to improve biking conditions has expanded significantly to include treatments such as shared lane markings, buffered bike lanes, green bike lanes, left turn bike boxes, and bicycle boulevards, among others. As such, there is a need to conduct a thorough assessment of the 2009 network within the context of the existing and future transportation system.

The Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Sub-Committee, Marathon County staff, and a team of consultants specializing in bicycle and pedestrian planning developed this new plan, which has a broader reach and emphasizes pedestrian mobility and biking for transportation purposes.

With this Plan, the Wausau area is taking a holistic approach to community well-being and quality of life. This Plan will reinforce these values and be designed to serve all users, including children, the elderly, persons with disabilities, and those wishing to use non-motorized travel modes for commuting. To ensure implementation, the recommendations made by this Plan provide details describing the type of improvement to be made, the method of implementation, and the probable cost of construction.

## The “Five E’s”

This Plan—from the process followed to the organization of this document—is based on the “Five E’s” of bicycle and pedestrian planning. Considering each of the “Five E’s” results in a thorough understanding of the issues at hand and leads to the development of comprehensive strategies to improve safety, enhance mobility, and increase the number of people walking and biking. The “Five E’s” are described below.



**Evaluation** efforts, which seek to quantify the impact of the other “E’s,” occur at the beginning of the planning process and during implementation. Evaluation efforts may include:

- Measuring the growth of bicycle and pedestrian facilities in a region
- Measuring the rate of biking in an area or the number of users on a specific facility
- Evaluating crash data for patterns or frequency



**Engineering** refers to physical infrastructure. This is the category that is typically thought of when people think about bicycle and pedestrian plans. Engineering recommendations are typically divided into short-term, medium-term, and long-term priorities based on cost, ease of implementation, and other factors. Engineering recommendations may include:

- On-street facilities such as bike lanes and paved shoulders
- Off-street paths, sidewalks, and crosswalk improvements
- Directional and wayfinding signage
- Anything physical in nature



**Encouragement** activities focus on increasing biking and walking through fun and interesting activities. Encouragement efforts seek to demonstrate that biking and walking are valid modes of transportation. Encouragement activities may include:

- Bike to Work Week and Bike and Walk to School Day activities
- Ciclovias (closing a street for a few hours and allowing biking, walking, skating, etc.)
- Community bike rides
- Bike share systems
- Maps, brochures, and other ways of providing information to users



**Education** efforts typically focus on teaching all transportation users (drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians) how to safely interact. Education may focus on teaching bicyclists, particularly children, how to properly interact with motorists and how to avoid the most dangerous situations that commonly occur for bicyclists. Motorist education typically focuses on reminding motorists of the rules of the road and how to properly interact with bicyclists and pedestrians. Education efforts may include:

- Bike rodeos and helmet fairs
- Public Service Announcements (PSAs)
- Driver’s education



**Enforcement** activities focus on enforcing the rules of the road for all users (motorists, bicyclists and pedestrians). Enforcement also prioritizes having links between the law enforcement community and the biking community. Enforcement activities may include:

- Efforts to reduce speeding
- Efforts to increase yielding to pedestrians
- Efforts to reduce leading bicycle/pedestrian crash types
- Efforts to reduce red light/stop sign running
- New training programs for law enforcement officers

# Vision, Goals, and Objectives

## Vision Statement

A vision statement describes an ideal future scenario that can be realized if the proper actions are effectively implemented. The following vision statement has been developed to provide focus to the multiple agencies, organizations, and individuals working to enhance biking and walking in the Wausau area over the next ten to twenty years.

### Vision Statement

The Wausau area will be a place in which each community and major destination is connected via low-stress on-street bikeways, rural roads, shared-use paths, and sidewalks. The active transportation system will foster a culture of health, safety, and mutual-respect, in which biking and walking are viable, desirable options for people of all ages and abilities.

Several actions to enhance the Wausau area for biking and walking have been initiated in the past, including previous regional and local bicycle and pedestrian planning efforts, the construction of paths and bike lanes, and the recent development of the metropolitan bicycle route system. This plan represents a major step forward in achieving this vision.

## Goals & Objectives

The goals of this plan closely follow and build upon the goals of the 2009 Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan. While the objectives needed to be updated due to changing conditions and the partial implementation of the 2009 plan, the goals themselves are still relevant.

*(Numerical listing for reference purposes only, ordering does not suggest order of importance.)*

### Goal #1

Develop a well-connected bicycle and pedestrian network that links a variety of facilities together into a cohesive transportation system that accommodates users of all ages and abilities, including those with disabilities and those that cannot drive.

### Objectives

- a. To continue the development of the newly-established 105-mile metropolitan bicycle route network by determining and providing appropriate low-stress bicycle accommodations along each route.
- b. To link the Mountain-Bay Trail in eastern Marathon County to Rib Mountain and central Wausau via shared-use paths and regional bikeways.
- c. To capitalize on the availability of easements and access corridors to enhance the existing regional trail network throughout and beyond Marathon County.

### Goal #2

Increase the utilization, availability, and demand for funding to improve bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

### Objectives

- a. To target resources for bicycle and pedestrian improvements to areas of greatest transportation need.
- b. To cooperatively identify and successfully pursue available grants.

### Goal #3

Design roads to be compatible with surrounding uses and be pedestrian, bicycle, and transit friendly.

#### Objectives

- a. To adopt regional and local Complete Streets policies that require adequate accommodation of bicyclists and pedestrians when a street is constructed or reconstructed.
- b. To identify appropriate bicycle accommodations for streets and roads based on motor vehicle traffic volumes and speeds, available pavement and right-of-way width, and potential bicycle use.
- c. To adopt uniform bicycle facility design standards across the region to provide consistent and continuous accommodations.
- d. To provide continuous, safe, and accessible sidewalks and street crossings along all streets in cities and villages and along key rural roads to connect destinations.

### Goal #4

Reduce the number and severity of crashes with particular emphasis on reducing motor vehicle-bicycle and motor vehicle-pedestrian conflicts and crashes.

#### Objectives

- a. To increase reporting and tracking of motor vehicle-bicycle and motor vehicle-pedestrian crashes throughout the Wausau metro area.
- b. To reduce speeding, red light and stop sign running, and failure to yield right-of-way by motorists and bicyclists alike.
- c. To increase the media attention given to bicycle, pedestrian, and automobile responsibilities.
- d. To identify countermeasures to improve safety and minimize common crash types and high-crash areas.

### Goal #5

Provide adequate education, encouragement, evaluation, and enforcement programs to supplement facilities improvements.

#### Objectives

- a. To increase educational opportunities to educate pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists about rights and responsibilities on roadways and shared-use facilities.
- b. To encourage healthy lifestyles and reduce obesity rates, promote active transportation, and create advocates.
- c. To increase the safety of transportation facilities by identifying and implementing key enforcement strategies.
- d. To measure the performance of programs and outcomes of actions in order to redirect implementation as needed.

### Goal #6

Enhance intergovernmental cooperation and coordination for improving multimodal transportation.

#### Objectives

- a. To work jointly with multiple jurisdictions in planning, funding, and designing regional trail and on-street bikeway facilities.
- b. To increase political buy-in by engaging elected officials and residents in the development and utilization of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
- c. To work cooperatively in developing grant-writing workshops, maintenance seminars, and training sessions.
- d. To integrate the bicycle and pedestrian transportation network with linkages to mass transit facilities and automobile modes of travel.

## Goal #7

Produce bicycle- and pedestrian-friendly development standard supplements to include in the development review process for local communities reviewing new developments.

### Objectives

- a. To ensure Complete Streets are built when transportation facilities are originally installed to prevent costly retrofitting.
- b. To promote connectivity to destinations and promote alternative methods of transportation within neighborhoods.
- c. To require secure bicycle parking at all new employment centers with 30 or more employees and encourage adequate bicycle parking outside existing structures.

## Goal #8

Enhance the livability of the Wausau area by improving quality-of-life issues related to transportation throughout the region by a variety of users.

### Objectives

- a. To showcase the natural and scenic beauty of the Wausau area through appropriate placement and development of multimodal transportation resources.
- b. To build on the current multimodal transportation system to provide viable transportation choices and increase the desirability of the Wausau area.
- c. To promote economic vitality by utilizing and preserving access to natural features within the region.
- d. To increase the amount of facilities along routes and trails (including benches, rest areas, trailheads).

## Goal #9

Increase the numbers of people who walk or ride a bicycle to work or school, for shopping and utilitarian trips, and for recreation purposes.

### Objectives

- a. To work with the Bicycle Federation of Wisconsin, local certified instructors, or other groups to increase bicycle education.
- b. To encourage provision of ample secure bicycle parking in commercial areas that is convenient to business entrances and visible from the street.
- c. To improve walking conditions in area business districts and school neighborhoods by restriping crosswalks, installing crosswalk signals, and slowing traffic.
- d. To create a more enticing walking environment by maintaining paths and sidewalks and providing separation (terraces or barriers) between these facilities and automobile traffic.
- e. To engage workplaces and schools in encouraging walking and biking through events, incentives, and appropriate end-of-trip amenities for bicycle and pedestrian commuters.

## Policy Statements

The following policy statements reflect the position of the Wausau MPO and indicate the agency's primary implementation roles. The following policy statements are lettered for easy reference.

### A. The Wausau MPO will adopt and implement a Complete Streets policy and encourages individual communities to do the same.

Ideally, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and infrastructure should be included with all street projects when they are initially constructed, or when streets are reconstructed. Including bicycle and pedestrian facilities at this time is less expensive than retrofitting facilities as stand-alone projects. This approach is known as "Complete Streets," which is a process, not a specific outcome, and is therefore sensitive to the context in which the project occurs. For example, a low to moderate traffic rural road might not need sidewalks and bike lanes, but adding paved shoulders to accommodate bicyclists may be warranted.

### B. The Wausau MPO will prioritize funding and assistance toward projects that:

1. **Reduce gaps created by physical barriers, including the Wisconsin River, major highways, and railroads.** The Wisconsin River and its tributaries, US-51/IH-39, WIS-29, various railroads, and other transportation facilities pose significant barriers to bicyclists and pedestrians. It is important to provide crossings for bicyclists and pedestrians (either as part of a street crossing or as a standalone overpass/underpass) where needed to improve connectivity and increase access. Whenever a limited-access road is being constructed or reconstructed, the Wausau MPO, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT), and local jurisdictions should assess cross-access needs and build overpasses and underpasses accordingly (as part of the larger project). In general, a crossing of some sort (street or bicycle and pedestrian overpass/underpass) should be provided at least every one-half mile in developed areas.
2. **Expand the connected, low-stress bicycle and pedestrian network to increase access and improve safety.** The bicycle and pedestrian system should be expanded—with a focus on reducing gaps in the system—to provide adequate connectivity for bicycle and pedestrian needs. Priority will be given to projects that align with the recommendations in Chapter 3. The provision of on-street bikeways and the selection of accommodation type should be based on traffic volumes and speeds to reduce stress levels for bicyclists.
3. **Are designed based on state and national best practices.** All bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure provided by municipalities should be designed based on the various guidelines provided by WisDOT, as well as the 2012 AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities (AASHTO Guide). While it is recommended that the AASHTO Guide serve as a set of minimum standards, the NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide (NACTO Guide) may also be used to design innovative bicycle infrastructure that surpasses the AASHTO minimum standards.

### C. The Wausau MPO will support the efforts of cities, villages, and towns by sharing knowledge, facilitating communication, and providing guidance.

Numerous organizations are responsible for making the Wausau metro area a better place to walk and bike, including municipalities, Marathon County and the Wausau MPO, WisDOT, and non-profit groups. The Wausau MPO will continue to develop and support such relationships by supporting training efforts geared toward regional, county, and local planners and engineers; providing technical assistance to local planners and engineers, as capable; and encouraging communication between adjacent communities.

### D. The Wausau MPO will coordinate education and encouragement efforts with partners to reach broader audiences.

It is important that all users—bicyclists, pedestrians, and drivers alike—understand how to safely interact with each other on the area's roads and streets. There are many organizations and groups other than the Wausau MPO that come into contact with bicyclists and other road users, such as the Wausau Wheelers Bike Club, the Central Wisconsin Offroad Cycling Coalition (CWOCC), schools, and law enforcement agencies. Leveraging the contacts made by these groups is a

good opportunity to further spread the education and encouragement messages. Marathon County and the Wausau MPO will continue to maintain, update, and expand the BicycleWausau.org website, which serves as a regional resource for information regarding biking.

**E. The Wausau MPO will support encouragement, education, and enforcement efforts by developing and distributing materials via the media and online.**

Marathon County and the Wausau MPO have produced multiple public service announcements and other media items over the last few years that provide bicycle and pedestrian safety information. These items have been distributed via television, radio, print media, and the BicycleWausau.org website. Marathon County and the Wausau MPO will continue to produce such materials in coordination with the encouragement, education, and enforcement activities outlined in this plan and possibly additional activities developed by others.

**F. The Wausau MPO will support the provision of training for law enforcement officers and local planners and engineers.**

Most law enforcement officers across the country, as well as in the Wausau metro area, have never received training related to bicycle and pedestrian safety. Furthermore, local planners and engineers may be unfamiliar with state and national best practices pertaining to the planning and design of bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure. The Wausau MPO will support the provision of training for law enforcement officers, planners, and engineers as needed, such as by coordinating trainers, suggesting training topics, and serving as a general resource.

**G. The Wausau MPO will support communities applying for bicycle friendly and walk friendly community status.**

The League of American Bicyclists ranks applicant communities on their level of “bicycle friendliness” on a scale from “Honorable Mention” through “Platinum.” Similarly, the Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center (PBIC) awards communities that improve and prioritize pedestrian safety, access, mobility and comfort with either a bronze, silver or gold designation. Both programs provide a roadmap to enhance conditions for active transportation in a community. The application processes helps communities recognize their strengths and weaknesses regarding biking and walking, and the responses from the League of American Bicyclists and PBIC help guide each community in improving conditions for biking and walking. In 2014, the Wausau MPO applied for bicycle friendly community status and received an honorable mention. The Wausau MPO encourages communities—whether individually or collectively—to work toward and apply for both awards and provide support for communities that wish to apply, such as by reviewing applications and providing suggestions for minor improvements.

**H. The Wausau MPO will seek opportunities to increase funding allocated to bicycle and pedestrian programs.**

Numerous policy recommendations in this plan necessitate additional funding in order to be implemented—including allocating staff time to prepare outreach materials, staff training, and the development and implementation of a broad-reaching education program. In addition, the availability of program funding should be increased to support school districts and communities that wish to develop Safe Routes to School plans and programs.

**I. The Wausau MPO will review this plan on a regular basis and update it as needed.**

This plan will be reviewed on an annual basis in order to track implementation. As major projects are implemented, new priorities should be identified. The Plan as a whole should be updated every five to ten years as projects are implemented, travel patterns changes, and the Wausau area continues to evolve.

# Chapter 2

# Evaluation

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## The Role of Evaluation

The development of concepts, strategies, and priorities as part of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is dependent on a thorough evaluation and understanding of the context in which the planning occurs as well as the existing conditions in terms of infrastructure, demand, safety, and other factors.

This chapter includes a review of context (population characteristics and summary of existing plans and policies), an analysis of current conditions (demand analysis and overview of crash history), and a summary of opportunities and constraints in the Wausau metro area.




Members of the planning team measuring street width as part of its field work performed in September 2014.

### Study Area

The Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) defines Metropolitan Planning Areas (MPA) for purposes of transportation planning in metro areas. By definition, MPA boundaries are the same as the boundaries within which MPOs operate. These boundaries change over time as the urban area grows. Therefore, this geographic area will be referred to hereafter as the MPO. Figure 1 shows the study area for this plan, including the communities that fall wholly or partially within the study area.

Figure 1



## Population Characteristics


This section describes the demographics of the Wausau MPO area. For each category, a chart or table is provided along with an explanation of the data and its importance to bicycle and/or pedestrian issues. Unless otherwise noted, all data are from the 2012 American Community Survey (ACS) for the Wausau Metropolitan Statistical Area (Wausau MSA) administered by the US Census Bureau and were accessed through the Census Reporter project at [www.censusreporter.org](http://www.censusreporter.org).

### Current and Historic Population

The Wausau urbanized area surpassed a population of 50,000 by the 1980 Census, triggering the designation of the area as an MPA and the creation of the MPO (which was formally established in 1983). In 2010, the population of the MPO had grown to 89,261.<sup>1</sup> However, data for the exact population of the MPO in 1980, 1990, and 2000 are not readily available. Therefore, it is helpful to consider county-level data for historic trends.

Table 1: Marathon County and Wausau Area MPO Population by Census Year

Year	Marathon County		Wausau Area MPO
	Total Population	Annualized Growth	Total Population
2012	134,735	0.25%	
2010*	134,063	0.64%	89,261
2000*	125,834	0.87%	
1990*	115,400	0.37%	
1980*	111,270	1.33%	50,000+
1970*	97,457		



\*Decennial Census figures for Marathon County from 2010 Wisconsin State Profile published by the Census Bureau (accessed at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/cph-2-51.pdf>)

The Wausau area has seen a stable population base in recent decades. 2012 Census estimates place the total Marathon County population at 134,735. Since 1980 the region's annual growth rate has not been above 1 percent (see Table 2).

Although there is some variation in growth rates among the municipalities that comprise the MPO area, no municipality experienced sustained growth or decline greater than 2 percent per year. The highest growth rate in the MPO area from 2000 to 2012 was in the village of Kronenwetter at about 1.88 percent annually.

Table 2: Population by MPO Municipality

		2000*	2010*	2012*	Annualized Growth
Cities	Mosinee	4,063	3,988	4,000	-0.13%
	Schofield	2,117	2,169	2,224	0.39%
	Wausau	38,426	39,106	39,122	0.15%
Villages	Kronenwetter	5,369	7,210	7,163	1.88%
	Rothschild	4,970	5,269	5,254	0.44%
	Weston	12,079	14,868	14,786	1.41%
Towns	Bergen	615	641	616	0.01%
	Maine	2,407	2,179	2,019	-1.76%
	Mosinee	2,146	2,069	2,123	-0.09%
	Rib Mountain	7,556	6,964	6,874	-0.87%
	Stettin	2,191	2,477	2,527	1.05%
	Texas	1,703	1,759	1,768	0.30%
	Wausau	2,214	2,190	2,441	0.74%
	Weston	514	592	559	0.65%

\* 2000 figures from decennial census; 2010 figures for cities and villages from decennial census; 2010 figures for towns and all 2012 figures from 2010 and 2012 ACS respectively.


<sup>1</sup> According to the Federal Highway Administration's MPO Database, <http://www.planning.dot.gov/Summary.asp?ID=55198300>

## Age and Gender

Population by age is a useful set of statistics to consider when analyzing biking and walking trips and computing rates. For example, knowing the age pyramid for the study area is helpful in analyzing bicyclist and pedestrian crashes. Understanding the share of the population held by each age and gender group allows the analysis to identify which age ranges experience a disproportionate share of crashes.

Figure 2 illustrates how Marathon County's population is divided between age and gender groups. The length of the bar (and label on each bar) indicates the percentage of the total population that falls in that group. For example, the bar on the left that is fourth from the bottom shows that 3.5 percent of the population is female and between the ages of 15 and 19. The bar on the right that is second from the top shows that 1 percent of the population is male age 80 to 84.

Figure 2: Wausau MSA Population by Age and Gender



Data source: 2012 American Community Survey (ACS)

## Bicycle & Pedestrian Mode Share


The American Community Survey (ACS) is the best and most reliable dataset relating to how people commute to work in Wausau. The survey includes questions about the modes of transportation that people use to get around. The results indicate the relative magnitude of walking and biking trips made in the region (that is, their mode share).

There are, however, some limitations to the ACS data. The survey asks “thinking about the previous week, what was your primary mode of transportation to work?” The narrow scope of this question presents some issues for accurately determining mode share:

- If someone drove to work three days out of the week and biked the other two days, they are recorded only as driving to work.
- The weather at the time the survey was administered can strongly impact results from year to year.
- The data only represent trips to work, which are a small percentage of the total number of trips people typically make in a week. The survey ignores trips to a restaurant, park, playground, or school, as well as recreational trips.

Despite these drawbacks, ACS data is one of the best resources available for determining mode share because the survey is carried in the same format for all municipalities from year to year. Figure 3 compares the commute mode share of Marathon County and the State of Wisconsin as a whole.

Figure 3: Commute Mode, Wausau MSA and State of Wisconsin



Data source: 2012 American Community Survey (ACS)

Non-motorized transportation currently comprises roughly 3.2 percent of commute-related travel in Marathon County. Data at this level is not available for the MPO. However, the City of Wausau (whose population composes roughly 44 percent of the MPO’s population) has a rate of biking that is more than twice the state average (1.7 percent versus 0.8 percent). This suggests the presence of a relatively healthy culture of biking as a means of transportation, at least in the center of the MPO area. The rate of walking in the City is slightly lower than that of the state (2.9 percent versus 3.4 percent).

## Summary of Existing Plans and Policies

Numerous background plans and policy documents relevant to this Plan were reviewed in preparation of this Existing Conditions analysis. In the appendix is a summary of these previous and on-going planning efforts affecting biking and walking in and around the Wausau Area MPO. The summary identifies issues that may impact the findings and ultimate recommendations of this project. The review focuses on plans and studies prepared by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT), regional plans, and local plans.

The following plans were reviewed for this analysis:

### Statewide Documents

- Connections 2030 (2009)
- Wisconsin State Bicycle Transportation Plan 2020 (1998)
- Wisconsin Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020 (2002)
- Advisory on Installation of Bicyclist Compatible Rumble Strips (2011)
- Wisconsin Department of Transportation Guide for Path/Street Crossings (2011)
- Bicycle Crash Analysis for Wisconsin Using a Crash Typing Tool (PBCAT) and Geographic Information Systems (2006)
- Wisconsin Bicycle Planning Guidance (2003)
- Wisconsin Bicycle Facility Design Handbook (2004)
- Wisconsin Guide to Pedestrian Best Practices (2010)
- Wisconsin Rural Bicycle Planning Guide (2006)

### Regional Documents

- Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (2009)
- Wausau Metropolitan Area Long-Range Transportation Plan, 2035 (2006 and 2011 update)
- Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan for the Non-Urbanized Area of Marathon County, Wisconsin (1996)
- Marathon County Comprehensive Plan (2006)
- North Central Wisconsin Regional Bicycle Facilities Network Plan (2004)

### Local City, Town, and Village Planning Documents

- Rivers Edge Master Plan (1995)
- The Village of Weston Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan – 2013-2017 Plan Overview
- Rib Mountain Area Bike and Pedestrian Routes Long Range Plan (2013)
- Schofield Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (2014)
- Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan

### Municipal Codes

- City of Wausau
- City of Schofield
- Village of Rothschild
- Village of Weston
- City of Mosinee

## Demand Analysis

A bicycle and pedestrian demand analysis was conducted in order to assess the probable demand for non-motorized transportation infrastructure in various parts of the study area. Probable demand is not based on existing bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, conditions, or use; rather, it is based on the destinations and origins of trips for which people might choose to bike or walk if infrastructure conditions were desirable or even simply adequate. Evaluating demand will allow the Wausau Area MPO and member jurisdictions to focus investments in locations that have the greatest potential for increased walking and biking.

### Methodology

The demand factors were chosen to estimate demand for utilitarian, commuting, and recreational trips based on potential growth for each trip type. Population density is the primary demand determinant for all three types of trips as it indicates the origin of a large portion of biking and walking trips. For commuting trips, employment density is the best additional proxy. For recreational trips, parks (with emphasis on Rib Mountain State Park and the Mountain-Bay Trail) were added to the analysis. Finally, for utilitarian trips (going out to eat, dropping children off at school, shopping, etc.) the primary proxy is intersection density, which is an indicator of the density of development patterns and street network connectivity. Areas with high levels of intersection density tend to have high densities and diversities of utilitarian destinations and are therefore locations in which utilitarian trips are more likely to occur. Schools and libraries were also included in the analysis to supplement the intersection density proxy for utility trips.

Table 3 shows the types of generators used to determine demand and the weight assigned to each. The weighting of intersection density higher than employment density reflects the fact that more trips (whether by car, bike, or on foot) are taken for utilitarian purposes than for commuting purposes.

Table 3: Demand Map Factors

Factor	Radius	Weight
Population Density	None (contiguous polygons)	40
Intersection Density	0.25 (points)	22
Employment Density	0.5 (points)	18
Schools, Libraries, County/Local Parks	0.5 (points)	12
Rib Mountain State Park and Mountain-Bay Trail	1 (polygon)	8

This methodology does not attempt to capture demand for the type of recreational biking that occurs on low-traffic rural roads. Such demand is better captured by learning from stakeholders. It can also be argued that this is opportunity-driven, based on the availability and accessibility of low-traffic rural roads. Such roads have been identified in the following section.


Figure 4 shows the result of the demand analysis. Areas with higher scores, i.e., greater demand, considered "hot spots", are shown as the red areas on the map. Two large yellow areas appear on the map, as a result of the weighting applied to Rib Mountain State Park (the western yellow area) and the Mountain-Bay Trail (the eastern yellow corridor).

### Findings

The primary hotspots appearing on the demand map unsurprisingly include downtown Wausau, central Wausau on the west side of the river (near Eastbay, UW Marathon County, and numerous small businesses), and the area near the Kolbe & Kolbe plant. Other hot spots include downtown Mosinee (which has relatively high population and intersection densities), the Business 51 and Weston Avenue area of Rothschild (which has high employment and population densities), and the Schofield Avenue corridor between Schofield and Weston.

Connecting these hotspots via low-stress bikeways and ensuring adequate pedestrian accommodations within each hotspot are priorities of this plan.

Figure 4



## Traffic Stress Analysis

Analyzing the traffic stress in the study area is helpful in determining the suitability of individual streets and roads for biking. Furthermore, this analysis indicates what portion of the street and road system within the study area is suitable as-is for biking, and helps to identify pockets or islands of low-stress streets surrounded by high-stress street and road barriers. The primary factor that determines traffic stress is interaction between bicyclists and motor vehicles.

### Methodology

Since different types of bicyclists have different levels of comfort interacting with motor vehicle traffic, it is important to define the “typical bicyclist” for this analysis. Anecdotal experience<sup>2</sup> supplemented with survey-based research<sup>3</sup> indicates that people (whether or not they regularly ride a bicycle) can be described based on their traffic stress tolerance or comfort, confidence, and willingness to interact with motor vehicle traffic. The findings are that the majority of people (classified as “interested but concerned”) have little tolerance for interacting with motor vehicle traffic and most are very worried about being struck by a motor vehicle while biking. As part of the public participation process that was performed for this plan, a short survey was administered in order to ascertain the traffic stress tolerance of people in the Wausau metro area. After accounting for unintentional bias on the part of the participants, the results generally show similar preference for separation from motor vehicles. In other words, the majority of the population is interested in biking but does not currently do so because of fear of interacting with motor vehicle traffic.

Based on available data (including speed limits, traffic volumes, pavement width, presence of on-street parking, and presence of bike lanes), traffic stress was analyzed for all streets and roads in the study area using a combination of the Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) model (developed by the Mineta Transportation Institute) and the Bicycling Conditions for Rural Roadways model (developed by WisDOT). As a result, all streets and roads are classified as shown in Table 4.

The methodology used in this analysis is described in greater detail in the appendix.

Table 4: Traffic Stress Analysis Categories

Level of Traffic Stress Rating	Bicycling Conditions for Rural Roadways Rating	Description
LTS 1	n/a	Little to no traffic stress. Generally suitable for the entire population.
LTS 2	Good	Little traffic stress. Suitable for most adults, even those with little confidence or experience interacting with motor vehicles.
LTS 3	Moderate	Moderate traffic stress. Uncomfortable and unappealing for some, but suitable for more experienced bicyclists.
LTS 4	Poor	High traffic stress. Only suitable for very skilled bicyclists.

### Findings

The map in Figure 5 shows the result of the Traffic Stress Analysis. The map in Figure 6 shows only the LTS 1 and LTS 2 streets and roads. This demonstrates that while the majority of streets and roads in the MPO are low to moderate stress, there are significant gaps between these low-stress pockets. Due to geographical challenges (such as the Wisconsin River) as well as past infrastructure decisions, there are significant gaps between many of these pockets. Significant gaps include:

- Between Mosinee and the rest of the study area (along the County Highway KK and Old Highway 51/IH-39 corridors)
- Between Kronenwetter and Weston (along the Camp Phillips Road / County Highway X corridor)
- Between Wausau and Schofield (along the Grand Avenue corridor)
- From one side of the Wisconsin River to the other in Wausau

<sup>2</sup> Geller, R. “Four Types of Cyclists.” Portland Office of Transportation. (<https://www.portlandoregon.gov/transportation/article/264746>)

<sup>3</sup> Dill, J. and N. McNeil. (2013, January) “Four Types of Cyclists? Examining a Typology to Better Understand Bicycling Behavior and Potential.” Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board.

Figure 5





Figure 6



## Traffic Safety Analysis

*"This is the safest time for transportation in history, except for pedestrians and bicyclists."*

– U.S. Secretary of Transportation Anthony Foxx

While crashes are an unfortunate reality associated with all modes of transportation, bicyclists and pedestrians are especially vulnerable. Pedestrians and bicyclists involved in crashes with motor vehicles are far more likely to be seriously injured or killed than are drivers. Across the U.S., more than 5,000 people are killed while biking or walking and more than 100,000 are seriously injured. Worldwide, more than 270,000 people are killed while walking each year. While in the past Americans have generally accepted traffic fatalities as unavoidable, many communities across the country are adopting "Vision Zero" campaigns to end traffic deaths. Studying the location, characteristics, and contributing factors of crashes allows planners, engineers, and advocates a better understanding of why crashes occur and how they might be prevented through engineering, education, and enforcement efforts.


In 2006, WisDOT conducted a research project to examine the relationship between road and intersection conditions and incidences of bicycle crashes. The resulting report<sup>4</sup> includes several key findings:

- Reported crashes between bicyclists and motorists in the State of Wisconsin have continued to decrease annually since the 1998 State Bicycle Transportation Plan was adopted.
- Four of the top five crash types most frequently reported indicated that the motorist made the critical error that contributed to the crash.
- There were far more reported urban crashes than rural crashes (94 percent of the total compared to 6 percent).
- The majority of reported crashes occurred at intersections (66 percent compared to 34 percent).
- There was a high frequency of reported sidewalk/crosswalk-type crashes (28 percent of all crashes).
- Reported crash rates were lower on wider roadways for both local roads and state highways.
- While urban streets had a much higher crash rate, rural highways had a much higher rate of fatalities.

In 2002, a similar analysis for pedestrian crashes was conducted as part of the *Wisconsin Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020*. This analysis looked at crash reports from 1996 to 1999 and included several key findings:

- The majority of crashes occur when the pedestrian is in the crosswalk (25.3 percent) or in the roadway (56.7 percent).
- Most crashes occur at either intersections (37 percent) or midblock (30 percent). However, intersection crashes occur for only 12 percent of fatalities while midblock crashes account for nearly 35 percent.
- There are a variety of crash types, but the two most common involve vehicles turning/merging at intersections and colliding with a pedestrian (13 percent) and pedestrians dashing into the roadway in front of a vehicle (12 percent).
- Injury rates increase with motor vehicle speed (see Figure 7). A pedestrian struck by a motor vehicle travelling at 35 mph is three times more likely to die than a pedestrian struck by a motor vehicle travelling at 25 mph.

Figure 7: Posted Speed Limits and Pedestrian Fatality Rates in Wisconsin (1996-1998)



Data source: Wisconsin Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020

<sup>4</sup> Bicycle Crash Analysis for Wisconsin Using a Crash Typing Tool (PBCAT) and Geographic Information System (GIS)

## Overview of Findings

The Wisconsin Transportation Operations and Safety Laboratory (TOPS)—a partnership between the University of Wisconsin-Madison and WisDOT—maintains a database of all crashes occurring in Wisconsin on public streets and roads that involve motor vehicles. While the database does not include crashes between two bicyclists or between a bicyclist and a pedestrian, it does include crashes between these users and motor vehicles. Crashes occurring in the study area were downloaded and analyzed using GIS.

For this plan's study area, the location of all crashes occurring between 2009 and 2014 were identified. A total of 225 pedestrian and bicyclist crashes occurred during this time period—76 pedestrian crashes and 149 bicyclist crashes. Three crashes resulted in fatalities (two bicyclists and one pedestrian), 22 resulted in incapacitating injuries (seven bicyclists and 15 pedestrians), and 116 resulted in non-incapacitating injuries (79 bicyclists and 37 pedestrians). Figure 8 illustrates the location of crashes involving bicyclists from 2009 to 2014. Figure 9 illustrates the location of crashes involving pedestrians from 2009 to 2014.

**For bicycle and pedestrian crashes alike, the results of this analysis are consistent with both state and national crash trends and did not show any areas of unique concern. This implies that existing countermeasures that have been used in the areas of engineering, education, and enforcement to reduce bicycle and pedestrian crashes at the state and national levels should be effective in the study area as well.**

### Summary of Bicycle Crashes in the Wausau Metro Area

There was an average of 24.8 reported bicycle crashes per year with a high of 35 in 2012 and a low of 13 in 2014. During this time, population growth in the study area remained relatively flat. If the decline in crashes observed over the past three years were to continue, this would indicate a positive trend. Most bicycle crashes happened in the months of May through September. Most bicycle crashes occurred during the afternoon rush hour (2:00 and 6:00 pm). A slight rise in crashes was also seen during the morning rush hour and around lunch time. Inclement weather did not appear to be a significant crash factor with 95 percent of crashes occurring under clear or cloudy conditions.

Additional bicycle crash analysis findings include:

- Bicycle crashes occurred predominantly at intersections (81 percent). The majority of crashes happened on roads with a posted speed of 25 to 35 miles per hour.
- 71 percent of the bicyclists involved in crashes were male. The greatest concentration of bicyclist crashes by age was between 12-28 years of age. There were smaller concentrations of bicycle crashes among riders in their mid-40s and early 50s. The characteristics of motorists involved in bicycle-related crashes were evenly distributed by sex and age.
- Injuries were generally non-incapacitating (56 percent). One percent of the crashes resulted in death, 5 percent were incapacitating and 38 percent were reported as possible injuries.
- Alcohol involvement (pedestrian or motorist) was reported as “unknown” in 99 percent of bicycle crashes for the study area. For the state as a whole, in 2013, 30 percent of the fatal bicycle crashes and 3 percent of injury crashes involved either an impaired bicyclist or motorist.
- Areas with the highest concentration of bicycle crashes are Grand Avenue (between Thomas Street and the Eau Claire River crossing) and 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenues (between Bridge Street and Stewart Avenue). It is probable that a significant factor leading to these concentrations is exposure—more bicyclists ride along these streets than other streets in the region with similar traffic speeds and volumes.

The most common types of bicycle crashes with motor vehicles on a national and statewide basis, common contributing factors, and effective countermeasures are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Common Bicycle Crash Types


Crash Type	Contributing Factors*	Countermeasures
<b>Child bicyclists</b>		
Bicyclist stop sign/red signal violation	Bicyclist failed to stop at stop sign	Bicyclist/motorist education and enforcement
Bicyclist turn/merge into path of motorist	Bicyclist failed to check behind for traffic and signal turn	Bicyclist/motorist education
Driveway rideout	Bicyclist failed to stop before entering roadway and yield the right of way	Bicyclist/motorist education
<b>Adult bicyclists</b>		
Motorist turn/merge	Motorist failed to yield right of way to bicyclist while turning left or right	Motorist/bicyclist education and enforcement
Motorist driveout – stop sign/red signal	Motorist failed to yield right of way to bicyclist after stopping for stop sign	Motorist education and enforcement
Motorist overtaking bicyclist	Motorist strikes bicyclist, legally in lane, from behind – bicyclist may not have been using lights and reflectors	Motorist/bicyclist education and enforcement

\*Although not generally identified as crash types in and of themselves, wrong way riding and riding at night without lights and retro-reflective material are contributing factors in a significant number of bicycle crashes.



In addition to enforcement and engineering strategies, education (such as the Bicycle Wausau Rodeo and Safety Day) is an important strategy to reduce crashes involving bicyclists. Photo by Andrew Plath Photography.

Figure 8



## Summary of Pedestrian Crashes in the Wausau Metro Area

There was an average of 12.6 reported pedestrian crashes per year with a high of 18 in 2011 and a low of 8 in 2009. During this time, population growth in the study area remained relatively flat. Meanwhile, crashes rose and then fell by 9 percent between each of the last three years. If this trend continues, it would indicate a relatively stable crash rate. The months with the most crashes were July, September, October, and November. Most pedestrian crashes happened between 11:00 am and 11:00 pm, with a slight rise in crashes between 6:00 and 9:00 am. Inclement weather did not appear to be a significant crash factor with 86 percent of crashes occurring under clear or cloudy conditions.

Additional pedestrian crash analysis findings include:

- The location of pedestrian crashes was evenly split between intersection and non-intersection, with slightly more crashes occurring at non-intersections (54 percent). The majority of crashes happened on roads with a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour.
- 62 percent of the pedestrians involved in crashes were male. The greatest concentration of pedestrian crashes by age was between 10-18 years of age. The rest of the crashes were fairly evenly distributed among the other age groups. The characteristics of motorists involved in pedestrian crashes were evenly distributed by sex and age.
- Injuries were generally non-incapacitating (49 percent). One percent of the crashes resulted in death, 20 percent were incapacitating and 17 percent were reported as possible injuries.
- Alcohol involvement (pedestrian or motorist) was reported as “unknown” in 86 percent of pedestrian crashes for the study area. For the state as a whole, in 2013, 54 percent of the fatal pedestrian crashes and 9 percent of injury crashes involved either an impaired pedestrian or motorist.
- The area with the highest concentration of pedestrian crashes is downtown Wausau, which has the highest level of pedestrian activity in the region. Most of these crashes resulted in unreported or minor injuries. Of the 11 crashes that occurred in the study area resulting in fatalities or severe injuries, five occurred along the State Highway 52 (Stewart Avenue/Forest Street/Scott Street) corridor from US Highway 51 to downtown Wausau (including one crash on 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue just north of Stewart Avenue).


The most common types of pedestrian crashes with motor vehicles on a national and statewide basis, common contributing factors, and effective countermeasures are shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Common Pedestrian Crash Types

Crash Type	Contributing Factors	Countermeasures
Intersections	Turning vehicles, Pedestrian run/dart out, Driver violations	Engineering, education, and enforcement
Midblock*	Pedestrian run/dart out, Motorist speed	Education, engineering, and enforcement
Walking along the road	Pedestrian was walking with traffic	Engineering, education, and enforcement
Motorist backing**	Motorist inattention	Education

\*Nationally, children and seniors are overrepresented by this crash type \*\*Nationally, seniors are overrepresented by this crash type. Wisconsin's crash reporting requirements do not capture crashes on private property. Therefore, this data is not available for the state.

Figure 9



## Summary of Opportunities and Challenges

There are a number of factors present in the Wausau Area MPO that present opportunities and/or challenges. A summary of the most significant opportunities and challenges observed in the study area is shown below.

**Wisconsin River** – One of the area’s most valuable natural resources, the Wisconsin River creates significant challenges for all modes of transportation due to the limited number of bridges existing. Some of these bridges, such as the path bridge at River Street in Rothschild, create useful low-stress connections for biking and walking. However, most of the bridges that cross the river in the MPO are not very comfortable or convenient for biking or walking across. In terms of biking, none of the three street crossings (Thomas Street, Stewart Avenue, and Bridge Street) have bicycle accommodations. Each of these bridges has sidewalks, but only Thomas Street has a separation from motor vehicle traffic. The other two have sidewalks placed immediately adjacent to travel lanes, which—especially when coupled with the steepness and length of the bridges—can be uncomfortable for pedestrians.



**Street Grid** – Most of the communities in the study area have interconnected street grids, which provide multiple low-traffic routes. A grid of streets inherently provides the shortest distance between practically all origin-destination pairs (especially compared to a suburban pattern of cul-de-sacs and meandering streets). This results in good conditions for biking in many parts of the study area. It also benefits pedestrians, especially where sidewalks are present. The value of a street grid can be seen in areas where one is not present, such as the Grand Avenue corridor (between County Road N/Townline Road and Schofield), in which Grand Avenue is the only viable route for motor vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians (alternative routes being very circuitous by comparison<sup>5</sup>).

**Topography** – Some bicyclists enjoy riding up and down hills. However, steep topography can be very discouraging for many would-be bicyclists. It also can be extremely challenging for pedestrians—especially those with disabilities. Rib Mountain is the most well-known topographic feature in the area and many avid bicyclists regularly ride up and down Park Road as a challenge. However, there are several other areas of significant topographic change in the region. These include the west side of Wausau, near the hospital, as well as on the east side of the study area, where a series of ridges roughly follow 10<sup>th</sup> Street and Northwestern Avenue (notably, this line also marks the general extent of the urbanized area).

---


<sup>5</sup> The length of the closest parallel route, using neighborhood streets, is 40% longer and still requires riding along Grand Avenue near the airport.

**Railroads** – In some ways, railroads can be opportunities. If a railroad ceases to operate, a shared-use path can be constructed in its alignment. However, they also pose challenges, especially where they cross sidewalks and streets. It is very challenging to build a sidewalk/railroad crossing that meets federal accessibility requirements and does so with longevity. For bicyclists, riding over railroad tracks creates the risk of having one’s wheel caught in the flangeway. This problem is exacerbated when the railroad crosses the street at a skew. There are several railroads that pass through the Wausau Area MPO. Several of these converge near downtown Wausau, creating multiple skewed crossings.

**Excess Street Capacity** – There are many streets in the Wausau Area MPO that are wider than they need to be to accommodate the levels of traffic that they convey. This creates the opportunity to perform “road diets” (where an extra lane is replaced with a bike lane) or “lane diets” (where all lanes are kept, but are narrowed slightly to allow a bike lane to be added). While there are opportunities for both treatments in the study area, there are more opportunities for lane diets than for road diets. For example, 28<sup>th</sup> Avenue (between Sherman Street and State Trunk Highway 52) and Sherman Street (between 28<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue) both have wide lanes that could be narrowed to allow bike lanes or urban shoulders to be retrofitted via striping.

### Conclusion & Initial Observations

In general, the underlying conditions are favorable for biking and walking in Wausau. There is measurable and distributed demand for biking and walking, there is a well-connected network of low-traffic streets, and there are a number of shared-use paths and other elements of bicycle infrastructure that attract a good amount of use. The analysis performed indicates that enhancing a few key routes (which largely align with the MPO’s recently-established 105-mile metropolitan bicycle route system) could greatly increase the amount of biking in the area.



Excess capacity on 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue in Wausau allowed a “road diet” to be performed to convert the right-most travel lane to a wide bike lane. Photo by Pat Peckham, City Pages.

# Chapter 3

# Engineering


---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## The Role of Engineering

The term “engineering” is used to reference the physical infrastructure (paths, bike lanes, sidewalks, paved shoulders, etc.) and traffic control devices (signals, signs, crosswalks, pavement markings, etc.) that people use for self-propelled travel. Of the “Five E’s,” engineering is the most visible and is widely recognized as being the most impactful in terms of making walking and bicycling safer. While law enforcement and proper education for bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists alike are both vital, safely-engineered infrastructure is the first and biggest step toward increasing safety. Failing to provide bicycle infrastructure appropriate to the context (for example, sharrows on a very busy street instead of more appropriate bike lanes) not only increases the potential for conflicts between bicyclists and motorists, it also discourages people that are wary of bicycling around cars from traveling in that area. For that reason, engineering plays a vital role in making walking and bicycling more appealing to the broader population.



There is a spectrum of facility types (especially in terms of bicycle infrastructure) that should be applied based on the traffic context in order to provide low-stress environments for people less comfortable interacting with motor vehicle traffic.



The increase in people bicycling along streets that are part of the Wausau area’s recently-implemented bicycle route network is a primary example of the impact of engineering activities.

## Inventory of Current Bicycle Routes & Infrastructure

The foundation of the Wausau area’s regional bicycle system is its recently-established 105-mile metropolitan bicycle route network and signage system that spans across the entire metro area. Wayfinding for the bicycle route system is provided by over 600 custom bicycle route signs that are color-coded and numbered by route. There are approximately 3.5 miles of bike lanes (Bike Route 6 utilizes 2 miles of these) and 4.3 miles of shared lanes with shared lane markings (also known as “Sharrows”) within Wausau’s city limits (this includes the wide outside lanes with shared lane markings recently added to Grand Avenue between Kent Street and Division Street). Furthermore, it is estimated (based on roadway width) that there are approximately 24 miles of paved shoulders in the urban area.

There are numerous shared-use paths in the metro area totaling approximately 26 miles. This includes 3.5 miles of the Mountain-Bay Trail, which extends an additional 76 miles to Green Bay. Approximately 8 of the 26 miles exist as sidepaths along high-traffic streets and roads; these sidepaths help overcome several connectivity challenges posed by freeways and the Wisconsin River. In addition, shared-use path bridges over the Wisconsin River at Rothschild (Cedar Creek Trail) and over Highway 29 at Birch Street in Weston further improve regional connectivity. Most of the shared-use paths are paved, and most are 10 feet wide (the current state and national standard).


The presence of sidewalk infrastructure in the area varies from one community to the next. Wausau generally has sidewalks on both sides of all streets; however, there are some neighborhoods within the city with sidewalks on only one side of streets or with no sidewalks along any streets. Rothschild has sidewalks along most of its arterial and collector streets as well as about half of its neighborhood streets. Mosinee, Schofield, and Weston are constructing sidewalks concurrent with street and road projects and generally have sidewalks along at least one side of all arterial and collector streets, but not residential streets. In Rib Mountain, sidewalks exist along Rib Mountain Drive and Robin Lane.



Examples of existing bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure—paths, kiosks, and bridges—in the Wausau metro area. Photos by Denis Helke.

Figure 1 shows the location of existing bike lanes, streets with shared lane markings (sharrows), paths, and paved shoulders overlaid atop the current metropolitan bike route network.

Figure 1



## Bicycle Network Recommendations

The Wausau metro area has a comprehensive network of mapped and signed bicycle routes. These routes largely exist on low to moderate traffic streets and form a connected network across the region. However, the majority of the signed metropolitan bicycle route network does not have dedicated bicycle infrastructure (such as bike lanes or paths), and therefore often has higher levels of traffic stress, as identified previously in this document. In order to expand access and connectivity for bicyclists (especially the “interested but concerned” portion of the population), it is therefore important to identify opportunities to lower traffic stress along existing bike routes and potential new connections through the provision of dedicated bicycle infrastructure.

### Analysis and Field Work

A Bicycle Study Network was identified that includes the entirety of the existing metropolitan bicycle route network as well as additional streets that make important local and regional connections. The streets and routes that comprise the Bicycle Study Network were then analyzed in greater detail than the rest of the region’s street and road system. This includes on-the-ground field review of each corridor to record speed limits, roadway configuration, potential hazards, occupancy of on-street parking, etc. On September 3-4, 2014, the consultants and Marathon County staff performed field work to study existing conditions. The team traveled the entire bicycle study network (including approximately 30 miles by bicycle) as well as other streets and roads in the region.

### Approach

In general, recommendations for bicycle facilities were developed with the goal of accommodating the “interested but concerned” portion of the population referenced in Chapter 2. Due to this focus, some recommendations include lower-stress facilities on streets that already have bicycle infrastructure because the existing facilities (typically shared-lane markings or sharrows) do not ensure an appropriate level of traffic stress. The types of bicycle facilities recommended vary based on factors such as a roadway’s traffic context, planned upcoming roadway projects, existing conditions, and facilities needed to improve a road’s stress level.

### Methodology

Recommendations were developed using a methodology that combines GIS-based calculations with manual assessments using field notes and available imagery. Recommendations were developed using the following methodology:


1. Urban street segments with a stress rating of 1 (suitable for all people) or 2 (suitable for most adults) were not given a recommendation. Rural road segments rated as 1 (“good” or suitable for most adults) under the rural rating scheme were also not given a recommendation. These segments are considered acceptable as-is.
2. Paved shoulders are recommended for rural roadways with a rating of 2 (moderate) or 3 (poor).
3. The width and configuration of urban road segments were examined. Where the roadway width (according to the GIS data) indicated that space could be dedicated to bike lanes without removing existing travel or auxiliary lanes, bike lanes were recommended.
4. The traffic level of multilane roadways was considered in several instances to further evaluate the streets for bike lanes if none were recommended in Step 3. Removal of a travel lane (commonly referred to as a “road diet” to make space for bike lanes) was recommended initially for several roads with less than 15,000 ADT.<sup>1</sup>
5. The Traffic level for multilane roadways was again examined. Roads with more than 15,000 but less than 20,000 ADT were also identified as potential road diet candidates, although they were flagged as higher volume than those with less than 15,000 ADT.

Bicycle network recommendations are shown on Figure 2. Detail regarding the various recommended facility types can be found on the following pages. Additional detail on individual recommendations is provided in Chapter 7.

---

<sup>1</sup> Average Daily Trips (ADT) is a measure of typical daily motor vehicle traffic volume on a street or road. The FHWA’s “Road Diet Informational Guide” lists a number of studies that identify thresholds for road die feasibility. The lowest threshold given was 15,000 ADT, which was the basis for using 15,000 as the initial cutoff in developing recommendations. Other studies showed successful outcomes at volumes as high as 24,000.

Figure 2



## Bicycle Facility Types

Bikeway recommendations can be categorized into four primary types:

**Bike lanes** that are recommended include standard bike lanes, wide bike lanes, buffered bike lanes, and separated bike lanes. In general, a bike lane recommendation indicates a standard 4- to 5-foot wide bike lane unless otherwise noted. However, the appropriate facility type should be investigated in more detail during the development of a specific project. In cases where a lower-stress facility, such as a wider or buffered bike lane, is feasible, it should be considered even if the Plan recommendation only calls for standard bike lanes. The feasibility of separated bike lanes (also known as a “cycletracks”) should be explored for any higher-traffic arterial; note that this facility requires a higher level of analysis regarding traffic impacts, traffic control, etc. Of particular note, there are many cases where a pseudo bike lane can be created simply by striping an urban shoulder where parking is rarely utilized. Although not officially considered a bike lane by the standard definition, such a treatment has been included under the umbrella of “bike lane” in this Plan and has been noted accordingly.

**Paved shoulders** are typically reserved for rural cross-sections and are more straightforward to construct than bike lanes. It is acceptable to mark paved shoulders as bike lanes on low to moderate speed roadways. However in the Wausau area, almost all of the shouldered roadways are rural moderate to high speed roadways at the edges of the metro area. Many of the recommendations for paved shoulders will require actually laying new pavement on an existing gravel shoulder. In some cases the current paved surface is wide enough as is and simply requires a striped shoulder to delineate the space. These nuances have been noted in the recommendations.

**Paths** provide accommodations for bicyclists and pedestrians. Recommendations for paths include short segments that connect through parks or along easements. However, most of the path recommendations in this Plan are along streets with particularly high motor vehicle traffic volumes and speeds as alternatives to on-street facilities. Paths along streets are commonly referred to as “sidepaths” and should only be considered along streets with minimal driveways and intersections (fewer than 10 to 15 per mile) to reduce conflicts with motor vehicle traffic. Many of the path recommendations align with paths planned or programmed by individual communities.

**Suitable routes** are identified along urban streets and rural roads that are already low-stress due to relatively low volumes of motor vehicle traffic. Various individual treatments may be applied to streets and roads along suitable routes. At a basic level, these routes should be identified on the regional bike map. Additional improvements may be made, such as providing route signage, applying shared-lane markings (sharrows), and developing bicycle boulevards. In higher speed areas, paved shoulders may be considered for some segments if traffic volumes increase.



“Fit for Two: Easy As Riding a Bike” by Dan Young/Daily Herald Media.

Descriptions of common treatments for these four primary facility types are included on the following pages and are color-coded to match the color scheme used on the map of recommendations (Figure 2).

## Bike Lanes

### Standard Bike Lane

A bike lane is a pavement marking that designates a portion of a street for the preferential or exclusive use of bicycles. Bike lane markings are typically dashed where vehicles are allowed to cross the bike lane, such as for right turns or at bus stops. Bike lanes are best suited for two-way arterial and collector streets where there is enough width to accommodate a bike lane in both directions, and on one-way streets where there is enough width for a single bike lane.



### Buffered Bike Lane

Buffered bike lanes are created by striping a buffer zone between a bike lane and the adjacent travel lane, between a bike lane and adjacent parking lane, or both. Buffered bike lanes should be considered at locations where there is excess pavement width or where adjacent traffic speeds are at or above 35 mph.




### Contraflow Bike Lane

Contraflow bike lanes run in the opposite direction of other traffic on a one-way street. Contraflow bike lanes provide legal bike access on one-way streets where bicyclists may otherwise ride against traffic or on the sidewalk. Contraflow bike lanes may be separated from other traffic by painted lines, a painted buffer, or a physical barrier.



## Climbing Bike Lane

A climbing lane is a bikeway design for a two-way street that has a steep slope and insufficient width to permit bike lanes in both directions. A bike lane (the climbing lane) is provided in the uphill direction to accommodate slow moving bicyclists in the uphill direction and a shared lane marking is provided in the downhill direction, where bicyclists can typically travel at speeds close to motor vehicles.



## Separated Bike Lane (Cycletrack)

A separated bike lane, sometimes called a cycletrack, is a bicycle facility that is physically separated from both the street and the sidewalk. A separated bike lane may be constructed at street level using street space, or at the sidewalk level using space adjacent to the street. Separated bike lanes isolate bicyclists from motor vehicle traffic using a variety of methods, including curbs, raised concrete medians, bollards, on-street parking, large planting pots/boxes, landscaped buffers (trees and lawn), or other methods. Separated bike lanes designed to be level with the sidewalk should provide a vertical separation between bicyclists and pedestrians, as well as a different surface treatment to delineate the bicycle from the pedestrian space (such as asphalt vs. concrete). Separated bike lanes can be one way for bicycles on each side of a two-way road, or two-way and installed on one or both sides of the road. Separated bike lanes provide cyclists with a higher level of comfort compared to bike lanes, and are typically used on large multi-lane arterials where higher vehicle speeds exist. They may also be appropriate on high-volume but lower-speed streets.



The provision of separated bike lanes should consider the design and function of intersections, which may require adjustments to signal timing and phasing and/or modifications to pavement and curb sections.

Traffic studies should be performed before implementing separated bike lanes.

## Paved Shoulders

### Urban Shoulder (Paved)

An urban shoulder is a paved section of a street between the travel lanes and the curb. Urban shoulders are separated from the travel lanes by a solid white line and may include the street's gutter section. Urban shoulders can serve as a bicycle accommodation if they have at least three feet of pavement, exclusive of the gutter area. Bike lanes that are not designated as such with pavement markings and/or signage are technically an urban shoulder.



### Rural Shoulder (Paved)

The shoulder is the section of the roadway outside of the travel lanes. When paved and of sufficient width, paved shoulders can serve as a bicycle accommodation. Additionally, paved shoulders provide safety and maintenance benefits. Paved shoulders should typically be 4' or wider to serve as a bicycle accommodation, although 3' may be acceptable on lower volume roads.



## Paths

### Shared-Use Path

A shared use path is an off-street bicycle and pedestrian facility that is physically separated from motor vehicle traffic. Typically, shared use paths are located in an independent right-of-way such as in a park, stream valley greenway, along a utility corridor, or an abandoned railroad corridor. Shared-use paths are utilized by other non-motorized users including pedestrians, skaters, wheelchair users, joggers, and sometimes equestrians.



### Sidepath

A sidepath is a shared use path located adjacent to a roadway. It is designed for two-way use by bicyclists and pedestrians. Sidepaths are sometimes created by designating a wide sidewalk for shared use, or they may be a segment of a longer trail. Sidepaths sometimes facilitate connections to on- and off-street bicycle facilities. A sidepath is not generally a substitute for on-street bicycle facilities, but may be considered in constrained conditions, or as a supplement to on-street facilities. Sidepaths may not be appropriate in areas of high pedestrian activity unless there is space to successfully manage conflicts. The use of sidepaths should be limited to roadways with limited points of conflict at intersections and driveways.



## Suitable Routes

### Bicycle Boulevard

A bicycle boulevard (sometimes called a “neighborhood greenway” or a “neighborway”) is a street with low motorized traffic volumes and speeds designated to provide priority to bicyclists and neighborhood motor vehicle traffic. Bicycle boulevards may simply have signs and shared lane markings, or may include traffic calming elements consisting of speed humps, traffic circles, chicanes (pictured), traffic diverters, or curb extensions. Bicycle boulevards benefit neighborhoods by reducing cut-through traffic and speeding without limiting access by residents.



### Shared Lane Marking (Sharrow)

Shared lane markings (sharrows) are used on streets where bicyclists and motor vehicles share the same travel lane. The sharrow helps position bicyclists in the most appropriate location to ride. It also provides a visual cue to motorists that bicyclists have a right to use the street. Sharrows are typically used in two situations: on low-speed and low-traffic streets (including bicycle boulevards) as a wayfinding aid, and on busier streets as an interim solution until reconstruction or reconfiguration of the roadway is performed to allow bike lanes to be provided. Sharrows are not appropriate on streets with speed limits greater than 35 mph.

On a four lane street, sharrows should be placed in the outside lane. If the outside travel lane is too narrow for a motorist to comfortably pass a cyclist while staying within the travel lane (generally less than 14 feet) the sharrow marking may be centered in the lane. This encourages cyclists to “take the lane,” and encourages motorists to use the left lane to pass. In a 12-14 foot lane, the marking may be offset from the curb by as little as 4 feet. For 10-12 foot lanes, the marking should be placed in the center of the lane. BIKES MAY USE FULL LANE signs (R4-11 in the MUTCD) are recommended, because drivers may not be used to sharing the road with cyclists and may not provide comfortable clearance when passing.



## Signed Bike Route and Wayfinding

Signed bike routes provide distance and directional information as a wayfinding aid for bicyclists. Signed routes may be established on streets, paths, or any combination of facility types that offer a continuous bicycling environment. Signs should offer cyclists information about alternative routes and accessible destinations from their current location. They also can be used to suggest the conditions cyclists can expect on a route by referencing trails or roadways by name. Signed routes provide cyclists with greater confidence when they are exploring new routes or when they are in unfamiliar territory. Signed routes can also prevent cyclists from getting lost in residential areas with curvilinear street layouts and few through streets.



## Bike Route (mapped)

A mapped bike route is only designated as a bike route on maps – there are no signs placed along the route to designate the route. Mapped bike routes indicate to users roads that are better for bicycling on and for connecting to specific destinations. Mapped bike routes should be supplemented with signed bike routes or other bicycle facilities to guide users to popular destinations, such as has been the recent practice in the Wausau metro area.



## Pedestrian Priority Improvement Areas

Pedestrian trips are generally much shorter than trips made by bicycle. As such, an interconnected regional network is less important for pedestrians. However, identifying areas where pedestrian demand exceeds accommodations is important, as is developing replicable strategies to improve safety, access, and connectivity for pedestrians. The following pedestrian priority areas were identified and recommendations for improvements are provided for each.

### Downtown Wausau

(Bordered by 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Forest Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Street, and McClellan Street)

This area has the highest demand for walking in the study area, as identified in the Demand Analysis. The Traffic Safety Analysis identified 12 pedestrian crashes (six were severe) in the area between 2009 and 2014 (see Chapter 2). In general, the area has continuous sidewalks, pedestrian signals, and marked crosswalks.

#### Recommendations

- Many of the crosswalks in the downtown area (particularly those locations with brick pavers) are lacking the white transverse lines that are required by federal and state standards (Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices) and likely have little to no safety benefit for pedestrians as a result.
- Many of the marked crosswalks originally provided at the intersection of 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Washington Street, and River Drive are now missing due to pavement replacement and wear and tear. Furthermore, due to the complex nature of this intersection, the location of push-buttons to activate pedestrian signals should be reconsidered. In the current configuration, the layout is confusing, especially for people that are blind.
- While there are few other apparent issues in this area, it is important to ensure that crosswalk markings are kept visible by repainting them on a regular basis (every year to every three years as needed).

### Bopf and West Thomas Streets

(17<sup>th</sup> Avenue to 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue)

This area has high demand for walking, as identified in the Demand Analysis. This is in part due to population density and the nearby GD Jones Elementary School. Sidewalks are lacking on approximately half of the streets in this area, including most of the cross streets. One particular challenge is that on both ends of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue (at Bopf Street and Thomas Street) there are commercial properties whose parking lots extend to the streets, with little to no definition between street and parking lot.

#### Recommendations

- Add sidewalks on the south side of Thomas Street (between 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue) and on both sides of Bopf Street west of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue.
- Improve the intersections of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue with Bopf Street and Thomas Street for pedestrians, by clearly defining sidewalks and curb ramps around the corner commercial properties. Furthermore, provide marked crosswalks across 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue to provide safer access to GD Jones Elementary School.
- Provide crosswalks across Thomas Street at 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue to provide safer access to GD Jones Elementary School. In addition, provide sidewalks along 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue from Thomas Street to Rosecrans Street, and along Rosecrans Street from 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue to 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

### Stewart Avenue Corridor

(7<sup>th</sup> Ave to the Wisconsin River)

Stewart Avenue is the main arterial into downtown Wausau from the west and is a high-traffic connecting state highway. The roadway passes through an area of moderate to high demand for walking and has been the location of multiple severe crashes involving pedestrians over the past several years (three crashes along Stewart Avenue and one a few blocks south between 2009 and 2014). There are continuous sidewalks along Stewart Avenue, but crossing the street is very challenging due to its width, lack of pedestrian signals, and missing or obstructed crosswalks.

### *Recommendations*

- Stewart Avenue will be reconstructed in the near future. This project should include at a minimum high-visibility marked crosswalks and pedestrian signals at each signalized intersection. As part of the upcoming reconstruction project, pedestrian signals and push buttons will be added to the signals at the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue intersections.
- Opportunities for shortening crossings through curb extensions and median refuge islands should also be sought.

### **Schofield Avenue Corridor**

(Alderson Street to Camp Philips Road/County Highway X)

An area of moderate to high demand for walking, the Schofield Avenue corridor between Alderson Street and Camp Philips Road includes several large commercial areas (including a Target and Pick 'n Save) and multi-family housing. Schofield Avenue continues to the east, connecting to an employment area in the Village of Weston. Schofield Avenue is a high-traffic roadway with significant distances between signalized pedestrian crossings. Recent roadway improvements added pedestrian signals to the intersection in front of Target, but crosswalk markings are already fading. Continuous sidewalks are present on Schofield Avenue, but are not along connecting streets. This corridor is a relative hot-spot for pedestrian crashes, with three having occurred between 2009 and 2014.

### *Recommendations*

- Reapply crosswalk markings every one to three years to ensure their continued safety benefit. Reduced maintenance can be achieved by using more durable pavement marking materials (e.g., inlaid thermoplastic or epoxy instead of regular paint).
- Explore the feasibility of adding a pedestrian-activated signal (such as a HAWK signal or rectangular rapid flash beacon) at the intersection with Fox Street. This is within the commercial area and is roughly halfway between Birch Street and the intersection in front of Target, which are approximately 0.5 miles apart.
- Add pedestrian signals to the Birch Street intersection and consider providing pedestrian refuge islands in the medians. Currently, the crosswalks bow out toward the center of the intersection to go around the median noses.
- A crosswalk is provided on the west side of the Mt View Avenue intersection. Schofield Avenue at this location has a two-way left turn lane. Move the crosswalk to the east side of the intersection to line up with the sidewalk on the east side of Mt View Avenue and provide a raised pedestrian refuge island median. Explore the feasibility of adding a pedestrian-activated signal (such as a HAWK signal or rectangular rapid flash beacon).

### **WIS-153 Corridor**

(Freemont Street in Mosinee, across the Wisconsin River, to the Central Wisconsin Airport)

This corridor follows the state highway through downtown Mosinee, across the Wisconsin River, through the recently-constructed roundabout, under US-51/IH-30, and on to the Central Wisconsin Airport. A sidepath is provided across the bridge and through the roundabout, where it terminates. This is the only crossing of the Wisconsin River open to pedestrians for nearly 8 miles. There is moderate demand for walking along this corridor due to population density and nearby employment centers.

### *Recommendations*

- Due to the volume of traffic (including trucks) passing through this corridor, it is important to continue to maintain crosswalks and reapply markings as needed (every one to three years, depending on material).
- Continue the sidepath (or sidewalks) south of the roundabout to where WIS-153 turns east. Continuous sidewalks are provided on the east-west portion of WIS-153 from Expera Specialty Solutions (a major employer) to the airport (Golf Club Boulevard). However, it may be desirable to continue this as a sidepath so that it may be used by bicyclists as well (the Bicycle Network Recommendations include a recommendation for bike lanes along this portion of WIS-153).
- No crosswalks exist across WIS-153 between Edison Street and the airport entrance. Provide marked crosswalks at Cherry Street/Owen Street, West View Drive, and Golf Club Boulevard. Explore the feasibility of adding a pedestrian-

activated signal (such as a rectangular rapid flash beacon) or fully signaling these intersections if warranted by current traffic volumes.

### **Business 51 (Grand Avenue) Corridor in Rothschild** (From WIS-29 to Military Road)

This portion of Business 51 (Grand Avenue) separates several major employers, a small neighborhood, and the Cedar Creek Trail bicycle/pedestrian bridge over the Wisconsin River from the rest of Rothschild. This area has moderate demand for walking due to the major employers and population densities. Sidewalks are generally continuous along the east side of Grand Avenue, but do not extend quite all the way south to Military Road. A future street extension is planned between the Military Road/Grand Avenue intersection, through existing right-of-way, to the continuation of Military Road beginning approximately 500 feet east of Grand Avenue.

#### *Recommendations*

- The existing sidewalk along Grand Avenue should be extended south to the Military Road intersection and should connect to sidewalks along the planned street extension. When the street extension is constructed, the Military Road/Grand Avenue intersection will have to be signalized and should have pedestrian signals and marked crosswalks. At the same time, a sidewalk should be extended west, across the railroad, to at least Elm Street.
- Ensure the continued visibility of the crosswalks at the Hewitt Street intersection by reapplying crosswalk markings as needed (every one to three years, depending on material). Explore the feasibility of adding a pedestrian-activated signal (such as a HAWK signal or rectangular rapid flash beacon).
- Provide marked crosswalks and potentially a pedestrian-activated signal at the Brown Boulevard intersection near the LignoTech plant entrance to accommodate employees that may walk to work or wish to walk to Brown Boulevard for lunch.

### **Kort Street / Jelinek Street Corridor** (In Weston and Rothschild)

This corridor connects areas of moderate demand for walking and crosses the railroad and Business 51. It connects three schools (DC Everest Junior High, DC Everest High, and St Therese Catholic School), several churches, parks, aquatic centers, and several other destinations. Sidewalks are generally continuous along both sides of Kort Street and Jelinek Street, with the exception of along the north side between Volkman Street and Normandy Street. There is a significant amount of commercial development along Business 51 south of the Kort/Jelinek intersection, but a sidewalk only exists on the west side.

#### *Recommendations*

- Construct a sidewalk along the north side of Kort Street and Jelinek Street between Volkman Street (just west of Grand Avenue) and Normandy Street (in Weston).
- The Village of Rothschild has prepared a redevelopment plan for the commercial area along the east side of Business 51. As the area is redeveloped, sidewalks should be provided from the Kort/Jelinek intersection south to the Grand Avenue intersection to connect to existing sidewalks. In addition, opportunities to perform access management (consolidating the number of driveways entering Business 51) should be sought to reduce conflicts between pedestrians and motor vehicles.
- The Business 51 intersection (in Weston) is the greatest challenge for pedestrians along the Kort/Jelinek corridor. Ensure the continued visibility of the crosswalks at the Hewitt Street intersection by reapplying crosswalk markings as needed (every one to three years, depending on material).

## Crossings and Paths for Future Evaluation

This Plan primarily focuses on infrastructure and program recommendations for the next five to ten years. However, it is important to consider longer-term needs so that opportunities may be effectively leveraged as they arise.

### Major Path Connections

Paths along rivers, through natural areas, along railroads, and other alignments that do not follow roadways are typically expensive to construct and require substantial right-of-way acquisition. However, such paths can create impactful connections that can revolutionize access and usage for bicyclists and pedestrians. The following alignments should be studied in the future and opportunities for acquiring right-of-way should be sought starting today.

- From Junction Street, past the Wausau Curling Center, across the Eau Claire Flowage, to Alderson Street. This path connection would link the Mountain-Bay Trail to central Wausau and provide a much-needed north-south alternative to Grand Avenue.
- Connecting Trillium Lane to Buttercup Road along the existing electric easement. Along with other improvements, this could increase access to Nine Mile Recreation Area.
- Along the west side of the Wisconsin River from Foxglove Road south to Mosinee as an alternative to high-traffic County Highway KK.
- Along the northern edge of Rib Mountain/Granite Peak Ski Area connecting Whippoorwill Road to Robin Lane as an alternative to North Mountain Road.
- Alongside the railroad paralleling the Wisconsin River from Merrill to Wausau, linking to existing and planned River Edge Parkway paths.
- Along the railroads that cross through Wausau, including the east-west corridor that connects County Highway R to downtown Wausau and the north-south spur from Barkers Island north to Knox Street. Considering the active nature of these railroads and constrained right-of-way, these may only be feasible as Rail to Trail corridors in the future.
- Continue developing paths as part of the River Edge Parkway system along the Wisconsin River to link multiple communities and provide access to one of the area's most prominent natural resources.

### River Crossings

Both a major asset and major challenge for transportation, the Wisconsin River has limited crossings. New crossings should be constructed in the future to improve access and connectivity for bicycling and walking. Ideal locations for new crossings include:

- Isle of Ferns Park to East Sherman Street/Riverside Park
- Barker-Stewart Island Park to the Eastbay area
- Schofield Park to Winton Street
- Crocker Street to Spring Street
- Packer Drive to CTH NN across the Big Rib River using the old railroad trestle



"Wisconsin River Bridge" by Denis Helke.

# Chapter 4

# Encouragement

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## The Role of Encouragement

In the context of the “Five E’s” of bicycle and pedestrian transportation (engineering, education, enforcement, encouragement, and evaluation), the importance of encouragement efforts is often overlooked. In the past, engineering, education, and enforcement were viewed as the cornerstones of bicycle and pedestrian transportation. Focusing on those three “E’s” can be highly effective in terms of improving safety and convenience for bicycling and walking; however, many people need to be *encouraged* to try these modes.

Giving people the opportunity to ride a bicycle and walk is a key component of improving public health, enhancing quality of life, and providing equitable transportation choices in the Wausau area. Encouraging people of all ages and abilities to walk and bicycle requires varying degrees of information, support, and persuasion. The entire community, from businesses to schools, and government agencies to citizen groups, has a role to play in inspiring the population to move by bicycle or foot. The benefits are numerous – for example, employees who bike to work are healthier and more productive, and students who walk to school are better able to stay on task.

Some typical examples of activities that are considered part of the encouragement “E” include:

- Bicycle and pedestrian advisory committees,
- Bicycle rentals and repairs,
- Bicycling and walking maps,
- Community events such as farmers’ markets and festivals,
- Community leaders biking and walking,
- Financial incentives for employees who bike or walk to work, and
- Walking school buses.

This chapter explores current encouragement efforts in the Wausau area, includes recommendations for new programs, and suggests how current programs might be leveraged to more effectively entice people to try walking and bicycling for recreation and transportation purposes.



Encouragement takes many forms, including bike map kiosks (left; photo by Denis Helke), Bicycle Fixtations (center; photo by Aaron Ruff), and helmet give-away programs (right; photo by Andrew Plath Photography).

## Inventory of Current Encouragement Efforts

Community organizations and government agencies in the Wausau area are already using many tools to encourage bicycling and walking. In order to develop a plan that takes encouragement efforts to the next level, it is necessary to establish a baseline of existing activities. This foundation establishes the fact that there are already dedicated and energetic players who have creative ideas and resources to make it easier for people to ride bicycles and travel by foot. Creating a common understanding of existing efforts also minimizes the potential duplication of programming that can occur when planning for new or enhanced activities. Also, a sense of comradery will be enhanced when major players see that they are part of a larger effort to inspire folks to use human powered transportation.

The following inventory outlines the known encouragement efforts that regularly occur or have occurred within the last few years, and includes efforts led by government agencies, non-profits, schools, and businesses. It also includes ideas and suggestions for how current efforts can be made more effective.

Table 1: Inventory of Current Encouragement Efforts

Encouragement Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
Bike Fixtation	5 bicycle repair stations in convenient locations include an air pump, an area bike map, tools, and a work stand.	Wausau MPO	Expand the Fixtation network across the urbanized area and along key shared-use paths.
Bike Parks	2 extensive, seasonal networks of singletrack path for use by mountain bikers of all skill levels (Nine Mile County Forest, Sunny Vale County Park).	Central Wisconsin Offroad Cycling Coalition, Marathon County	A great entry point for people to experience bicycling and to attract people to visit or even relocate to the Wausau area.  CWOCC (the Central Wisconsin Offroad Cycling Coalition) has recently prepared a master plan that includes developing several additional mountain biking parks/trails in the Wausau area with the ultimate goal of becoming designated as an IMBA Ride Center.
Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committees	Citizen and public agency representatives serving on a Wausau MPO committee to advance bicycle and pedestrian improvements.	Wausau MPO and most of the area's municipalities	Write and post meeting minutes for websites, so that interested members of the public can be informed if they cannot attend meetings.
Bicycle & Pedestrian Advocacy Groups	Membership organizations that provide opportunities for riding bicycles and hiking or running with others, and advocating for bicycle/pedestrian trails and other related improvements.	Central Wisconsin Off Road Cycling Coalition, Friends of Mountain Bay Trail, Ice Age Trail – Marathon County Chapter, Wausau Area Striders, Wausau Wheelers Recreational Road Bike Club	Advocacy groups are often recreational clubs and therefore provide important social opportunities for bicyclists and hikers/runners. Expand to address the topic of bicycling and walking for transportation.  The BicycleWausau.org website is an important source of information about advocacy groups and is the primary way people in the Wausau area get local information about bicycling.

Encouragement Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
Bicycle/Pedestrian Print & Online Maps	15,000 print maps are distributed in locations such as bike shops, government buildings, health clinics, and fitness centers, showing signed bicycle routes, bike repair shops, and bicycle safety tips. Online pdf and google maps are also available.	Wausau MPO & numerous community sponsors	Informative and widely distributed. Continue to publish updated editions, including bicycle paths, bike shop names next to bike repair icons, low-stress bicycle routes, Metro Ride bike rack instructions, minor street names, one-way traffic arrows, park names, pedestrian laws and tips, pedestrian shortcut paths, and school names.
Bike Repair Shops & Rentals	6 bicycle shops in the Wausau area offer a variety of services, including repair, rental, sales, and classes.	Builer's Cycle & Fitness Center, City Bike Works, Rib Mountain Cycles, Shepherd & Schaller Sporting Goods, Trek Store of Wausau	Add information about bicycle rentals to store websites (a phone survey informed us that rentals are offered by Builer's Cycle & Fitness Center and Trek Store of Wausau). Add more bicycle rental opportunities so visitors and new residents can try bicycling in the Wausau area. Offer classes geared toward interest groups such as Hmong and women's groups.
Bicycle Rodeos	Several events are held annually geared toward children and families throughout the Wausau metro area, offering helmet giveaways, free bike inspections, bicycle safety stations, prizes, etc.	Marathon County Public Library, Marathon County Health Department, Safe Kids Wausau Area, Wausau Police Department, Town of Rib Mountain, City of Mosinee, Town of Weston, and the Everest Area Optimists.	Add bicycle rodeos to school gym curriculums.
Bicycle Tourism Information	A website contains a list of good locations to ride a bicycle outdoors in the Wausau area, along with maps and photographs.	Wausau/Central Wisconsin Convention & Visitors Bureau	Add bicycle rental locations to the "Travel Tools" page of the VisitWausau.com website (alongside car rentals). Feature a "bike and bed" option on the website for traveling bicyclists. Engage and encourage lodging establishments to participate.
Bike to Work with the Mayor	Mayor Tipple of Wausau leads an annual bicycle ride during Bike to Work Week.	Wausau Bike & Pedestrian Advisory Committee	Expand the Bike to Work event to include bike-shop-sponsored commuter pit stops along popular bicycle routes, offering free bicycle tune-ups, bicycle repair, refreshments, and prizes. Also add walking to work. Expand similar activities to other communities in the area, perhaps as part of a collective regional effort.

Encouragement Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
Community Events	High quality parades, concerts, festivals, and other gatherings are held year round to build community and create a fun atmosphere, most of which are centered in the walkable area of Downtown Wausau.	Wausau Events, Inc.	Offer free bicycle valet parking for people that arrive by bicycle.
Farmers' Markets	Vendors sell farm products at an open air market, encouraging a pedestrian friendly atmosphere, with customers walking between vendors.	Multiple, including (but not limited to) the Farmers Market of Wausau.	Offer bicycle parking and drinking water at farmers' markets.
Television Advertisement Campaign	A 30-second ad touts the Marathon County bike route system.	The Marshfield Clinic	Informative and wide-reaching. Continuing airing and updating the ad as needed, along with developing additional ads to provide broad-reaching education messages.
Walking Clubs	Several walking clubs exist in the Wausau area geared toward various populations (youth, women, and Hmong elders).	Boys & Girls Club of the Wausau Area; Hmong Area Hmong Mutual Association	Build upon the success of these programs by adding bicycling clubs, especially for youth.
Walking School Bus	An adult leader gathers and walks with children walking to Jones Elementary School on Walking Wednesday's.	Marathon County Public Health Department, Jones Elementary School, Safe Kids Wausau Area	Hire a staff person to organize walking school buses at additional schools. Address liability concerns by creating a comprehensive Safe Routes to School plan. Add information to school transportation websites which encourage walking and bicycling. Publish maps showing walking and biking routes to individual schools.
Walking Tour	Escorted or self-guided walking tours of Downtown Wausau and the Andrew Warren Historic District are offered.	Marathon County Historical Society	
Wheels Again	Donated bicycles are repaired and given to adults who have no transportation to get to work.	The Neighbor's Place	Create a website for the program or add information to the BicycleWausau.org website.
YMCA Fitness Classes	Indoor cycling for adults and summer mountain biking courses for youth are offered.	Woodson YMCA, Wausau; Aspirus YMCA, Weston	Add classes teaching outdoor cycling and hiking for adults and youth.

\*Encouragement tools were located by reviewing the Wausau Bicycle Friendly Community Award on the Bicycle Wausau website, the City of Wausau website, various google searches on the internet, phone interviews with local bicycle shops, and a phone conversation with Destinee Coenen at the Marathon County Department of Health.

## Inventory of Current and Potential Encouragement Partners

In the previous section, a wide variety of current players were listed, including the Wausau MPO, Marathon County departments, cities, schools, parks, businesses, and non-profit organizations. It is clear that many community organizations are already invested in encouraging bicycling and walking. But there are other parts of the community that can also play a leadership role in carrying out these and other encouragement tools:

Potential Players	Example Organizations (these are not limiting lists)	Types of Encouragement Activities
K-12 School Districts	Public and private, including Wausau, Marathon, D.C. Everest, Newman Catholic, Mosinee	Bicycle rodeos, Maps, Principals/teachers leading bike rides and walks, School competitions, Walking school buses
Higher Education	The University of Wisconsin – Marathon County, Northcentral Technical College, and other institutions	Bicycling and hiking/running clubs, Bike centers, Bike parking maps of campus, Bike rentals, Bike route maps near campus, Marketing promotion of bicycling and walking to campus
Employers	Asprius Wausau Hospital, City of Wausau, Downtown Grocery, Eastbay, Liberty Mutual Group, Red Eye Brewing Company, Wal-Mart	Bike parking, Bike and walk to work day events, Bicycle fleets for work use, Bicycle and pedestrian commuter cash and non-cash incentives (e.g., qualified transportation fringe benefits (26 U.S.C. sec. 132(f))), Sponsorships of bicycle & pedestrian advocacy groups, Top management biking and walking to work, Provision of facilities such as showers and bike racks
Non-profit Organizations	Aging & Disability Resource Center, American Indian Resource Center of Marathon County, Marathon Residential & Counseling Services, Wausau Area Hmong Mutual Association	Bicycle giveaways, Bicycle repair, Earn-a-bike programs, Field classes/trips using bicycle and foot transportation, Marketing promotion of using bicycles and foot transportation for trips

Opportunities to involve these types of organizations in encouragement efforts should be sought in order to broaden encouragement outreach to more people and groups, especially those that are historically under-served.

## Target Encouragement Audience

Determining who comprises the audience is an important component of developing encouragement tools for bicycling and walking. Many people will act upon intriguing opportunities or information that opens new possibilities. But intrigue and possibility are not “one size fits all” propositions. An attractive bicycling or walking opportunity to one person may look like a task filled with drudgery to another. Furthermore, a way of living for one person may look like a pure impossibility for another. Getting to know a group or groups of people is essential to successfully encouraging their experimentation with bicycling and walking. One way in which to do this is to consider the various ways in which different people relate to bicycling and walking, which may fall into one or more of the following categories.

**“My Experience with Bicycling”** – e.g. bike commuting, biking to school, not being on a bicycle since childhood, not knowing how to bicycle, recreational riding.

**“My Experience with Walking”** – e.g. crossing a busy street, hiking in the woods, mall walking, pushing a stroller, running marathons, shopping, using a motorized wheelchair, walking to/from bus stops.

**“My Interests”** – e.g. achieving academically, community building, getting by day-to-day, going on adventures, having fun, improving the environment, losing weight, saving money, staying active, staying safe, taking part in sports competition.

**“My Lack of Ability or Inclination to Bicycle or Walk”** – e.g. being in a rush, being worried about safety/liability, growing frail, living a far distance from town, living with a physical disability, traveling with children in tow.

**“My Profession”** – e.g. bicycle mechanic, health care professional, human service provider, law enforcement official, school principal, teacher, top level executive.

**“My Relationship to Government”** – e.g. bike/pedestrian advocate, bureaucrat, citizen, elected official, taxpayer.

**“My Social Identity”** – e.g. adult seeking financial assistance, college student, employer, environmentalist, immigrant, Native American, non-English speaker, parent, patient, person with a mental disability, mountain biker, road biker, teenager, tourist.

In some cases, there may be limited value in encouraging a group of people to bicycle or walk. But in others, it will be easy to meet an enthusiastic audience. Oftentimes though, there is a large group of people in the middle, who would enjoy biking and/or walking, but need to overcome real or perceived barriers to participate in active transportation. Encouragement tools can play a prominent role in helping these “people in the middle” to overcome barriers.

For the Wausau area, the primary target audiences for encouragement are school-aged children, people that work and/or shop within a few miles of their home, people looking to improve their health and well-being, and people that are considering trying biking or walking (especially those that are new to the area). However, there are likely other groups that can and should be encouraged and the above categories should be considered when preparing encouragement messages and activities.

## Encouragement Themes

A theme is a way of organizing various efforts into easy-to-understand categories that will help to align and focus the efforts of multiple organizations. Based on the current encouragement activities in the Wausau area; the vision, goals, and objectives of this plan; and the target audience for encouragement; three major encouragement themes have been developed, as outlined below. These themes are intended to provide the greatest impact for the amount of effort invested.

**Newcomers** are the bread and butter of the effort to increase bicycling and walking. One type of newcomer is a person that is inexperienced with walking or bicycling yet has some level of interest in trying these activities. There is a significant opportunity to attract newcomers by encouraging biking and walking—instead of driving—for short trips. This opportunity is wrapped in a challenge however, because it is of course difficult to change engrained travel habits. Speaking to newcomers requires a gentle approach, with an eye toward finding a comfortable entry point such as recreational bike riding or going for a stroll through a nearby park.

Newcomers can also include people who are new to the Wausau area (such as college students, a Midwest transplant, or an immigrant from a different country). Tourists and visitors are yet another category of newcomers. These latter groups can be easier to reach, in the respect that they have just arrived in a new locale. Thus, their habitual ways of traveling are either open to change, or just beginning to take shape.

**Healthy Communities/Healthy Kids** is a way to talk about bicycling and walking in relation to one of the greatest challenges of our time. With the recent precipitous rise of obesity and health care spending, bicycling and walking can be illustrated as an opportunity for Wausau area residents to build improved health into daily life. Incorporating education related to walking and biking into the physical education and health curricula of public and private elementary and middle schools is an opportunity to incorporate biking and walking in to the daily exercise ritual of families who live close to schools. Safe Routes to School plans and programs often result in neighborhood infrastructure improvements that increase safety for children walking or biking to school while also enhancing quality of life for families going to, for example, a park or a local store.

The public health sector is eager to play a positive role in helping communities increase physical activity, in a way that is fun, practical, and safe—for people of all ages and abilities. Insurance and healthcare providers can encourage biking and walking for recreation and utilitarian purposes. This effort takes advantage of the fact that people already know that exercise is lacking in our culture, and they see opportunities for physical activity as a way to improve life.

**Active Transportation** refers to the means of getting around by bicycle and on foot. Existing trips by human powered transport are typically short trips, which by bicycle is less than three miles, and by foot is less than one mile. Because such a high percentage of travel in the Wausau area is short in nature, these types of trips are the ones to target for active transportation. Furthermore, the vast majority of trips taken, such as the trip to work, out to restaurants, visiting friends, and shopping, are utilitarian in purpose (even driving trips to the gym or hiking trails could be targeted). People are oftentimes open to trying out some of these trips by bicycle or foot, especially if they already bicycle or walk for recreation and fitness.

These themes have the potential to be inter-related. For example, a 5<sup>th</sup> grade child who used to be driven to school by her parent, and now walks to school and bikes to her friend's house, is a newcomer who is improving her health by using active transportation.

## Recommendations for Encouragement Initiatives

Because there is such a wide variety of encouragement tools, carried out by such a diverse array of stakeholders, it is important to have an encouragement program that is inclusive with grassroots energy. Yet there is still the need for organization and partnership, in order to understand how the various parts fit into the whole. Therefore, this Plan recommends an encouragement program be developed at the MPO level, building off of the current “Bicycle Wausau” efforts. This program would benefit from a staff person who has the responsibility of engaging existing and potential partners (such as bike clubs, schools, cities, etc.), in order to carry encouragement to the next level. A good way to kick off this effort would be a summit on encouraging bicycle and pedestrian movement, where organizations would be convened to learn about Wausau-area-based activities, and make a plan to expand on existing programs.

This Plan recommends that new encouragement efforts focus on three priority initiatives which are aligned with the previously mentioned themes of Newcomers, Healthy Communities/Healthy Kids, and Active Transportation:

1. **Expand bike to work week efforts and activities.** Encouraging more people to bike to work is a very real possibility, especially for the many area residents who live within three miles of their workplace. Existing efforts such as the “Bike to Work with the Mayor” should be expanded to include activities like commuter stations (offering free bicycle tune-ups, bicycle repair, and refreshments), employer programs (with bicycle fleets, contests, events, group rides/walks, and incentives), and media campaigns (featuring advertisements, information, and stories). With nearly 1 out of 20 Wausau-area residents already biking or walking to work on a regular basis, targets should be set to directly reach 1,000 participants and institute employer programs at 5% of workplaces by the year 2020.
2. **Encourage biking and walking to school.** These efforts will reach the most important segment of newcomers to active transportation: children and young adults. All public and private schools should have Safe Routes to School plans (backed by SRTS committees) that detail the routes and changes needed to increase the percentage of youth biking and walking. Walking school buses and bike trains are great encouragement tools, and special events such as “Winter Walk Day” and “International Walk to School Day” get parents and children talking about how they get to school. Contests between classrooms and schools can build momentum and pride about biking and walking to school. Physical education curriculum that teaches safe walking and bicycling practices is especially important to increase safety and empower children to engage in active transportation. High schools and higher education institutions can take similar but more advanced steps to increase bicycling and walking, with students taking a greater level of responsibility. Bicycling and hiking/running clubs, bike centers, bike rentals, and marketing promotion of bicycling and walking can all be led by young adults.
3. **Hold Open Streets events.** These events (also known as *ciclovías*) can build upon the numerous events and festivals held in the area each year. With over 40 events held annually, such as Concerts on the Square and Marketplace Thursdays, Open Streets events can leverage these popular activities. By closing down a corridor to automobile traffic on a pre-determined day, bicyclists and pedestrians can move safely and easily. Open Streets events take on the quality of a community celebration, where classes, booths, storefronts, and activities provide areas to interact. These days can provide a great opportunity for people to get out and discover what biking and walking looks like. Most importantly, they demonstrate to participants the possibilities associated with walking and biking and hopefully entice people to continue biking and walking after these special events.
4. **Explore bike rental or bike share programs.** Pioneered in Europe in the 1970s, bike sharing systems have existed in the United States since Portland’s Yellow Bike Project began in 1994. In recent years, new programs have been rapidly expanding across the country and feature membership systems and the ability to find a bike to rent via the internet. These systems are recognized as effective tools for introducing people to cycling, supporting tourism, and increasing pedestrian activity in walkable retail areas as bike share systems help to connect walkable districts. This Plan does not specifically examine the feasibility of a bike share system; however, interest has been expressed by communities in the area in exploring the possibility of a small bike rental or bike share program. A feasibility analysis should be conducted to determine if such a system could work and how it would be funded. This analysis would

determine whether a single-point bike rental program or a multi-point bike share program would be more feasible. Consideration should also be given to which type of system is more compatible with the area's tourism objectives, such as whether the ability to cheaply borrow a utilitarian bike for quick transportation trips is more desirable than the ability to rent for more money a purpose-built mountain bike or road bike for longer periods of time.

# Chapter 5

# Education

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization




## The Role of Education

Education is an important component of improving the safety of bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists alike. Along with engineering and enforcement, it is one of the three pillars of traffic safety. Most bicycle and pedestrian crashes are predictable and therefore preventable. However, without proper knowledge and skills regarding how to interact with different types of road users, people may behave in ways that put themselves or others at unnecessary risk (e.g. failing to yield to pedestrians in crosswalks, bicycling against traffic, walking with traffic). Furthermore, poorly-trained road users may not use otherwise safe facilities as intended and can create resource-consuming enforcement issues. Education can provide all users of the traffic environment with the knowledge and skills they need to negotiate traffic safely and enjoyably.

In addition to directly teaching skills and rules, education has a role in increasing awareness of the rights of and challenges experienced by other users. While all users of the traffic environment share responsibility for safe behavior, the actions of some users are more impactful than those of others. Children, people with disabilities, and older adults, because of their physical and mental characteristics, are particularly vulnerable as pedestrians and bicyclists and pose little risk to others. Conversely, motorists are at little risk in crashes with pedestrians and bicyclists and are almost exclusively capable of causing the greatest harm (regardless of fault). It is for this reason that in the Netherlands fault is always placed on the motorist if he or she collides with a bicyclist or pedestrian unless he can prove he was overpowered by circumstances beyond his control. While this Plan cannot change the law in this way, education efforts in the Wausau area should be targeted to make drivers more aware of the effects of their actions while assuming a greater level of responsibility, while making vulnerable users—bicyclists and pedestrians of all ages—more aware of how to interact safely with motor vehicle traffic.

Education is also an important way to encourage people to try walking and bicycling. The benefits of walking and bicycling are tremendous. In fact, studies have found that the health benefits of bicycling and walking (reduced rates of obesity and diabetes as well as increased life span) greatly outweigh the risks associated with interacting with motor vehicle traffic.



Example educational material currently used in the Wausau Area. The "Share and Be Aware" message is used across the State of Wisconsin to promote safe interaction between bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists.

## Inventory of Current Education Efforts

There are several common formal and informal ways in which people receive bicycle and pedestrian safety information, including:

- Informal
  - Self-taught using information found in books, brochures, and online resources
  - Peers or parents based on their own knowledge and experience (some of which may be dubious)
- Formal
  - School classes or events, such as physical education classes or bicycle rodeos
  - Law enforcement classes or events, as well as one-on-one targeted messaging as part of on-the-street interactions with people exhibiting poor traffic skills
  - Bicycle and pedestrian safety experts, such as League Cycling Instructors (LCI)

Each of these learning methods has positive and negative aspects. For example, while parents may have the best interests of their children in mind, they may not have the skills to teach them how to bicycle safely in traffic.



Bicycle Wausau Rodeo and Safety Day. Photos by Andrew Plath Photography.

The following inventory (Table 1) outlines the known formal education efforts that regularly occur or have occurred within the last few years, and includes efforts led by government agencies, non-profits, schools, and businesses. It also includes ideas and suggestions for how current efforts can be made more effective.

Table 1: Inventory of Current Education Efforts

Education Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
PSA/Commercial	Formerly released a PSA/Commercial about new metropolitan bike route system, currently developing one on how to use Sharrows on Grand Ave.	Marathon County Health Department/ Local TV Station	Funded by Marshfield Clinic. Explore more partnerships of this type.
Weekly News Articles	Year round safety articles in local newspapers focusing on bicycle and pedestrian safety in spring.	Wausau Police Department/ Everest Metropolitan Police Department	Articles have been published for many years and seem to be well received.
Website	<a href="http://www.BicycleWausau.org">www.BicycleWausau.org</a>	Marathon County Health Department	Uses information provided mainly by the Bicycle Federation of Wisconsin and Wisconsin DOT.

Education Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
Safe Routes to School (SRTS)	Limited numbers of schools are embracing the concepts but it is not wide spread in the school districts.	Marathon County Health Department	A Safe Routes to School Plan with champions is needed to help grow SRTS activities. Schools and principals have a lot of autonomy for implementation.
Local Media	Local media willingly runs stories on bicycling and walking topics.	Marathon County Health Department/ Local Media	Continue to take full advantage of media's interest by providing them with regular material and opportunities.
Point of purchase outlets for distribution of bicycle education	Bicycle shops have expressed an interest in educating their customers.	Local bicycle shops	Partner with bicycle shops to disseminate bicycle education information.
Bicycle Clubs	Both clubs provide bicycle education.	Wausau Wheelers (road cyclists) and Central Wisconsin Off-road Cycling Coalition (CWOCC)	There has been a growth in both on and off road bicycling facilities. Clubs present a great opportunity for peer to peer training and education. Look for opportunities to partner with these clubs.
Marathon County Bicycle Route System	Signs have raised awareness and possibly increased comfort for bicyclists.	Marathon County	Maintain and enhance as recommended in this plan.
Bicycle Maps	The maps provide way - finding and education.	Marathon County	Look for opportunities to add additional education components in association with the maps.
Share & Be Aware Ambassadors	Regional Bicycle Ambassadors to assist with local education efforts.	Wisconsin Bicycle Federation	Continue to take advantage of this program as available.
Maintenance and Cycling 101 Seminars	Bicycle shops are beginning to offer basic maintenance and cycling 101 seminars.	Local bicycle shops	Bicycle shops are already places people come to maintain their bikes, so it is good to combine service with education.
Community Service Officer (CSO) Initiatives	CSOs patrol by bicycle, interacting with the public and distributing bicycle and pedestrian safety materials.	Wausau Police Department	Patrols are currently focusing on the downtown area which experiences high bike/Ped. volumes and crashes. Continue these patrols but consider expanding them to other locations and times with high bike/Ped. volumes (e.g. special events).
Safety City	Officer leads 2-week safety camp for 4-5 <sup>th</sup> graders. Camp includes bicycle and pedestrian safety.	Wausau Police Department	Continue Safety City and look for opportunities to coordinate safety messages with other educational initiatives. Develop similar programs with other area law enforcement agencies.

Education Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
Bicycle Rodeos	Hands on bicycle safety activities for children.	Most communities	Some law enforcement agencies provide an officer to assist with these activities. Continue to provide a law enforcement presence, as practical, to enhance the credibility of these events.
Bicycle and Skateboard Enforcement in Downtown Area	Officers educate on, then enforce, sidewalk riding violations in downtown area to decrease conflicts with pedestrians.	Wausau Police Department	Continue to educate bicyclists and skateboarders about pedestrian safety in high conflict areas. Enforce sidewalk riding laws as necessary to decrease conflicts and protect pedestrians.
Walking School Buses	Several schools are currently doing Walking School Buses.	Local schools/Marathon County Health Department	Support expansion of this program.
Wausau School District Bicycles	The school district owns approximately 1,000 bicycles.	Wausau School District	Take full advantage of this resource to provide on-bicycle training to as many students and community members as possible.
Safety Assemblies	County Health Department Educator provides safety assemblies upon request.	Marathon County Health Department/Schools	Formalize and advertise presentations and availability.
School Safety Patrols	Patrollers assist other students in crossing the street safely.	All elementary schools	Provide training to Safety Patrollers to be able to support and reinforce messages students are already receiving.
Crossing Guards	Help children cross the streets.	Municipalities	Provide additional advanced training on child pedestrian safety to be able to support and reinforce messages students are already receiving.
Physical Education Grants (PEP)	Funding made available to upgrade PE curriculum –walking and bicycling (life skills) could be added as approved activities.	Schools distinct	Continue to look for grants to include walking and bicycling activities in curriculum.
Bike to Work Week Activities	Multiple events held during the week including: Ride with the Mayor, Police Basic Education sessions, Bike and Walk for the Health of It Event, Wausau Family Bike Safety Day.	Area promoters and educators	Continue to provide education as part of Bike to Work Week.

\*Education tools were located by reviewing the Wausau Bicycle Friendly Community Award on the Bicycle Wausau website, the City of Wausau website, various google searches on the internet and phone interviews with stakeholders.

## Inventory of Current and Potential Education Partners

In the previous section, a wide variety of current players were listed, including the Wausau School District, Marathon County departments, cities, schools, businesses, and non-profit organizations. It is clear that many community organizations are already invested in educating about bicycling and walking. But there are other segments of the community that can also play a leadership role in carrying out these and other education tools as identified in Table 2.

Table 2: Inventory of Current and Potential Education Partners

Potential Players	Example Organizations (these are not limiting lists)	Types of Education Activities
Planners and Engineers	Municipalities and private contractors	Facilitate training for planners and engineers on best practices for improving pedestrian and bicycle safety and accommodation through the built environment.
School Districts	Public and private	Promote and support Safe Routes to School programs that teach key players in the educational system (e.g., students, teachers, staff, parents) about safe walking and bicycling practices and the associated benefits.
Public Health Educators	Marathon County Health Department	Support the Marathon County Health Department's education efforts and coordination of other area bicycle and pedestrian safety and encouragement activities (e.g., education through rodeos, bicycle clubs and shops).
Government Staff	All local and county government staff	Coordinate various department activities related to bicycle and pedestrian safety and accommodation.
Elected Officials	All local and county elected officials	Guide municipal staff towards improving bicycle and pedestrian safety and accommodation by providing training on accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians in their jurisdictions.
Media	Local newspapers, radio, and TV stations	Encourage the local media to publicize bicycle and pedestrian safety and accommodation initiatives.
Law Enforcement Agencies and Officers	Study area law enforcement agencies	Facilitate, or otherwise support, "Pedestrian & Bicycle Law Enforcement Training" for officers to enhance bicycle and pedestrian safety and accommodation through "Routine" patrol, bicycle rodeos, etc.
Motorists	MPO, Marathon County Health Department, AAA	Promote motorist awareness of bicycle and pedestrian safety. Produce PSAs and other PR materials to target aggressive and inattentive driving.
National/State Advocacy Organizations	League of American Bicyclists, Bicycle Federation of Wisconsin, Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center	Provide a connection to national and state level bicycle and pedestrian information.

Opportunities to involve these types of organizations in education efforts should be sought in order to broaden education outreach to more people and groups, especially those that are historically under-served.

## Target Education Audience

Determining who comprises the target audience for education efforts is an important component of developing education programs and activities to improve roadway safety. While all road users—from experienced bicyclists to new teenage drivers—will benefit from education, there are certain audiences that should be especially targeted in order to have the greatest effect on reducing the number and severity of crashes involving drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians. With this in mind, the primary target audiences for education are school-aged children (especially those in third, fourth, and fifth grade), drivers (since they are capable of causing the greatest harm to vulnerable users), and people new to biking (especially those that are new to the area).

Bicycle and pedestrian safety education is particularly important for children. Children (up to age 15) have several cognitive and physical limitations that affect their ability to safely interact with motor vehicle traffic, including:

- Having one-third less peripheral vision than adults.
- Not being able to perceive danger until they are nine or 10 years old.
- Not being able to easily judge a car's speed and distance.
- Being easily distracted.
- Often having difficulty determining the direction of sound.
- Being impatient and impulsive.
- Assuming that if they can see a car, its driver can see them.

Children, along with the elderly and disabled, are among our most vulnerable users of the traffic environment. For these and other reasons, our education efforts must be geared to protect them. If our educational efforts are geared to keep our most vulnerable users safe, they should work well for the more traffic savvy and capable.



In a multi-modal transportation system, education is equally important for motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians—and for adults as well as children.

## Recommended Education Messages

There are only a few violations of the law which lead to the majority of bicycle and pedestrian crashes. Educational messages should be targeted at preventing these and, to a lesser extent, decreasing perceived safety issues and encouraging courteous road and trail usage.

### Share & Be Aware

The Wausau MPO, Marathon County, Wausau-area communities, advocates, and others should continue conveying the statewide Share & Be Aware message, which contains a broad array of sub-messages and applies to bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists:

- **Bicyclists** – As the operators of vehicles (as defined by state statute) bicyclists have the same rights and responsibilities as the operators of other vehicles.
  - Always wear a helmet
  - Yield the right-of-way when entering the road (*applies to motorists, as well*)
  - Ride in the same direction as other vehicular traffic
  - Stop, and then yield the right-of-way, at stop signs and red signals (*applies to motorists, as well*)
  - When making turns, yield to road users that have the right-of-way (*applies to motorists, as well*)
  - Use proper lane position
  - Signal turns in advance and show clear intent (*applies to motorists, as well*)
  - Use lights and reflectors at night
- **Pedestrians**
  - Use sidewalks and trails when available
  - Walk facing traffic
  - Cross the street at intersections in crosswalks (show clear intent to cross and pay attention when crossing)
  - Yield the right-of-way when crossing mid-block
  - Be aware of dangerous situations and poor motorist visibility, especially at night and during inclement weather.
- **Motorists**
  - Share the road with bicyclists
  - Yield the right-of-way when entering the road (*applies to bicyclists, as well*)
  - Stop, and then yield the right-of-way, at stop signs and red signals (*applies to bicyclists, as well*)
  - When making turns, yield to road users that have the right-of-way (*applies to bicyclists, as well*)
  - Pass bicycles with a minimum of 3 feet
  - Signal turns in advance and show clear intent (*applies to bicyclists, as well*)
  - Yield to pedestrians in crosswalks



**BICYCLE SAFETY IS A TWO-WAY STREET**

**MOTORISTS**

- Be patient when passing a bicyclist—slow down and pass only when it's safe. Allow clearance of at least three feet.
- Be on the lookout—watch for and yield to bicyclists before making a turn.
- Stay alert and avoid distracted driving—put away mobile devices, food, and makeup

[www.bicyclewausau.org/safety](http://www.bicyclewausau.org/safety)



**BICYCLE SAFETY IS A TWO-WAY STREET**

**BICYCLISTS**


- Walk your bike on all sidewalks in downtown Wausau.
- Follow the law—obey all traffic signs and signals. Ride on the road in the same direction as traffic.
- See and be seen—wear a helmet and bright colors, reflective gear and use head and tail lights.
- Remove headphones and stay off cell phones
- Communicate your intent—look, yield to traffic and signal before turning or changing lanes.

[www.bicyclewausau.org/safety](http://www.bicyclewausau.org/safety)

Example quick reference "Safety Cards" provided on the BicycleWausau.org website based on the Share & Be Aware message.

## Your Choices Matter.

Excessive speed and aggressive and inattentive driving should especially be targeted with the phrase “Your choices matter,” which points out that it is a choice whether one drives inattentively, recklessly, under the influence, or safely and courteously. This message can be modified to target certain behaviors. For example, “**Slow down. Your choices matter**” specifically targets speeding and encourages people to drive at or below the posted speed limit. This is important because motor vehicle speed is the primary determinant of the severity of a crash with a pedestrian or bicyclist—the higher the speed in a crash, the greater the likelihood of death or serious injury.



As part of its “Vision Zero” campaign to eliminate traffic deaths, New York City uses the “Your choices matter” message with powerful, if graphic, imagery. Source: New York City Department of Transportation.

Another version especially important for Wausau is “**Watch for pedestrians. Your choices matter.**” Pedestrians are the most vulnerable road users and crashes involving pedestrians typically occur when a person is crossing the street and either the pedestrian or the motorist failed to yield. In communities throughout the Wausau area, many people fail to yield to pedestrians in crosswalks. This message should be used alongside information that conveys to motorists that state law requires drivers to yield to pedestrians in crosswalks, whether marked or unmarked. Although the issue that this version of the message targets is motorist failure to yield, it will also reach pedestrians who can ensure their personal safety by being alert to inattentive drivers.

## Recommendations for Education Initiatives

This Plan recommends that education efforts focus on the core set of messages for bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists outlined on the previous page that are then distributed through a wide variety of meetings, classes, events, and individual interactions. This will raise awareness of the educational messages and develop broad based support for changing the culture. Collectively, the stakeholders in the Wausau area should develop an education program that begins with broad awareness of the education messages and works down to deeper levels of individual training. This program should including the following elements:

1. Adopt a set of educational messages (see previous section)
2. Create an education marketing plan and identify and fund an education coordinator
3. Review existing bicycle and pedestrian safety materials (including BicycleWausau.org and bicycle rodeo materials) and update and create new as needed
4. Continue developing public service announcements (PSAs) for television and radio and consider producing billboards, posters, bumper stickers, etc.
5. Coordinate PSAs with enforcement activities to target aggressive and inattentive driving
6. Certify a base group of League Cycling Instructors (LCIs)
7. Offer bicycle and pedestrian training for area planners and engineers
8. Include bicycle and pedestrian safety information in Driver's Education courses
9. Provide bicycle and pedestrian safety training to school crossing guards and school patrollers
10. Provide bicycle and pedestrian safety training to law enforcement officers
11. Promote weekly family bicycle rides with trained leaders
12. Encourage the development of Safe Routes to School programs
13. Promote bicycle and pedestrian safety through existing community events

### Model Education Program

Arthur Ross, the Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator for the City of Madison, has developed a table (Table 3) which describes an ongoing program of traffic safety starting at the youngest ages and progressing through driver's education. This table identifies developmental ability groups and what each needs to hear, see, and practice. It can be very helpful when developing evaluating bicycle safety programs.

Table 3: Model Education Program (City of Madison)

Target Audience	Secondary Audience	Educational Goals
Kids 0-4 (preschool)	Parents Day Care Providers Preschool Teachers Motorists Police Officers	Directed at parents: How to safely bike with children in a child seat or bike trailer. Riding toy safety (big wheels, etc.); driveway and sidewalk issues; stay out of street (boundaries); helmets.
Kids 5-7 (Grades K-2)	Parents Preschool Teachers Teachers Motorists Police Officers	General focus on pedestrian safety. How to cross a street safely; mid-block crossing; curb/edge of road as boundary. Look left-right-left for traffic. Visibility issues (e.g., parked car as a visual screen); make own decision when it is safe to cross, do not just follow the leader. Note: These lessons apply to bicycle safety as well.

Target Audience	Secondary Audience	Educational Goals
Kids 8-10 (Grades 3-5)	Parents Teachers After School Programs Motorists Police Officers	Beginning bicycling on the street; how to enter the street safely (reemphasis of previous age group lessons); which side of the road to ride on; checking for traffic from behind before turning or changing roadway position; stop signs; hazard awareness and avoidance; communicating with other road users; helmets. Learning should take place on-bike as much as possible.
Kids 11-14 (Grades 6-9)	Parents Teachers Motorists Police Officers	Continuation of previous age group skills and move on to more advanced skills: emergency stop; rock dodge; instant turn; lane position in traffic when turning; multi-gear bikes (cadence); route selection; bike and helmet selection, fit, and adjustment; how to fix a flat tire; nutrition for bicycling (eating and drinking); teaching bicycling as a life-long activity.
Kids 15-18 (Grades 10-12)	Parents Teachers Driver's Ed Instructors Motorists Police Officers	There are two tracks to follow at this age group: continuation of advanced bicycling skills (operating a bicycle in traffic as a vehicle) and, in driver's education, teaching how motorists safely interact with bicyclists (and pedestrians) in traffic.
Adult Bicyclists	Motorists Police Officers	Operating a bicycle as a vehicle in traffic; everything listed above.
Motorists	Police Officers	How to safely share the road with bicyclists. Bicyclists' and motorists' rights and responsibilities vis-à-vis each other.
Parents	Day Care Providers Preschool Teachers After School Programs Youth Group Leaders Police Officers	Proper bike and helmet size, fit, and adjustment; encourage parents to ride with their children, observe their abilities, and grant independence/responsibility as each child is ready. Most parents will need all the information listed above for adult bicyclists as well as the specific information for their children's age groups.
Police Officers		All of the above as well as the importance of enforcement (of both bicycle and motorist violations) as part of the overall traffic safety program.

# Chapter 6

# Enforcement

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## The Role of Enforcement

Of the three of the “Five E’s” that traditionally pertain to traffic safety (engineering, education, and enforcement), active enforcement is generally the “last defense” in avoiding crashes, following properly-engineered infrastructure and properly-educated road users. However, enforcement plays a vital role in correcting improper and unsafe behavior by bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists. Enforcement can, and should support other bicycle and pedestrian safety efforts. In addition to its punitive roll, for example, enforcement has the ability to educate. In fact, most law enforcement officers spend the majority of their time educating as opposed to writing tickets.

While all road users should be educated on the rules of the road and how to safely interact with each other, and transportation infrastructure must be designed to be safe and understandable, enforcement is important to ensure that people are properly using the transportation system.

This chapter explores current enforcement efforts in the Wausau area, includes recommendations for new programs, and suggests how current programs might be leveraged to more effectively entice people to try walking and bicycling for recreation and transportation purposes.

### Goals of Enforcement

The main goals of law enforcement are often stated as follows:

- Improve voluntary compliance with the law
- Identify and correct violator and repeat violator behavior
- Reinforce education efforts
- Affect a behavioral change in the community
- Reduce the number of crashes
- Reduce the consequences resulting from these crashes

### Enforcement Options

It is important to understand that law enforcement officers are trained to use the lowest level of enforcement possible to effectuate the desired change. A range of options are available from positive reinforcement to highly-punitive actions:

- Positive reinforcement programs (least punitive)
- Verbal warnings
- Written warnings
- Citations
- Arrests (most punitive)

### Enforcement Challenges

While there are roles for citizens (such as neighborhood pace cars, reporting unsafe drivers, citizen radar, crime watch, etc.), law enforcement officers are the only ones that can enforce laws. Unfortunately, most law enforcement officers have never received any bicycle- or pedestrian-specific training and officers may find it challenging to enforce laws that they do not know or cannot defend. Nationwide, there is a relatively low level of enforcement activity for bicycle and pedestrian safety taking place. Based on interviews with several law enforcement agencies in the area, this appears to be true within the Wausau metro area as well. Simply stated, the levels of enforcement for bicycle and pedestrian safety are not what they could be.

There is a need for coordination of enforcement activities between law enforcement agencies. For any given trip, most bicyclists and pedestrians, like motorists, are not concerned with what city, village, town, or county they are in. Instead, they



There is considerable overlap between enforcement and education activities. The most visible indication of this overlap is the involvement of law enforcement officers in education courses and programs, such as the bicycle rodeo shown in this image.

are more concerned with getting from place to place in the most efficient way possible. For this reason it is important to provide non-motorized travelers with a consistent set of laws and expectations, just like motorists. For example, if sidewalk riding is permitted in one city, but prohibited in an adjacent one, a bicyclist traveling between these two cities may be unaware that he is breaking that law.

### Target Enforcement Violations

How bicycle and pedestrian crashes happen is largely predictable and, therefore, preventable. The vast majority of crashes are related to a very small number of violations of the law, as discussed in Chapter 2. If these violations can be reduced or eliminated, there should be a significant improvement in pedestrian and bicycle safety. By enforcing key violations, law enforcement can literally stop crashes before they happen.

According to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation brochure, Enforcement for Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety: Are You Prepared?, more than 80% of pedestrian and bicycle crashes with motor vehicles involve the following violations (Wisconsin State Statute references are in *italics*):

#### Motorist

- Failure to yield right-of-way to pedestrian/bicyclist (in crosswalk) – controlled/uncontrolled intersection or crosswalk (346.23(1)/346.24(1))
- Improper Turn (such as turning from the wrong lane or failing to signal) (346.34(1))
- Failure to obey stop sign/signal (346.46(1)/346.37)
- Failure to stop for school bus – flashing lights (346.48(1))
- Passing vehicle stopped for pedestrian (346.24(3))
- Operating While Intoxicated (OWI) (346.63)

#### Bicyclist

- Riding facing traffic (346.05)
- Failure to obey stop sign/signal (346.46(1)/346.37)
- Improper Turn (such as turning from the wrong lane or failing to signal) (346.34(1))
- Failure to use required lights and reflectors (on-road, sidewalks) (347.489(1))
- Failure to yield right-of-way to pedestrian (in crosswalk) – controlled/uncontrolled intersection or crosswalk (346.23(1)/346.24(2))
- Failure to yield right-of-way to vehicle – non-crosswalk (346.25)

#### Pedestrian

- Failure to yield right-of-way to motor vehicle when crossing the street – controlled/uncontrolled intersection or crosswalk (346.23(1)/346.24(2))
- Failure to yield right-of-way to vehicle – non-crosswalk (346.25)
- Failure to obey pedestrian control signal (346.38)
- Unsafe crossing against red light/arrow (346.37)
- Failure to walk facing traffic when walking on the roadway (346.28(1))

## Inventory of Current Enforcement Efforts

Enforcement is a longstanding component of overall community safety. As such, it is important to look at current enforcement efforts related to bicycle and pedestrian safety. There are already a number of enforcement initiatives being undertaken by several law enforcement agencies which contribute directly to bicycle and pedestrian safety. It is important to acknowledge, build upon, and coordinate these efforts across political boundaries.

The inventory that follows highlights the known bicycle and pedestrian enforcement efforts which occur regularly or have occurred within the past few years. It also includes ideas and suggestions for how current efforts can be made more effective.

Table 1: Inventory of Current Enforcement Efforts

Education Tool*	How it Looks	Major Players	Comments/Recommendations
Weekly News Articles	Year-round safety articles in local newspapers – focusing on bicycle and pedestrian safety in spring.	Wausau Police Department	Articles have been done for many years and seem to be well received.
Community Service Officer (CSO) Initiatives	CSOs patrol by bicycle, interacting with the public and distributing bicycle and pedestrian safety materials.	Wausau police Department	Patrols are currently focusing on the downtown area which has high bike/pedestrian volumes and crashes. Continue these patrols but consider expanding them to other locations and times with high bike/pedestrian volumes (e.g. special events).
Safety City	Officer leads 2-week safety camp for 4-5 <sup>th</sup> graders. Camp includes bicycle and pedestrian safety.	Wausau Police Department	Continue Safety City and look for opportunities to coordinate safety messages with other educational initiatives. Develop similar programs with other area law enforcement agencies.
"Routine" Patrol	Officers have the opportunity to "routinely" enforce laws related to bicycle and pedestrian safety.	All Law Enforcement Agencies	All officers on patrol should continue to look for opportunities to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety through the enforcement of laws. Increased bicycle and pedestrian safety training for officers should increase these opportunities.
Bicycle Rodeos	Hands on bicycle safety activities for children.	Most communities	Some law enforcement agencies provide an officer to assist with these activities. Continue to provide a law enforcement presence, as practical, to enhance the credibility of these events.
Community Partnerships	In general, law enforcement agencies work with, and provide credibility to, community education/enforcement efforts.	All Law Enforcement Agencies	Continue to honor requests by community organizations to participate in activities which promote the enforcement message.
Bicycle and Skateboard Enforcement in Downtown Area	Officers educate on, then enforce, sidewalk riding violations in downtown area to decrease conflicts with pedestrians.	Wausau Police Department	Continue to educate bicyclists and skateboarders about pedestrian safety in high conflict areas. Enforce sidewalk riding laws as necessary to decrease conflicts and protect pedestrians.

\*Enforcement efforts were located by reviewing the Wausau Bicycle Friendly Community Award on the Bicycle Wausau website, the City of Wausau website, various google searches on the internet, and phone interviews with Lieutenant Nathan Pekarske, Wausau Police Department, Captain Randall Hillmann and Lieutenant Shawn McCarthy, Marathon County Sheriff's Department and Chief Wally Sparks, Everest Metro Police Department.

Area law enforcement agencies have limited dedicated resources in terms of budgets and manpower for addressing bicycle and pedestrian safety issues, however, they have expressed a desire to increase enforcement efforts and receive specialized training. Furthermore, many law enforcement agencies are lending their support to educational efforts lead by other agencies and organizations.

## Target Enforcement Behaviors

This Plan recommends a targeted approach to enforcement for pedestrian and bicycle safety. This approach focuses on law violations which lead to the most common crash types (which are listed at the beginning of this chapter). Of these, failure to yield right-of-way to a pedestrian or bicyclist in the crosswalk, failure to obey stop sign/signal, and riding without lights or reflectors should be especially targeted. These targeted behaviors inform the development of enforcement strategies and activities to improve roadway safety.

Special attention should be given to enforcement relating to typically under-represented user groups, including children, women, people of color, and the Hmong community. Officers should exercise additional sensitivity when interacting with these groups, using the least punitive means possible, in order to not discourage individuals from continuing to walk and bike.

## Primary Enforcement Messages

There are three main reasons why a person would choose to change their traffic-related behavior from something that is unsafe and illegal to something that is safer and legal (i.e. riding against the flow of traffic). The first reason is safety. Some people simply need to be taught that a certain behavior is unsafe and given an alternative and they will change that behavior. Others are motivated by the desire to be a good person and adhere to the social norms. If they are told that their actions are not courteous and given an acceptable alternative, they will change their behavior. Education and awareness efforts, such as public service announcements, are the primary ways to change behavior related to these first two reasons. However, a small group of individuals will only respond to the threat of enforcement.

Messages for enforcement should mirror the education messages identified in Chapter 5—“**Share & Be Aware**” and “**Your Choices Matter**.” Any public outreach efforts based on these messages should convey the possibility of punitive action, especially as it relates to the target enforcement behaviors described above.

## Recommendations for Enforcement Initiatives

Law enforcement officers are the only ones who can enforce laws for pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists yet law enforcement agencies have limited budgets and manpower. Therefore, it is vitally important that officers be provided with training specific to enforcement for pedestrian and bicycle safety in order to most efficiently and equitably enforce the law. This training should be designed to raise police awareness of bicycle and pedestrian issues, identify the most important laws to enforce, budget limited resources, and help them gain support from the public for their enforcement efforts.

A single training effort, however, is not adequate to institutionalize knowledge pertaining to bicycle and pedestrian safety. Instead, a multi-faceted continuum of training implemented over time has proven to be more effective. In such a continuum, all officers are provided with basic bicycle and pedestrian safety information, via a tool like a brochure. Over a period of months officers are provided with more advanced training through tools such as safety materials available to the general public, roll call videos, computer-based training, and instructor-led training. The latter stages of the continuum are more labor intensive but are targeted at only a few officers who will become the bicycle and pedestrian expert resources for their departments.

As a way of strengthening law enforcement's role in improving bicycle and pedestrian safety and comfort in the study area, this Plan recommends the following:

1. **Start an Enforcement for Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety program within each agency.** This program should revolve around the leading causes of bicycle and pedestrian crashes and develop a department strategy for reducing these crashes. This program will focus much needed attention on enforcement for bicycle and pedestrian safety issues. Designating an officer to be in charge of the program is the first step.
2. **Implement a Continuum of Training in Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Training for Law Enforcement program.** The continuum approach includes multiple types of media (written, video, interactive computerized-based training) and personalized classroom instruction to appeal to a broad cross section of officers with different learning styles. It consists of the following tools:
  - "Enforcement for Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety" brochure
  - National and local pedestrian and bicycle safety materials
  - Pedestrian and bicycle safety videos to be shown at roll call
  - Computer-based pedestrian and bicycle safety training
  - Instructor-led, two-day, Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement course

These tools are implemented over a period of time and have been shown throughout Wisconsin and across the country to be effective in raising officers' knowledge base about enforcement for bicycle and pedestrian safety and to increase the likelihood that they will take enforcement action when necessary.

Additional information is provided in the appendix.

3. **Conduct targeted enforcement operations.** Targeted enforcement operations like red light running and failure to yield to pedestrians at crosswalks raise the general public's knowledge about bicycle and pedestrian safety and the need to comply with relevant laws.
4. **Work more closely with engineering, education, encouragement, and evaluation efforts.** Each law enforcement agency should deepen relationships with municipal public works and planning departments, as well as the Marathon County Health Department, in order to identify shared goals and objectives and develop a deeper understanding of the strengths, weaknesses, and needs for support of each "E." Example activities include presenting new infrastructure and traffic control designs to law enforcement officers prior to construction. Better communication between the Five E's will strengthen each, leading to a more comprehensive solution to bicycle and pedestrian safety problems.

# Chapter 7

# Implementation

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## Infrastructure Implementation Strategies

There are many ways to implement bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure projects. The following section outlines the most common and practical strategies that will be used to implement the recommendations of this plan. However, this list is not exclusive and unique opportunities or approaches that fall outside of these strategies should be considered if they will produce the same results.

### Modify Roadway Configurations to Utilize Existing Pavement

One of the most significant opportunities for providing bicycle facilities in the Wausau metro area is the excess pavement width found along many streets. This opportunity allows rapid implementation of this Plan in a very cost-effective manner. This strategy can be enacted in three ways:

**Stripe bike lanes on existing pavement.** Several streets in the study area have ample pavement width for a bike lane to simply be added without changing the configuration of travel lanes. Generally, on-street parking is not common on streets within this category, or if it is ample pavement width exists to stripe bike lanes and retain on-street parking as well. In some cases, however, it may be necessary to prohibit on-street parking at all times or during peak periods.

**Reconfigure On-Street Parking.** Some streets in the area have striped or unstriped on-street parking lanes that see relatively little use. These are opportunities for adding bike lanes, either through removing on-street parking or striping wide (10 to 12 feet) combination lanes to accommodate bicycling and parking. Thomas Street is an example of this type of opportunity.

**Road Diets and Lane Diets.** Quite a few streets in the area, such as Sherman Street (between 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 28<sup>th</sup> Avenue) have more travel lanes than are needed for the traffic volume carried by the street. Other streets have lanes that are wider than necessary. In these locations, performing “road diets” (where unnecessary lanes are removed) and “lane diets” (where existing lanes are simply narrowed) can provide adequate space for the provision of bike lanes. In some cases, road diets involve converting a 4-lane street to a 3-lane configuration with a center turn lane, a travel lane in each direction, and a bike lane in each direction. The lane diet approach has the greatest feasibility where wider lanes exist in the first place or enough space exists in the parking and the travel lanes to repurpose space to mark bicycle lanes. This strategy most often employs the use of 11 foot travel lanes and requires other considerations such as the presence of truck routes.



Example of a “4 to 3” road diet, in which a four-lane street was converted to two lanes with a two-way left turn lane and bike lanes on each side. Evidence of pavement marking and stripe eradication can be seen.

The cost for pavement marking, road diet, and lane diet projects can be relatively quite small, especially if coordinated with communities’ regular striping programs, so funding will primarily come from each individual community. However, these projects may be eligible for funding from the Transportation Alternatives Program and other grant programs.

## Enhance Suitable Bicycle Routes with Wayfinding and Regulatory Signs and Markings

Many streets and roads in the study area are suitable for bicycling without dedicated accommodations (such as bike lanes, paved shoulders, or paths). However, many could benefit substantially from additional treatments that enhance the routes for bicycling. Such treatments include bicycle boulevards, shared lane markings (sharrows), bike route and wayfinding signs, and route maps (see Chapter 3 for guidance on when each of these treatments are appropriate). Simple, low-cost treatments—such as sharrows and signs—can provide considerable benefit to the user simply by confirming that they are on a designated bikeway. Other, more costly treatments like traffic calming along a bicycle boulevard can improve user comfort by lowering motor vehicle traffic speeds and potentially reducing traffic volumes.

The cost for treatments such as these can be relatively quite small, so funding will primarily come from each individual community. However, these projects may be eligible for funding from the Transportation Alternatives Program and other grant programs.

## Coordinate Bikeway, Path, and Sidewalk Implementation with Upcoming Roadway Projects

The most cost-effective and coordinated way to provide bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure (bike lanes, sidepaths, sidewalks, curb extensions, etc.) is to do so as part of a larger roadway reconstruction, rehabilitation, or repaving project. Conversely, it is not typically cost-effective or even feasible to widen roadways as a stand-alone project solely intended to accommodate bicycle infrastructure (especially in urban areas with curbs and gutters, storm sewer inlets, and constrained rights-of-way). The Wausau MPO and each individual community can implement this strategy by adopting Complete Streets policies (see Chapter 1) that apply to new construction, reconstruction, and 3R (resurfacing, restoration, or rehabilitation) projects on all streets and roads in the area.



Providing bicycle accommodations as part of a larger roadway project often means simply adding a few additional feet of pavement. Depending on right-of-way constraints, the impact on a street project's cost can be very minimal—often resulting in a cost increase between two and 10 percent. The source of funding for bicycle and pedestrian accommodations provided in this manner should be that of the larger roadway project.

## Prioritize Stand-Alone Projects that Provide High-Value Connections

In some instances, stand-alone projects will be necessary in order to provide bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure—this is especially true for paths and bicycle/pedestrian bridges. These projects tend to be the most costly and local, state, and federal funding dedicated for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure is very limited. One mile of path can cost as much as 5 to ten miles of road diet projects. Therefore, stand-alone projects should be prioritized based on their ability to provide high-value connections to destinations and other low-stress bikeways.

Funding for stand-alone projects may come from a variety of local, regional, state, and federal sources. Example sources include the federal Surface Transportation Urban Program (STP Urban) administered locally by the Wausau MPO, municipal general funds, and federal Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) grants. For path projects, the federal Recreational Trails Program can be a source of funding.

## Committed Projects

Six street and road projects are considered “committed” at the time of this writing. This means that funding for the project has been identified and set aside for these projects, for which municipalities or the County may begin engineering and/or acquiring right-of-way. Each project will include some form of bicycle and accommodation, as outlined below. These projects will likely be built prior to many of the other infrastructure recommendations included in this Plan. However, minor projects such as restriping may occur prior to these committed projects.

### 2016 Projects

- **Grand Avenue** (from Kort Street, past the Wausau Country Club, to Business 51 on the north end)
  - Location: City of Schofield
  - Description: This project will be a full reconstruction resulting in an urban cross section with curb and gutter, sidewalks, and bike lanes.

### 2018 Projects

- **Townline Road** (from Grand Avenue east to the city limits, located approximately at Easthill Drive)
  - Location: City of Wausau
  - Description: This project is anticipated to be a full reconstruction of the urban cross section, and is anticipated to include bike lanes and sidewalks.
- **Townline Road** (from the Wausau city limits east to County Highway X)
  - Location: Marathon County, east of the City of Wausau
  - Description: This project is anticipated to be a minor reconstruction or mill and overlay of the existing rural cross section with the addition of wide paved shoulders.
- **1st Avenue** (from Thomas Street to Stewart Avenue)
  - Location: City of Wausau
  - Description: This project is anticipated to be a full reconstruction of the one-way urban cross section including the addition of a bike lane.
- **Rib Mountain Drive** (from Morning Glory Lane to Cloverland Lane; and from Oriole Lane to Robin Lane)
  - Location: Town of Rib Mountain
  - Description: This project is anticipated to include partial reconstruction of two non-continuous segments of Rib Mountain Drive. It will include the addition of sidewalks and may include some bicycle accommodation.
- **Old Highway 51** (from Kowalkski Road to Village Way).
  - Location: Village of Kronenwetter
  - Description: This project is anticipated to be a partial reconstruction including wide paved shoulders.

## Bicycle Network Action Plan

Implementation of the Bicycle Network Recommendations can be very rapid due to the extensive opportunities provided by excess pavement width throughout the study area. To indicate the relative ease of implementation and phasing, projects have been grouped into three categories:

- **Top Priority** – Projects that, when implemented, will make substantial improvements to the existing bicycle system by overcoming barriers and/or closing gaps in the existing path and bikeway networks. These projects generally match the description of the “Near Term” projects discussed below.
- **Near Term** – Projects that are relatively easy to implement right now (assuming funding is available). These recommendations involve simple treatments such as striping/restriping the roadway. Near Term projects are those that are essentially “shovel-read” and satisfy at least one of three criteria. First, a project is considered Near Term if it does not require expansion of a roadway where curbs and gutters are present. These are retrofit projects that generally involve simply adding new stripes or modifying lane configurations. Second, a project is considered Near Term if it is reflected in an adopted plan from a local jurisdiction with a timeframe of less than five years. Third, a project is considered Near Term if the roadway is scheduled for upcoming major construction work.
- **Build Out** – Projects that are more challenging that will have to wait until a street is reconstructed, a traffic study is performed, etc. Build Out projects are remaining projects which do not satisfy any of the Near Term criteria. Typically, these are recommendations for highly-constrained roadways with no feasible solution for repurposing existing roadway space and no immediate plans for reconstruction. In other words, these recommendations are those that are likely only feasible as part of a larger street or road reconstruction project or those that would need to be verified by way of an individual traffic study.

Some streets have both a Top Priority or Near Term and a Build Out recommendation. In these cases, the Top Priority or Near Term recommendation is an interim solution that will provide some level of improvement but will not yield the desired level of comfort for bicyclists. The Build Out recommendation is then what is really needed (but likely cannot be accomplished immediately) to accommodate bicyclists of various abilities and levels of comfort.

Top Priority projects are identified on the following pages. Tables and maps of Near Term (including Top Priority) and Build Out projects are provided at the end of this chapter.

### Top Priority Project Overview

Projects were assigned to the Top Priority category because of their ability to quickly make substantial improvements to the Wausau area’s bicycle system. The majority of these projects are along moderate- to high-stress street segments that comprise portions of current metropolitan bike routes, and the recommended improvements will improve the segment’s stress score by at least one order of magnitude (for example, adding bike lanes would increase Sherman Avenue’s stress rating from LTS 4 to LTS 2). Top Priority projects are summarized in Table 1 and are also included in the more detailed Near Term projects table at the end of this chapter.

Table 1: Top Priority Bicycle Network Projects

ID*	Project Description			Primary Jurisdiction & Comments
1	Kowalski Rd from Kronenwetter Dr to Tower Rd			V. of Kronenwetter Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan
	Recommendation Path	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$143,192	Coordinated Project Cost \$143,192	
16	Volkman St from Military Rd to Lili Ln			V. of Rothschild Paths exist along portions of this segment, but right-of-way and drainage constraints preclude a continuous path.
	Recommendation Paved shoulder	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$97,461	Coordinated Project Cost \$80,120	
28	Northwestern Ave from Gold Ridge Way to Meuret Ln			C. of Wausau / V. of Weston This is a critical gap in an otherwise lower-stress alternative to Grand Avenue and is a route connecting to the Mountain-Bay Trail.
	Recommendation Paved shoulder	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$89,305	Coordinated Project Cost \$73,415	
29	South 1st Ave from Stewart Ave to Thomas St			C. of Wausau / WisDOT Road diet. Road slated for reconstruction in 2018.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$41,123	Coordinated Project Cost \$32,878	
30	Sherman St from 17 <sup>th</sup> Ave to 24 <sup>th</sup> Ave			C. of Wausau "4 to 3" road diet to provide a travel lane in each direction, two-way left-turn lane, and bike lanes.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$25,221	Coordinated Project Cost \$20,164	
41	48 <sup>th</sup> Ave from Packer Dr to Stewart Ave			C. of Wausau / T. of Stettin One shoulder partially paved already. Complete 4 ft shoulders on both sides.
	Recommendation Paved shoulder	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$9,776	Coordinated Project Cost \$8,036	
45	28 <sup>th</sup> Ave from Westhill Dr to Highway 52			C. of Wausau Road diet.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$29,050	Coordinated Project Cost \$23,226	
46	28 <sup>th</sup> Ave from Highway 52 to Sherman St			C. of Wausau Stripe 4 ft bike lanes next to curb.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$18,756	Coordinated Project Cost \$18,042	
63	Camp Phillips Rd from Bernard Ave to Ross Ave			V. of Weston Closes a significant gap, linking existing path to the north to Ross Ave bike lanes. Right-of-way acquisition costs not included.
	Recommendation Path	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$23,009	Coordinated Project Cost \$23,009	
67	Thomas St from Grand Ave to River Dr			C. of Wausau Perform a road diet by removing a travel lane in each direction and striping wide/buffered bike lane. Shorten the eastbound right turn lane so it begins after the bridge over the railroad while still providing adequate queuing room. Shift eastbound left-turn lane to allow space for eastbound bike lane through the intersection.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$9,404	Coordinated Project Cost \$7,518	
68	Thomas St from McCleary St to 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ave			C. of Wausau Remove parking on one side of street (if not already prohibited). Stripe parking lane on one side and bike lane on opposite side.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$20,767	Coordinated Project Cost \$17,250	
72	1 <sup>st</sup> Ave from Oak St to Bridge St			C. of Wausau Consolidate straight and left/straight lanes to make room for bike lane through the Bridge St intersection.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$4,065	Coordinated Project Cost \$3,250	
76	7 <sup>th</sup> St from Forest St to Bridge St			C. of Wausau Remove parking on one side of street. Stripe wide parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane on other side.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$36,789	Coordinated Project Cost \$30,559	
98	South 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ave from Stewart Ave to Thomas St			C. of Wausau Road diet may allow for parking-separated bike lane. Alternative is to remove parking from one side and retain travel lanes.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$37,558	Coordinated Project Cost \$30,028	
102	Thomas St from River Dr to McCleary St			C. of Wausau Current bridge width is adequate for 5 ft bike lanes in each direction. Alternatively, provide on/off ramps to the sidewalk for westbound bikes and a buffered bike lane for eastbound bikes.
	Recommendation Bike lane	Stand-Alone Project Cost \$7,777	Coordinated Project Cost \$6,460	
108	Military Road Street Extension from Grand Ave to existing Military Rd			V. of Rothschild Build planned street extension with sidewalks and bike lanes.
	Recommendation Bike lanes	Stand-Alone Project Cost N/A	Coordinated Project Cost \$21,336	
<b>Total:</b>		<b>\$614,589</b>	<b>\$538,483</b>	

## Planning-Level Cost Estimates

Planning-level cost estimates for the projects recommended by this Plan are based on typical per-mile cost estimates (see Table 2) for various treatments multiplied by the project’s length. Unique situations (such as drainage crossings or complex intersection treatments) were not directly considered in the development of these cost estimates, but a 25 percent contingency was included in order to account for such situations.

Per-mile cost estimates were developed conservatively and are based on the cost of a stand-alone project. The per-mile estimates include excavation, grading, milling, pavement marking eradication, base course, surface course, new pavement markings, signs, construction zone traffic control, and the aforementioned 25 percent contingency. In some cases, per-mile estimates also include landscaping, drainage, and utility adjustments. In addition, it is important to note that the cost for pavement markings and striping is based on epoxy, which is more durable and longer lasting—but more costly—than regular waterborne paint. Since many of the projects recommended simply involve striping, the cost of each project could be less if cheaper (but less durable) pavement marking materials were used.

If built as part of a larger roadway project, the marginal cost of bikeway improvements would be substantially less. Road diets, lane diets, and other striping projects performed as part of regular repaving projects would negate the need for eradication and additional mobilization. To account for this, “coordinated project” cost estimates were provided. However, even these marginal costs could be less depending on the type of pavement marking materials used and other efficiencies that could be found during construction.

Table 2: Typical Cost per Mile for Bicycle Facilities


Facility Type and Implementation Method	Stand-Alone Project Typical Cost per Mile (2015 Dollars)	Coordinated Project Typical Cost per Mile (2015 Dollars)
<b>Bike Lanes</b>		
Add Striping and Markings	\$34,700	\$33,379
Lane Diet	\$41,900	\$34,804
Road Diet	\$49,800	\$39,816
Widen Roadway	\$228,800	\$132,311
<b>Buffered Bike Lanes</b>		
Lane Diet/Road Diet	\$73,700	\$54,393
<b>Paved and Striped Shoulder</b>		
Add Striping and Markings	\$12,500	\$10,778
Lane Diet	\$25,000	\$13,050
Road Diet	\$48,800	\$37,492
Pave Existing Granular/Earth Shoulder	\$111,000	\$91,250
Widen Roadway	\$270,400	\$201,340
<b>Suitable Routes</b>		
Add Shared Lane Marking (Sharrow)	\$4,600	(same)
Add Bike Route Signage	\$1,900	(same)
Add Bicycle Boulevard Treatments (Sharrows and signs only; traffic calming such as curb extensions and road humps increase costs substantially)	\$6,500	(same)
<b>Shared Use Path</b>		
Widen Existing Path (by 4 feet)	\$86,200	(same)
Construct New (10 feet)	\$215,400	(same)

These typical costs were developed in part using Wisconsin Department of Transportation Average Unit Price data located at: <http://roadwaystandards.dot.wi.gov/hcci/contracting-information/average-unit-price.pdf> (accessed June 5, 2015) as well as historical average costs observed by Toole Design Group.

The total cost, by phase, of the bicycle network recommendations of this Plan are shown in Table 3. Estimated costs for each individual project are provided in the implementation tables provided at the end of this chapter.

Table 3: Bicycle Network Recommendations Planning-Level Cost Estimates by Phase (\$1,000s of dollars)

Phase	Bike Lanes		Paths	Paved Shoulders		Total	
	Stand-Alone	Coordinated	Stand-Alone	Stand-Alone	Coordinated	Stand-Alone	Coordinated
Near Term (including Top Priority)	34.5 miles		6.3 miles	42.1 miles		83 miles	
	\$1,804	\$1,481	\$1,349	\$4,718	\$3,874	\$7,871	\$6,703
Build Out	14.0 miles		4.3 miles	5.9 miles		24.2 miles	
	\$2,734	\$1,696	\$934	\$651	\$535	\$4,319	\$3,133



The recently-constructed "51-29 Trail" is a project that has substantially increased regional connectivity for bicyclists in the Wausau area. Photos by Denis Helke.

## Pedestrian Improvement Action Plan

As discussed in Chapter 3, the primary infrastructure-related needs for pedestrians in the Wausau area include increased crosswalk maintenance and improved crossings of arterial streets. However, each of the shared use paths proposed in the Bicycle Network Action Plan will also serve pedestrians. Furthermore, paved shoulders are often used by pedestrians, even though they do not meet national accessibility requirements based on the Americans with Disabilities Act.

### Crosswalk Design and Maintenance Programs

Pedestrians are the most vulnerable of road users and face the greatest exposure to motor vehicles when crossing the street. While enforcement and education strategies are essential, the design and continual maintenance of crosswalks is perhaps the most important engineering strategy for improving pedestrian safety. The following design elements should be adopted throughout the Wausau area:

- Crosswalks should preferably be at least 10 feet wide or approximately twice the width of the sidewalks or paths they connect.
- High-visibility crosswalk designs (continental, ladder, and zebra) should be used when crosswalks are applied or reapplied to multi-lane streets, streets with speed limits of 35 miles per hour or greater, and streets with 12,000 or greater ADT. These designs are significantly more visible than standard crosswalks.
- Whenever possible, apply crosswalks using more durable materials, such as epoxy or thermoplastic, which will lengthen maintenance cycles. In order to avoid damage from snowplows, thermoplastic markings can be inlaid into milled pavement.
- Provide pedestrian signals at all signalized intersections. Include countdown timers and leading pedestrian intervals to improve crosswalk safety and compliance.

In addition to utilizing enhanced crosswalk designs, WisDOT, the County Highway Department, and each municipality should develop crosswalk maintenance programs so that marked crosswalks are repainted or reapplied every one to three years or as needed in order to remain effective at increasing pedestrian safety.

### Top Priority Infrastructure Actions

Chapter 3 contains recommendations for pedestrian infrastructure actions within seven pedestrian priority improvement areas. While each of these recommendations are considered important and should be implemented as opportunities arise, the actions listed in Table 3 are considered the highest priority. Additional project recommendations are shown in Chapter 3.

Table 4: Top Priority Actions by Priority Improvement Area (see Chapter 3 for additional actions)

Action	Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction
Downtown Wausau		
Add white transverse lines to crosswalks at five intersections along Jefferson Street (1 <sup>st</sup> Street to 5 <sup>th</sup> Street)	\$10,000	C. of Wausau
Reapply all crosswalks and consider relocated pedestrian push-buttons at the intersection of 1 <sup>st</sup> Street, Washington Street, and River Drive	\$3,000 to \$20,000	C. of Wausau, WisDOT
Bopf and West Thomas Streets		
Add sidewalks on the south side of Thomas Street (between 12th Avenue and 17th Avenue) and on both sides of Bopf Street west of 12th Avenue.	\$150,000 to \$175,000	C. of Wausau
Improve the intersections of 12th Avenue with Bopf Street and Thomas Street for pedestrians, by clearly defining sidewalks and curb ramps around the corner commercial properties. Furthermore, provide marked crosswalks across 12th Avenue to provide safer access to GD Jones Elementary School.	TBD	C. of Wausau
Provide crosswalks across Thomas Street at 15th Avenue to provide safer access to GD Jones Elementary School.	\$5,000	C. of Wausau

Stewart Avenue Corridor		
Along with the upcoming reconstruction of Stewart Avenue, include high-visibility crosswalks (ladder, zebra, or continental design) and pedestrian signals, preferable with leading pedestrian intervals. Construct curb extensions and median refuge islands to reduce crossing distances, if budget allows, otherwise locate utilities and storm water inlets such that they do not preclude future retrofitting of such features.	TBD	C. of Wausau, WisDOT
Schofield Avenue Corridor		
Reapply crosswalk pavement markings at the Alderson Street, Birch Street, and Camp Phillips Road intersections as well as crosswalks that cross Schofield Avenue at Mt View Avenue, Edward Street, Gordon Street, and Fox Street with a high-visibility design (ladder, zebra, or continental) in epoxy.	\$25,000 to \$35,000	V. of Weston
Add pedestrian signals with countdown timers and leading pedestrian intervals to the Birch Street intersection, ideally as part of a comprehensive signal modernization project at this intersection.	TBD	V. of Weston
Install Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacons (RRFB) to the Fox Street crossing.	\$10,000 to \$15,000	V. of Weston
Business 51 (Grand Avenue) Corridor in Rothschild		
When the Military Road street extension is constructed, the intersection with Grand Avenue will be signalized. This should include high-visibility crosswalks (ladder, zebra, or continental design), pedestrian signals with leading pedestrian intervals, and sidewalks extended north to the existing sidewalk on Grand Avenue and west across the railroad to Elm Street.	TBD	V. of Rothschild, WisDOT

## Program Action Plan

Numerous policy and non-infrastructure recommendations are made throughout this plan. Chapter 1 contains policy statements for the Wausau Area MPO and Marathon County while Chapters 4, 5, and 6 contain recommendations for encouragement, education, and enforcement initiatives. Many of the recommendations in this Plan can be most effectively implemented by being formed into programs or activities that relate to two or more of the “Five E’s.” The following program recommendations are considered the highest-priority non-infrastructure recommendations to be completed or initiated over the next few years following the adoption of this plan.

### Crosswalk Safety Program

One of the most significant and stressful challenges for pedestrians in the Wausau area is the low level of crosswalk compliance by motorists. While state law requires motorists to yield to pedestrians in crosswalks (346.24), it is generally perceived that compliance with this statute is generally low in the Wausau area. The Wausau MPO, Marathon County Health Department, local advocacy organizations and bike clubs, and area law enforcement agencies should work together to develop a crosswalk enforcement program that includes patrolling high-use crosswalks (such as near schools), aggressively enforcing existing state law, and public service announcements that warn area residents about the enforcement effort coupled with the “Your Choices Matter” education message. Crosswalk “sting” operations (where plainclothes officers use the crosswalk and nearby patrol cars can be dispatched to pull over violators) can also be effective. In some communities, officers have dressed up in conspicuous costumes or worn high-visibility materials and flashing lights in order to rule out the “I didn’t see you in the crosswalk” excuse. In addition to issuing citations, law enforcement officers can be given small educational pamphlets or cards explaining the law and vulnerability of pedestrians to distribute to people that violate the law.

### Public Service Announcements

The Wausau MPO and Marathon County Health Department should continue producing and distributing educational outreach materials for bicycle and pedestrian safety, using the education and enforcement messages: Share & Be Aware and Your Choices Matter (see Chapters 5 and 6). In addition to reviewing and updating (as needed) the educational materials on the BicycleWausau.org website, the MPO and Health Department should produce additional public service announcements for television and radio that focus on:

- Crosswalk compliance (see the Crosswalk Safety Program section, above)
- Combatting distracted driving and speeding
- Encouraging safe biking practices
- Explaining the rights and responsibilities of motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians while highlighting the challenges experienced by vulnerable road users

### Safe Routes to School Programs

Walking and biking to school increases physical activity, combats obesity, improves focus in the classroom, and has many other benefits. However, many students do not walk or bike to school due to lack of infrastructure, motivation, or parental approval. Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Programs seek to identify ways to make walking and biking to school not only safer but also more appealing. SRTS programs should be established or reestablished at the County and school district levels with a programmed staff position. This staff person should help to establish SRTS programs and individual schools (in part by identifying volunteers and serving as a resource) and assist in acquiring funding for SRTS plans, program materials, and infrastructure projects. The ultimate goal for the Wausau area should be for each school in the area to have an up-to-date SRTS plan and active SRTS committee.

## ADA Compliance

Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) relates to the accessibility of transportation systems, including sidewalks and paths. Two major implications of this law are that new pedestrian infrastructure must be constructed to be accessible and that government agencies should develop plans for making existing infrastructure accessible.

- **Design Standards** – New pedestrian infrastructure (including sidewalks, curb ramps, and paths) must be constructed in accordance with accepted design standards. The current minimum standards to follow are the *2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design*. However, infrastructure along streets and roads should be designed in accordance with the *Proposed Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way* (PROWAG). The design of paths and built outside of the public right-of-way will soon be required to adhere to PROWAG.
- **Transition Plans** – Local government agencies with 50 or more employees are required to develop written transition plans outlining the manner in which and timeline for modifying its network of sidewalks and walkways to be accessible. The plan must include an identification of accessibility barriers, a schedule for providing curb ramps, identify physical obstacles that limit accessibility, describe methods that will be used to improve accessibility, and schedule for making modifications in order to achieve compliance. While only required of agencies with 50 or more employees, it is recommended that all municipalities in the Wausau area identify barriers and develop strategies for improving accessibility.

## Modify MPO Policy and Funding Practices

Chapter 1 contains multiple policy statements for the Wausau Area MPO and Marathon County. The first non-infrastructure actions taken by the MPO should be to expand on Policy Statements A and B by developing and adopting an MPO Complete Streets Policy based on the model policy developed by the National Complete Streets Coalition. In conjunction with adopting this policy, the MPO should modify its project funding process for its entire funding program (including STP Urban and TAP funds) to prioritize Complete Streets projects and projects that reduce gaps and expand the connected, low-stress bicycle and pedestrian network.

## Present and Publicize this Plan

In order to facilitate implementation, staff from the Wausau Area MPO and Marathon County as well as members of the MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Sub-Committee should present an overview this Plan to staff and officials from each municipality in the planning area to increase awareness and support. Presentations could be given to each municipality individually or as part of a larger meeting that includes multiple municipalities. The goal is to present an overview of the Plan to each municipality within six months of the plan's adoption.

MPO/County staff and Sub-Committee members should also provide input and assistance to municipal staff seeking to implement elements of this plan.

## Near Term Bicycle Network Project Recommendations

Project Complete	Project ID	Project Street	From Street	To Street	Facility Recommendation	Road Diet	Lane Diet	Length (miles)	Stand-Alone Project Cost Estimate	Coordinated Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction	Comments
	1	Kowalski Rd	Kronenwetter Dr	Tower Rd	Path			0.7	\$ 143,192	\$ 143,192	V. of Kronenwetter	Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan
	2	County X	Maple Ridge Rd	Wood Rd	Paved shoulder			1.8	\$ 202,323	\$ 166,324	Marathon County	Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan. Match paved shoulders on County X from Kowalski Rd to Wood Rd.
	3	County X	County XX	Pleasant Dr	Path			1.4	\$ 295,400	\$ 295,400	Marathon County	Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan
	5	Pine Rd	Tower Rd	County X	Paved shoulder			0.8	\$ 84,259	\$ 69,267	V. of Kronenwetter	Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan
	7	South Mountain Rd	Bittersweet Rd	Town of Rib Mountain Boundary	Paved shoulder			2.3	\$ 386,166	\$ 317,457	T. of Rib Mountain	Add 6 ft paved shoulders.
	10	Trillium Ln	South Mountain Rd	Clover Rd	Paved shoulder			0.3	\$ 19,183	\$ 15,770	T. of Rib Mountain	Add 4 ft paved shoulder to east side of road to complement existing shoulder on opposite side.
	12	County X	Maple Ridge Rd	South Rd	Paved shoulder			2.8	\$ 314,647	\$ 258,663	Marathon County	
	14	County KK	Shurwood Ln	County B	Paved shoulder			2.1	\$ 346,465	\$ 284,819	Marathon County	Add 6 ft paved shoulders. Additional width recommended due to curviness of roadway and history of past fatalities.
	15	Tesch Ln/Eagle Nest Blvd	County XX	Grand Ave	Bike lane	Yes		1.2	\$ 60,307	\$ 48,217	V. of Rothschild	Road diet. Bicycling rates as comfortable currently. Increases in ADT would degrade stress to a 3, while a dieted road could accommodate five times the traffic and retain the low stress level for cyclists.
	16	Volkman St	Military Rd	Lili Ln	Paved shoulder			0.9	\$ 97,461	\$ 80,120	V. of Rothschild	Paths exist along portions of this segment, but right-of-way and drainage constraints preclude a continuous path.
	17	Volkman St	Lili Ln	Heuss Ave	Bike lane	Yes		0.3	\$ 12,705	\$ 10,158	V. of Rothschild / WisDOT	Road diet, including on bridge over WIS-29
	18	Alderson St	Weston Ave	Jelinek Ave	Bike lane	Yes		1.0	\$ 49,800	\$ 39,816	V. of Rothschild / V. of Weston / WisDOT	Road diet, including on bridge over WIS-29
	19	Weston Ave	Alderson St	Birch St	Paved shoulder			0.5	\$ 56,951	\$ 46,817	V. of Rothschild / V. of Weston	At minimum paved shoulder/bike lane should be provided in the uphill direction.
	20	Weston Ave	Birch St	Camp Phillips Rd	Bike lane	Yes		0.5	\$ 25,815	\$ 20,639	V. of Weston	Road diet
	21	Weston Ave	Camp Phillips Rd	Zinser St	Bike lane			2.5	\$ 274,136	\$ 225,360	V. of Weston	
	23	Schofield Ave	Grand Ave	Camp Phillips Rd	Bike lane	Yes		1.6	\$ 81,453	\$ 65,123	V. of Weston	Traffic levels are within reach of a road diet. If road diet proves infeasible recommend narrowing lanes to yield enough space for bike lanes. WISLR indicates 52 ft for travel lanes. 11 ft travel lanes leaves 8 ft for bike lanes.
	24	Jelinek Ave	Hwy 51	Birch St	Bike lane			1.2	\$ 51,740	\$ 42,978	V. of Weston	Bike lanes would require removal of parking on one side of the street. Recommend a wide striped parking lane on one side of street, two travel lanes, and striped bike lane on other side of street.
	25	Alderson St	Jelinek Ave	Schofield Ave	Bike lane			0.5	\$ 16,390	\$ 15,767	V. of Weston	

Project Complete	Project ID	Project Street	From Street	To Street	Facility Recommendation	Road Diet	Lane Diet	Length (miles)	Stand-Alone Project Cost Estimate	Coordinated Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction	Comments
	26	Birch St	Jelinek Ave	Schofield Ave	Bike lane			0.2	\$ 6,730	\$ 6,474	V. of Weston	
	27	Ross St	Foundry St	Pine St	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 13,824	\$ 11,483	C. of Schofield	Remove parking on one side of street. Stripe wide parking lane on one side of street, travel lanes, and bike lane on other side.
	28	Northwestern Ave	Gold Ridge Way	Meuret Ln	Paved shoulder			0.8	\$ 89,305	\$ 73,415	C. of Wausau / V. of Weston	This is a critical gap in an otherwise lower-stress alternative to Grand Avenue and is a route connecting to the Mountain-Bay Trail.
	29	South 1st Ave	Stewart Ave	Thomas St	Bike lane	Yes		0.8	\$ 41,123	\$ 32,878	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Road diet. Road slated for reconstruction in 2018.
	30	Sherman St	17th Ave	24th Ave	Bike lane	Yes		0.5	\$ 25,221	\$ 20,164	C. of Wausau	"4 to 3" road diet to provide a travel lane in each direction, two-way left-turn lane, and bike lanes.
	31	Sherman St	1st Ave	17th Ave	Bike lane			0.8	\$ 29,456	\$ 28,334	C. of Wausau	Unclear whether parking is allowed. WISLR indicates no but that appears to be incorrect. If no parking there is easily room for bike lanes. If parking, may be possible to stripe parking lanes as de facto bike lanes. Also could restrict to one side of the road.
	32	Townline Rd	Grand Ave	12th St	Bike lane			0.4	\$ 100,317	\$ 58,011	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Reconstruction scheduled for 2016 - add bike lanes. Alternative could be to remove parking on one side and shift lanes to make room for bike lanes.
	34	County X	County Z	Northwestern Ave	Paved shoulder			2.4	\$ 264,277	\$ 217,254	Marathon County	
	35	County X	County Z	Highway 52	Paved shoulder			1.6	\$ 178,903	\$ 147,071	Marathon County	
	36	County Z	County X	57th St	Paved shoulder			1.3	\$ 146,381	\$ 120,336	Marathon County	
	37	Ross Ave/Kramer Ln/Kersten Rd/Lester St	Schoonover Rd	County N	Paved shoulder			3.5	\$ 384,485	\$ 316,074	V. of Weston / T. of Weston	
	39	72nd Ave	Highland Dr	Packer Dr	Paved shoulder		Yes	0.6	\$ 14,536	\$ 7,588	C. of Wausau	There appears to be room to stripe 4 ft shoulders if travel lanes are narrowed to 10 ft.
	40	Packer Dr	48th Ave	56th Ave	Paved shoulder			0.5	\$ 6,634	\$ 5,720	C. of Wausau / T. of Stettin	Roadway is already wide enough - only striping needed.
	41	48th Ave	Packer Dr	Stewart Ave	Paved shoulder			0.2	\$ 9,776	\$ 8,036	C. of Wausau / T. of Stettin	One shoulder partially paved already. Complete 4 ft shoulders on both sides.
	42	Stettin Dr	Stewart Ave	72nd Ave	Paved shoulder			2.3	\$ 251,243	\$ 206,540	C. of Wausau / T. of Stettin	
	43	28th Ave	Westhill Dr	County U	Paved shoulder			1.4	\$ 153,003	\$ 125,780	C. of Wausau / T. of Maine	At minimum, a climbing lane/shoulder is needed in uphill direction. Requires additional pavement north of Madonna Dr.
	44	Pine Ridge Blvd/Westhill Dr	Bridge St	28th Ave	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 10,456	\$ 10,058	C. of Wausau	
	45	28th Ave	Westhill Dr	Highway 52	Bike lane	Yes		0.6	\$ 29,050	\$ 23,226	C. of Wausau	Road diet
	46	28th Ave	Highway 52	Sherman St	Bike lane			0.5	\$ 18,756	\$ 18,042	C. of Wausau	Stripe 4 ft bike lanes next to curb.
	47	Wausau Ave	Stevens Dr	10th Ave	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 12,403	\$ 10,303	C. of Wausau	Stripe wide parking lane on south side. Stripe bike lane on north side where parking is prohibited. Requires shifting of center line.

Project Complete	Project ID	Project Street	From Street	To Street	Facility Recommendation	Road Diet	Lane Diet	Length (miles)	Stand-Alone Project Cost Estimate	Coordinated Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction	Comments
	48	Stevens Dr	Randolph St	Bridge St	Bike lane			0.8	\$ 28,371	\$ 27,291	C. of Wausau	Stripe parking lane as de facto bike lane on one side of street. Parking is already prohibited on other side - stripe bike lane. Pavement width is 40 ft according to WISLR. Stripe as: 12ft parking, 11 ft travel, 11 ft travel, 4 ft bike lane.
	49	Westwood Dr	County U	Bridge St	Bike lane	Yes		1.5	\$ 73,719	\$ 58,940	C. of Wausau	At minimum provide bike lane in uphill direction - requires narrowing of lanes. Extremely low levels of traffic for four lanes - road diet with bike lanes at edges is recommended.
	50	Campus Dr	14th Ave	4th Ave	Bike lane	Yes		0.5	\$ 24,872	\$ 19,885	C. of Wausau	At a minimum there may be room for lane narrowing and striped bike lanes at the edges. Ideal candidate for road diet - less than 10,000 ADT. Would allow for buffered bike lanes and TWLTL.
	51	Evergreen Rd	19th St	21st St	Bike lane			0.2	\$ 17,449	\$ 14,344	C. of Wausau / T. of Wausau	At a minimum stripe bike lanes or paved shoulder in uphill direction.
	52	6th St	Riverview Ct	County WW	Paved shoulder			3.3	\$ 361,234	\$ 296,960	C. of Wausau / T. of Texas	
	53	County WW	Highway 51 SB Ramp	County K	Paved shoulder			1.0	\$ 110,727	\$ 91,025	Marathon County	
	54	County K	County WW	Emery Dr	Paved shoulder			1.4	\$ 233,794	\$ 192,195	Marathon County	Add 6 ft paved shoulders
	55	County U	Westwood Dr	Sunnyvale Ln	Paved shoulder			3.5	\$ 386,818	\$ 317,992	Marathon County	
	56	28th Ave	County U	Merrill Ave	Paved shoulder			0.9	\$ 103,894	\$ 85,409	T. of Maine	At a minimum provide paved shoulder/bike lane in the uphill direction.
	57	Merrill Ave	County K	Westwood Dr	Paved shoulder			1.2	\$ 138,266	\$ 113,665	T. of Maine	At a minimum provide paved shoulder/bike lane in the uphill direction.
	58	Decator Dr	Merrill Ave	14th Ave	Paved shoulder			0.9	\$ 97,251	\$ 79,947	T. of Maine / WisDOT	May include modifications to or near the bridge over US-51
	59	4th St/Western Ave	Main St	Range Line Rd	Bike lane			1.3	\$ 45,524	\$ 43,791	C. of Mosinee	Stripe parking lane to create de facto bike lane. Repaving scheduled for 2018-2020.
	60	Main St	Water St	Range Line Rd	Bike lane			1.5	\$ 63,667	\$ 52,885	C. of Mosinee	Stripe wide parking lanes and narrow travel lanes. Provides space for cyclists and slows traffic through commercial area.
	62	Grand Ave	Hewitt St	Weston Ave	Path			0.2	\$ 44,467	\$ 44,467	V. of Rothschild	
	63	Camp Phillips Rd	Bernard Ave	Ross Ave	Path			0.1	\$ 23,009	\$ 23,009	V. of Weston	Closes a significant gap, linking existing path to the north to Ross Ave bike lanes. Right-of-way acquisition costs not included.
	64	Grand Ave	Kort St	Jacoby St	Bike lane		Yes	1.1	\$ 45,106	\$ 37,467	C. of Schofield	May require narrowing of travel lanes
	65	Rib Mountain Dr	North Mountain Rd	Goose Ln	Paved shoulder			0.1	\$ 10,091	\$ 8,295	Marathon County	Stripe shoulder to match cross section to the north
	66	Sturgeon Eddy Rd	Fairmount St	Grand Ave	Bike lane			0.1	\$ 436	\$ 436	C. of Wausau	Right-of-way is too constrained to add conventional bike lanes in the near term. Recommend advisory bike lanes as potential solution.


Project Complete	Project ID	Project Street	From Street	To Street	Facility Recommendation	Road Diet	Lane Diet	Length (miles)	Stand-Alone Project Cost Estimate	Coordinated Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction	Comments
	67	Thomas St	Grand Ave	River Drive	Bike lane	Yes		0.2	\$ 9,404	\$ 7,518	C. of Wausau	Perform a road diet by removing a travel lane in each direction and striping wide/buffered bike lane. Shorten the eastbound right turn lane so it begins after the bridge over the railroad while still providing adequate queuing room. Shift eastbound left-turn lane to allow space for eastbound bike lane through the intersection.
	68	Thomas St	McCleary Street	3rd Ave	Bike lane			0.5	\$ 20,767	\$ 17,250	C. of Wausau	Remove parking on one side of street (may already be prohibited). Stripe wide parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane on opposite side.
	69	Stewart Ave	Highway 52	48th Ave	Bike lane		Yes	1.0	\$ 41,075	\$ 34,118	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Existing paved shoulder could be widened to a full bike lane by narrowing travel lanes to 11 ft
	70	Stewart Ave	1st Ave	3rd Ave	Bike lane	Yes	Yes	0.1	\$ 6,885	\$ 5,505	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Road diet - remove third travel lane in eastbound direction to match capacity in the westbound direction. Stripe separated bike lane in both directions.
	71	Stewart Ave	8th Ave	12th Ave	Bike lane		Yes	0.3	\$ 10,515	\$ 8,734	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Stripe wide parking lanes on westbound side as de facto bike lanes. Narrow travel lanes if necessary.
	72	1st Ave	Oak St	Bridge St	Bike lane	Yes		0.1	\$ 4,065	\$ 3,250	C. of Wausau	Consolidate straight and left/straight lanes to make room for bike lane.
	73	1st St	Washington St	Grant St	Bike lane	Yes		0.2	\$ 11,592	\$ 9,268	C. of Wausau	Road diet
	74	1st St	Franklin St	McIndoe St	Bike lane			0.1	\$ 2,024	\$ 1,947	C. of Wausau	
	75	6th St	Forest St	Bridge St	Bike lane	Yes		0.9	\$ 43,679	\$ 34,922	C. of Wausau	Road diet 3->2. This will match northbound capacity with southbound capacity on 5th Street and affords room for a buffered bike lane to match the southbound bike lane on 5th.
	76	7th St	Forest St	Bridge St	Bike lane			0.9	\$ 36,789	\$ 30,559	C. of Wausau	Remove parking on one side of street. Stripe wide parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane on other side.
	77	Bridge St	7th St	6th St	Bike lane			0.1	\$ 3,595	\$ 3,458	C. of Wausau	Stripe 10 ft travel lanes and wide parking lanes to make de facto bike lanes and calm traffic.
	78	3rd St	Bridge St	Wausau Ave	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 12,173	\$ 10,112	C. of Wausau	Remove parking on one side of street. Stripe street with wide parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane on other side.
	79	Wausau Ave	7th St	29th St	Bike lane			1.8	\$ 74,634	\$ 61,995	C. of Wausau	Remove parking on one side of street. Stripe parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane.
	80	6th St	Union Ave	Riverview Ct	Bike lane			0.9	\$ 31,401	\$ 30,205	C. of Wausau	There may be room for parking, travel lanes, and bike lanes. At minimum, stripe parking lanes to create de facto bike lanes.
	81	County WW	4th St	2nd St	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 12,157	\$ 10,098	Marathon County	Requires parking removal on both sides (if not already prohibited)
	96	Schofield Ave	Camp Phillips Rd	Ryan St	Bike lane	Yes		1.8	\$ 90,357	\$ 72,242	V. of Weston	Road diet
	98	South 3rd Ave	Stewart Ave	Thomas St	Bike lane	Yes		0.8	\$ 37,558	\$ 30,028	C. of Wausau	Road diet may allow for parking-separated bike lane. Alternative is to remove parking from one side and retain travel lanes.

Project Complete	Project ID	Project Street	From Street	To Street	Facility Recommendation	Road Diet	Lane Diet	Length (miles)	Stand-Alone Project Cost Estimate	Coordinated Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction	Comments
	100	Stettin Dr	72nd Ave	88th Ave	Paved shoulder			1.1	\$ 118,694	\$ 97,575	Town of Stettin	
	101	Evergreen Rd	6th St	19th St	Bike lane		Yes	0.9	\$ 35,893	\$ 29,814	C. of Wausau	Remove parking from one side of street. Stripe wide parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane on other side.
	102	Thomas St	River Drive	McCleary Street	Bike lane		Yes	0.2	\$ 7,777	\$ 6,460	C. of Wausau	Current bridge width is 32 ft between railings. Striping 11 ft lanes leaves room for 5 ft bike lanes in each direction. Alternatively, provide on/off ramps to the sidewalk for westbound bikes and a buffered bike lane for eastbound bikes.
	103	Stewart Ave	3rd Ave	8th Ave	Bike lane		Yes	0.3	\$ 12,205	\$ 10,138	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Stripe separated bike lanes adjacent curb. Remove parking if currently allowed. Residential parking can occur on side street frontages.
	104	West Grand Ave	Kort St	Grand Ave	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 10,883	\$ 10,469	C. of Schofield / WisDOT	Add bike lanes when street is resurfaced in 2017.
	105	Off-street path	Grand Ave	Volkman St	Path			0.3	\$ 61,316	\$ 61,316	V. of Rothschild	New off-street path identified in Rothschild plan
	106	County WW	4th St	Ramp	Paved shoulder			0.4	\$ 24,439	\$ 20,091	Marathon County	Expand paved shoulders to minimum of 5 ft when bridge is redecked in 2018.
	107	Highway 51	Village Way	Maple Ridge Rd	Path			3.5	\$ 760,183	\$ 760,183	V. of Kronenwetter	Side path
	108	Military Road	Grand Ave	Existing Military Road to the east	Bike lane			0.1	N/A	\$ 10,995	V. of Rothschild	Build planned street extension with sidewalks and bike lanes.
	109	County WW	County WN	East St	Paved shoulder			1.7	\$ 95,180	\$ 78,245	Marathon County	Existing paved shoulder on one side of road. Pave other shoulder.
	110	Thomas St	3rd Ave	17th Ave	Bike lane		Yes	0.8	\$ 36,789	\$ 30,559	C. of Wausau	Remove parking on one side of street (may already be prohibited). Stripe wide parking lane, travel lanes, and bike lane on opposite side.
	111	Weston Ave	Volkman St	Wall St	Paved shoulder			0.6	\$ 31,303	\$ 25,733	V. of Rothschild	Add 2 ft paved shoulder to existing 2 ft paved shoulder to make 4 ft shoulders on each side.
	112	Weston Ave	Wall St	Alderson St	Bike lane			0.2	\$ 10,081	\$ 9,698	V. of Rothschild	Add bike lane striping. Consider restricting on-street parking during peak periods.
	113	Stewart Ave	18th Ave	STH 52	Bike lane		Yes	0.9	\$ 74,634	\$ 61,995	C. of Wausau	Narrow urban shoulders (approximately 3 ft) exist west of the US 51 overpass but could potentially be widened to 4 ft wide bike lanes along with a lane diet.
	115	Stewart Ave	12th Ave	17th Ave	Bike lane			0.3	\$ 8,813	\$ 8,478	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Upcoming reconstruction will include narrow (3-foot) paved shoulders or bike lanes. Access to the existing path through the Marathon County Fairgrounds should be improved for bicycling (intersection improvements at 8th Avenue, 12th Avenue, and 17th Avenue including new curb ramps and signage).

## Build Out Bicycle Network Project Recommendations

Project ID	Project Street	From Street	To Street	Facility Recommendation	Length (miles)	Stand-Alone Project Cost Estimate	Coordinated Project Cost Estimate	Primary Jurisdiction	Comments
4	Tower Rd	Kowalski Rd	County XX	Path	1.0	\$ 215,318	\$ 215,318	V. of Kronenwetter	Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan
6	Martin Rd	Creek Rd	County J	Paved shoulder	4.1	\$ 453,145	\$ 372,518	V. of Kronenwetter	Part of Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan
61	Grand Ave	Village Way	Eagle Nest Blvd	Path	0.9	\$ 183,906	\$ 183,906	V. of Rothschild	
82	Camp Phillips Rd	Weston Ave	Ross Ave	Bike lane	1.7	\$ 377,823	\$ 218,489	Marathon County	Existing cross section is too tight for accommodations and there are too many driveways to continue side path. Add facilities when roadway is reconstructed.
83	Grand Ave	Schofield Ave	Forest St	Bike lane	3.4	\$ 783,033	\$ 452,814	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Existing right-of-way is too constrained. There may be room to squeeze lanes in at the edges, but there are concerns about a seam developing where asphalt has been poured over the gutter pan. Recommend adding bike lanes or buffered bike lanes when road is reconstructed.
84	Rib Mountain Dr	North Mountain Rd	Bridge St	Bike lane	2.7	\$ 607,403	\$ 351,251	Marathon County / C. of Wausau	Existing cross-section is too constrained to add bike facilities. Recommend adding bike lanes when the road is reconstructed.
85	Stewart Ave	17th Ave	8th Ave	Path	0.8	\$ 162,937	\$ 130,350	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Widen the path through the Marathon County Fairgrounds to 10 feet and construct a complementary sidepath on the north side of Stewart Ave.
86	3rd Ave	Stewart Ave	Clark St	Bike lane	0.5	\$ 117,607	\$ 68,010	C. of Wausau	Existing cross-section is too constrained to add bike facilities. Recommend studying consolidation of queue lanes or adding bike lanes when reconstructed.
87	Highway 52 Pkwy	17th	Stewart Ave	Bike lane	2.2	\$ 495,647	\$ 286,624	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Existing paved shoulder. Widen to full bike lanes when reconstructed.
88	Stewart Ave	44th Ave	Roberta Ln	Bike lane	0.1	\$ -	\$ 17,942	C. of Wausau	Bridge is not wide enough for bike accommodations. Recommend bike lanes when reconstructed.
89	Bridge St	2nd Ave	6th St	Path	0.7	\$ 157,307	\$ 157,307		Existing cross-section too tight. Relatively few driveways on north side may make a side path feasible.
90	1st St	Grant St	Franklin St	Bike lane	0.1	\$ 13,433	\$ 7,768	C. of Wausau	Right-of-way is too constrained to add facilities. Recommend bike lane when reconstructed.
91	Stewart Ave	1st Ave	1st St	Bike lane	0.6	\$ -	\$ 78,986	C. of Wausau / WisDOT	Existing bridge is too narrow to add bike lanes. Recommend adding when reconstructed. Alternatively, perform road diet.
92	North Mountain Rd	Eagle Ave	Rib Mountain Dr	Bike lane	0.1	\$ 25,263	\$ 14,609	T. of Rib Mountain	Existing cross-section is too constrained to add bike facilities. Recommend add bike lanes when reconstructed.
93	Highway 153	Old Highway 51	Golf Club Blvd	Bike lane	1.0	\$ 232,093	\$ 134,215	WisDOT	Existing cross-section is too constrained to add bike facilities. Recommend bike lanes when reconstructed.
94	Kowalski Rd	Tower Rd	County X	Path	1.0	\$ 214,747	\$ 214,747	V. of Kronenwetter	
95	Weston Ave	Zinser St	County J	Paved shoulder	1.2	\$ 133,242	\$ 109,535	V. of Weston	
97	Schofield Ave	Grand Ave	Camp Phillips Rd	Bike lane	1.6	\$ 81,453	\$ 65,123	V. of Weston	Traffic levels are still within reach of a road diet, although study would be needed to assess potential impacts. Reductions in lane width could yield enough space for bike lanes. WISLR indicates 52 ft for travel lanes. 11 ft travel lanes leaves 8 ft for bike lanes.
99	72nd Ave	Highland Dr	Packer Dr	Paved shoulder	0.6	\$ 64,540	\$ 53,056	C. of Wausau	Add shoulders where not already striped. Widen roadway through the underpass.


# Projects - Near Term




Plot Date: 7/15/2015  
 Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN StatePlane Wisconsin North FIPS 4801 Feet  
 Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic

- Recommended Bike Lanes
- Recommended Paths
- Recommended Paved Shoulders
- Existing Bike Lanes
- Existing Paths
- Existing Paved Shoulders\*
- Suitable Route or No Short Term Recommendation

\* Paved shoulders are assumed based on paved roadway width and are not necessarily indicative of shoulder striping.



# Projects - Near Term (Wausau Focus)




Plot Date: 7/15/2015  
 Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN StatePlane Wisconsin North FIPS 4801 Feet  
 Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic

- Recommended Bike Lanes
- Recommended Paths
- Recommended Paved Shoulders
- Existing Bike Lanes
- Existing Paths
- Existing Paved Shoulders\*
- Suitable Route or No Viable Short Term Recommendation

\* Paved shoulders are assumed based on paved roadway width and are not necessarily indicative of shoulder striping.



# Projects - Build Out




Plot Date: 7/15/2015  
 Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN StatePlane Wisconsin North FIPS 4801 Feet  
 Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic

- Recommended Bike Lanes
- Recommended Paths
- Recommended Paved Shoulder
- Existing Paths
- No Buildout
- Recommendation (see Short Term Recommendations)

\* Paved shoulders are assumed based on paved roadway width and are not necessarily indicative of shoulder striping.



# Projects- Build Out (Wausau Focus)



# Appendix A

## Summaries of Relevant Plans and Policies

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



Multiple existing plans and policy documents shaped and provided guidance to the development of the Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan. This appendix summarizes the most relevant and impactful of these documents and identifies issues that may affect or provide guidance for the implementation of this plan's recommendations.

The following plans were reviewed for this analysis:

### Statewide Documents

- Connections 2030 (2009; comprehensive statewide transportation plan)
- Wisconsin State Bicycle Transportation Plan 2020 (1998)
- Wisconsin Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020 (2002)
- Advisory on Installation of Bicyclist Compatible Rumble Strips (2011)
- Wisconsin Department of Transportation Guide for Path/Street Crossings (2011)
- Bicycle Crash Analysis for Wisconsin Using a Crash Typing Tool (PBCAT) and Geographic Information Systems (2006)
- Wisconsin Bicycle Planning Guidance (2003)
- Wisconsin Bicycle Facility Design Handbook (2004)
- Wisconsin Guide to Pedestrian Best Practices (2010)
- Wisconsin Rural Bicycle Planning Guide (2006)

### Regional Documents

- Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (2009)
- Wausau Metropolitan Area Long-Range Transportation Plan, 2035 (2006 and 2011 update)
- Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan for the Non-Urbanized Area of Marathon County, Wisconsin (1996)
- Marathon County Comprehensive Plan (2006)
- North Central Wisconsin Regional Bicycle Facilities Network Plan (2004)

### Local City, Town, and Village Planning Documents

- Rivers Edge Master Plan (1995)
- The Village of Weston Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan – 2013-2017 Plan Overview
- Rib Mountain Area Bike and Pedestrian Routes Long Range Plan (2013)
- Schofield Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (2014)
- Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan

### Municipal Codes

- City of Wausau
- City of Schofield
- Village of Rothschild
- Village of Weston
- City of Mosinee

## Statewide Documents

### Connections 2030 (2009)

WisDOT's comprehensive transportation plan (Connections 2030) not only supports the recommendations of Wisconsin's State Bicycle Transportation Plan 2020 and Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020, but calls for the incorporation of bicycle and pedestrian accommodations into projects now widely known as "complete streets". The plan states that WisDOT and other agencies should "include bicycle and pedestrian facilities on state and federally funded projects, following the federal 'Complete Streets' policy." The plan specifically calls on WisDOT to evaluate and work to expand opportunities to include bicycle and pedestrian accommodations on urban state trunk highway projects. The plan goes on to recommend changes to policies, practices, and standards to fully implement complete streets. The plan also lends support for the use ADA design guidelines and the community sensitive design solutions. A state law was passed in 2009 that made complete streets a requirement for new and reconstructed streets.

### Wisconsin State Bicycle Transportation Plan 2020 (1998)

This plan provides guidance on the state-owned and state-supported transportation systems in Wisconsin. Policies are divided into urban and intercity (rural) geographies. Policies from both categories apply to the Wausau area.

#### **Urban:**

- "Bicycle provisions on urban arterial streets (i.e., wide curb lanes, bicycle lanes or paved shoulders) should be made in accordance with Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and community bicycle plans."
- "On Urban State Trunk Highways, where suitable accommodations for bicyclists now exist, new highway improvements will be planned to continue an acceptable level of service and safety for bicyclists."
- "WisDOT will cooperate with local jurisdictions to help develop "stand alone" bikeway projects, including bicycle path facilities, when they are consistent with an approved plan and provide important bicycle transportation improvements."
- "Safe crossings should be maintained or created when bikeways and streets intersect highways. Crossing controls or grade separations should be considered where there are inadequate gaps in traffic for safe bicycle path crossing."
- "Intersection design should consider the needs of bicyclists. All intersections should be wide enough for safe bicyclist crossing."

#### **Rural:**

- "Suitability of highways for bicycling is most affected by traffic volumes and widths. Therefore, the following three actions should be considered, especially when roadways are reconstructed:
  - "On all higher-volume rural roadways (generally with motor vehicles volumes exceeding 1,000 per day), paved shoulders should be provided.
  - "On higher-volume roadways (exceeding 1,000 vehicles per day) with a moderate number of bicyclists currently using or anticipated to use the roadway, wider paved shoulders should be provided. Most of the State Trunk Highways on the plan's Priority Corridors and Key Linkages meet this criterion.
  - "On lower-volume roadways (under 1,000 vehicles per day), generally no special improvements are necessary to accommodate bicyclists. These lower-volume roadways should be identified and mapped to provide bicyclists with appropriate information to help them make connections between communities and rural recreation and commercial areas/sites."
- "When improvements are being considered on County Trunk Highways, counties should strongly consider the recommendations of county bicycle plans."

- “Multi-use paths (separated, primarily two-way bikeway facilities often referred to as trails) should be considered when: 1) bicyclists cannot be safely accommodated with on-street facilities; or, 2) an opportunity exists to improve the transportation aspects of bicycling by locating a rural bicycle path within an abandoned rail corridor, utility corridor, or river grade”
- “Safe crossings should be maintained when paths (trails) intersect highways. Additionally, crossing controls or grade separations (overpasses or underpasses) should be considered where gaps in traffic are inadequate for safe crossing.”

### **Wisconsin Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020 (2002)**

The Policy Plan encourages local governments, MPOs and Regional Planning Commissions (RPCs) to devote attention to meeting pedestrian needs on roadways in their areas. This guide is WisDOT’s primary method to accommodate pedestrians and other interested groups.

Key WisDOT policy statements and actions include:

- WisDOT will review all state trunk highway projects for pedestrian needs using scoping criteria and guidelines.
- WisDOT supports stand-alone sidewalk projects through such programs as the Transportation Enhancement Program for sidewalk retrofit projects to fill in gaps.
- WisDOT commits to minimizing the “barrier effect” to walking. This is sometimes posed by state trunk highways or by joining local sidewalks to state trunk highway sidewalks. Particular attention will be paid to needs near high traffic generators such as schools and commercial areas.

### **Advisory on Installation of Bicyclist Compatible Rumble Strips (2011)**

The purpose of this advisory is two-fold: 1) to alert highway officials and engineers in Wisconsin of the potential problems and hazards posed to bicyclists when rumble strips are improperly designed and/or constructed and 2) to act as a limited resource for guidance and standards currently available on rumble strips, especially as they pertain to making rumble strips bicycle compatible. This advisory is intended for all non-interstate and non-freeway rural roadways in Wisconsin regardless of ownership of the roadway or source of funding for highway improvements.

The advisory states that “Shoulder rumble strips should not be used for the sole purpose of improving safety for bicyclists; their presence is more likely to create a hazard for bicyclists.” Regarding transverse rumble strips, it states that “Where state or federal funds are being used for the installation, a rumble free shoulder and passage shall be provided as specified above.” “If a paved shoulder is not present, the passage width should be 3 feet from the right edge of the paved roadway. Where state or federal funds are being used for the installation, this 3-foot wide passage shall be provided.”

### **Wisconsin Department of Transportation Guide for Path/Street Crossings (2011)**

This document prepared by WisDOT identifies and clarifies intersection right-of-way rules at the intersection of bicycle multi-use paths with streets and highways. The document differentiates between bicyclists using a mid-block crossing and those using a crosswalk at a traditional intersection. Generally:

- Bicyclists should obey traffic controls as they encounter them on the path, and proceed through crossings in a manner that is consistent with the safe use of the crosswalk by pedestrians.
- Drivers must yield to pedestrians and bicyclists in the crosswalk, and do everything they can to keep from hitting a pedestrian or bicyclists even if they have failed to meet their obligations.

## **Bicycle Crash Analysis for Wisconsin Using a Crash Typing Tool (PBCAT) and Geographic Information System (GIS) (2006)**

This document is based on a WisDOT research project which discusses the method and results of evaluating the relationship between road and intersection conditions and incidences of bicycle crashes. The results are used to support safety improvements and countermeasure design for inclusion in future plans and projects. Key findings include:

- Reported crashes between bicyclists and motorists in the State of Wisconsin have continued to decrease annually since the 1998 State Bicycle Transportation Plan was adopted.
- Four of the top five crash types most frequently reported indicated that the motorist made the critical error that contributed to the crash.
- There were far more reported urban crashes than rural crashes (94% compared 6%).
- The majority of reported crashes occurred at intersections (66% compared to 34%).
- There was a high frequency of reported sidewalk/crosswalk-type crashes (28% of all crashes).
- Reported crash rates were lower on wider roadways for both local roads and state highways.
- While urban streets had a much higher crash rate, rural highways had a much higher rate of fatalities.

## **Wisconsin Bicycle Planning Guidance (2003)**

This document is a reference for Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) responsible for planning in urbanized areas of Wisconsin. It discusses the importance of bicycling for transportation and outlines and describes the bicycle planning process and content requirements. The focus of this guide is also on the utilitarian and transportation aspects of bicycling and less on recreational uses.

## **Wisconsin Bicycle Facility Design Handbook (2004)**

This handbook is the primary source for facility design guidance in the state of Wisconsin. It discusses the operating characteristics and needs of bicyclists, and presents the wide range of design options for enhancing a community's bicycle transportation system. The guide covers basic roadway improvements for shared streets, details for on-street bicycle lanes, and the design of shared-use paths. Shared Lane Markings (SLMs), introduced into the 2009 edition of the FHWA Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and in common use around the country, are not included in this guide.

## **Wisconsin Guide to Pedestrian Best Practices (2010)**

The Wisconsin Guide to Pedestrian Best Practices provides detailed design, planning and program information for improving all aspects of the pedestrian environment. The guide serves as a companion document to the Wisconsin Pedestrian Policy Plan 2020 to assist in the implementation of the goals, objectives and actions of the plan and serve as a reference or guidebook for state and local officials.

## **Wisconsin Rural Bicycle Planning Guide (2006)**

This guide, like the Wisconsin Bicycle Planning Guidance, focuses primarily on "the utilitarian and transportation aspects of bicycling". Its stated purpose is to provide general guidelines for planning and developing bicycle facilities in the counties and smaller communities of Wisconsin. Some limited design guidance is provided, but the emphasis is mostly on the planning process.

## Regional Documents

### Wausau MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (2009)

The purpose of this plan was to identify key bicycle and pedestrian improvements in Wausau and the surrounding communities. The plan includes the following components:

- Review of related plans
- Survey of existing conditions
- Public meetings and comment gathering
- Values and goals discussion
- Review of best practices
- Recommendations and Implementation

One key recommendation of the plan is the development of a system of signed bike routes which identify corridors that should receive special attention from each community with regards to on- and off-road bicycle treatments. These routes would represent corridors along which a bicyclist of any skill level could comfortably travel. In recent years such a system has been developed, totaling 105 miles in length, and more than 600 bike route signs have been placed around the region. These signs are numbered and color-coded to provide wayfinding assistance while being unique to each community.



### Wausau Metropolitan Area Long-Range Transportation Plan, 2035 (2006 and 2011 update)

The Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) includes sections that address pedestrian and bicycle travel. Recommended general pedestrian improvements are as follows:

- The River Edge Parkway improvements.
- New bridges should be built with adequate pedestrian accommodations.
- New and existing urban and suburban streets should be provided with sidewalks and shoulders. WisDOT guidelines for installing sidewalks calls for sidewalks on both sides of all streets except where residential densities are lower than one unit per acre, in which case a sidewalk on one side of the street is recommended.
- New and existing rural roads should be provided with wide shoulders.

A number of specific recommendations in the Transportation Improvement Recommendations section explicitly call for accommodation of bicycle and pedestrian users. These improvements are:

- State Highway 153 – Pine Street to Old 51
- Business US Highway 51 – Imperial Avenue to Military Avenue
- 72<sup>nd</sup> Avenue – Stewart Avenue to State Highway 29 ramps
- County Highway X – County Highway XX to Howland Avenue

## **Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan for the Non-Urbanized Area of Marathon County, Wisconsin (1996)**

The purpose of this plan was to provide ways in which bicycling and walking could become more appealing methods of transportation in the non-urbanized area of Marathon County, as well as providing recreational, health, and fitness benefits, though the focus rests on transportation. This plan followed the lead that was set by the US Department of Transportation to increase the levels of use in addition to safety for bicyclists and pedestrians. The initial work on this plan started in September of 1995 and the final draft was completed in June of 1996. In August of 1996, the final draft plan was presented for public comment and review. It recommends the following:

- Bicyclist and pedestrian travel needs should be accommodated.
- Resources allocated to bicycle and pedestrian improvements should be targeted to areas of greatest transportation need.
- Coordination between multiple jurisdictions is needed to ensure results.
- Education, encouragement and enforcement programs are needed to supplement facilities improvements.

In addition to these general goals, the plan offers a number of specific physical infrastructure improvements to be considered. These recommendations are divided into categories for each type of bicycle facility:

### ***Paved Shoulders***

- State Highway 97, Athens south to County Highway M.
- State Highway 153, Mosinee west to County Highway O.
- County Highway H, State Highway 29 south to County Highway N.
- County Highway N, County Highway H east to County Highway S.
- County Highway NN, Marathon City to Rib Mountain.
- County Highway B, Mosinee northwest to County Highway KK.
- County Highway XX, Rothschild east/south to County Highway X.

### ***Bike Lanes***

- 8th Street, Marathon City.

### ***Wide Curb Lanes***

- State Highway 13, Marshfield north to Abbotsford.
- State Highway 107, State Highway 29 south to Marathon City.

### ***Multi-Use Trails (Shared Use Paths)***

- Mountain to Bay Trail, Schofield east to county line, continuing on to Green Bay.
- Continuation of Mountain to Bay Trail into Wausau, current terminus near County Highway JJ northwest into urban area.
- Rail-with-trail, Wausau north along CMSTP&P Line, east side of the Wisconsin River.

### Marathon County Comprehensive Plan (2006)

The comprehensive plan, like the LRTP, recommends better accommodation of bicycles and pedestrians as part of any new roadway construction. The plan includes mention of three specific projects under consideration at the time the plan was written:

- Bicycle tunnel under I-39.
- Bicycle path along Hummingbird Lane.
- Bridge over the Wisconsin River connecting Rothschild with Rib Mountain

Each of these projects has since been completed, significantly improving connectivity for bicyclists and pedestrians in the area.

The plan also lists two potential rail-trail conversions:

- Eau Claire River Bicycle/Pedestrian Trail – proposed in the Town of Weston on CNW Railroad right-of-way.
- CNW Trail – A potential linkage between the City of Wausau and the Mountain-Bay Trail. The railroad continues to be active.

## Local Planning Documents

### River Edge Master Plan (1995)

The River Edge Master Plan was adopted in 1995 by the City of Wausau Common Council. This plan focuses on various aspects of the Wisconsin River corridor within the City of Wausau, including land development patterns, recreational use, environmental management, and bicycle and pedestrian connections for transportation and recreation purposes. The plan envisions bicycle and pedestrian connections (in the form of shared-use paths, sidewalks, and on-street accommodations) roughly from the intersection of North 6<sup>th</sup> Street and Horseshoe Spring Road all the way south to the Wausau Municipal Airport (on both sides of the river). It also envisions a bicycle and pedestrian bridge crossing the Wisconsin River in Downtown.

### The Village of Weston Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan – 2013-2017 Plan Overview

The Village of Weston does not have a plan specific to bikes and pedestrians, though it has a more general outdoor recreation plan. This plan serves as a guide for the Village when it comes to decision making with regards to existing and new recreational facilities.

This plan includes a number of goals for trails and paths, including:

- The Village of Weston would like to “provide residents with multi-purpose trail systems that utilize environmental corridors and provide linkages between parks and other appropriate features within and outside of the Village.”
- A strong emphasis is placed on the development of multi-use trails that are barrier free.
- This plan encourages neighboring communities to work together in an effort to create a walking trail system that connects multiple municipalities.
- The Eau Claire River Trail should be developed to connect parks and surrounding communities while providing support facilities along the route.

### Rib Mountain Area Bike and Pedestrian Routes Long Range Plan (2013)

The Town of Rib Mountain does not have traditional bicycle and pedestrian plan document. Rather, it maintains a map that illustrates a number of short and long-term path and on-road bikeway improvements, including:

- Existing off road facilities
- Existing on road shoulders
- Suggested bike routes on low-volume roads
- Planned off road facilities
- Bike/pedestrian facilities that are not yet funded

The plan identifies areas where additional connections are needed. These areas are often accompanied by dates indicating when the project was or is expected to be completed. The plan shows both existing and proposed facilities, which in some cases includes segments that have not yet been funded. One of these non-funded segments is a route on Mountain Road with the addition of an off-road bike path that would connect down to Foxglove Road. Another non-funded plan would provide a connection between the school and the County Highway R Trail, which would allow safe access to the school. The plan illustrates recent improvements, including the State Park off-road pedestrian paths and the bike shoulders added to Park Road in 2010.

## Schofield Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (2014)

The purpose of this document is to plan for bicycle and pedestrian accommodations that are both safe and convenient for transportation and recreation, as well as healthy lifestyle activities. Schofield is located in the center of the other 15 member municipalities that comprise the Wausau Area MPO. This plan recognizes that Schofield's central location makes it important in the creation of bike routes that will connect these neighboring municipalities to one another and ultimately provide alternative forms of transportation and recreation. The plan includes the following components:

- Existing conditions, local bike routes, and related transportation links
- Corridors for off-street trails
- Planning activities
- Streets to designate as bike routes and recommended improvements
- Off-street paths
- Recommendations

Key recommendations include:

- "Inventory and prepare a Metro Route signs maintenance/replacement plan to comply with the Wausau MPO Memorandum of Understanding."
- "Add shared use lane markings—sharrows—and share the road signs to Grand Avenue and Metro Route 9."
- "Request the Marathon County Metropolitan Planning Commission to coordinate the development of a network of trails within and through member municipalities."
- "Begin consultation with Marathon County Transportation Planner, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources State Trail Coordinator and Marathon County Parks Department Director on obtaining public access for Schofield Trail-Mountain Bay Trail connections."

The first two of these have been completed recently.

## Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan

Kronenwetter does not have an official plan document, though they do provide a list of planned projects as well as their anticipated dates of completion. Their plan includes a list of ten recommendations, six of which are off-street paths that have a total cost of \$1,633,000, and four of which are on-street accommodations or paths totaling \$980,000. Kronenwetter also provided a list of funding opportunities for these recommendations. It is important to note that for two of the recommendations, Marathon County is listed as a partner implementation agency while WisDOT is listed as a partner agency on one of the recommendations.

### Kronenwetter Master Non-Motorized Pedestrian Facilities Plan

Complete Project By	Street Name	Limits	Recommendation	Implementing Agency	Length (in feet)	Total Cost	Funding Opportunities
2014	Old Highway 51/Bus 51	Maple Ridge Rd to Kowalaski Rd	Off-street path	V Kronenwetter / WisDOT	13,000	\$448,000	TE, Local
2018	Kowalski Rd	Tower Rd to Kronenwetter Drive	Off-street path	Village of Kronenwetter	3,100	\$100,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, WisDOT, Local
2020	Old Highway 51/Bus 51	Village Way Dr to Kowalaski Rd	Off-street path	Village of Kronenwetter	6,000	\$200,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, SRTS, STP-Urban, Local
2021	County Hwy X	County Hwy XX to Kronenwetter Village limits	Off-street path	Village of Kronenwetter / Marathon County	8,500	\$300,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, Local
2023	Tower	Kowalski Rd to County Hwy XX	Off-street path	Village of Kronenwetter	5,250	\$185,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, SRTS, STP-Urban, Local
2025	Maple Ridge Rd	Kronenwetter Dr to County Hwy X	On-Street striped bicycle accommodations	Village of Kronenwetter	12,500	\$215,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, SRTS, STP-Urban, Local
2025	Pine	Tower Rd to CTH X	On-Street striped bicycle accommodations	Village of Kronenwetter	5,300	\$185,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, SRTS, STP-Urban, Local
2027	County Hwy X	Maple Ridge Rd to County Highway XX	On-Street striped bicycle accommodations	Village of Kronenwetter / Marathon County	16,400	\$280,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, SRTS, STP-Urban, Local
2030	Kowalski Rd	Tower Rd to Pleasant Drive	Off-street path	Village of Kronenwetter	12,000	\$400,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, WisDOT, Local
2030	Martin Rd	Pleasant Drive to Village Limits	on-street path	Village of Kronenwetter	17,000	\$300,000	BPFP, RWJF, TE, WisDOT, Local

## Municipal Codes

The following are policies extracted from the codes of municipalities within the MPO area. They specifically relate to bicycle issues within each jurisdiction. Where needed, commentary has been added in *red italic type*. According to Wisconsin state law, local authorities may adopt traffic regulations in strict conformity with state law. For subjects addressed by state law, local authorities may not adopt regulations that are stricter or substantially different from the state law. For example, municipalities may not prohibit riding two abreast, which is allowed by state law as long as the cyclists are not impeding traffic.

### City of Wausau Municipal Code

#### **Sec. 10.40.010 Registration required.**

No person shall operate a bicycle or motor bicycle upon any street, alley, public highway, sidewalk, bicycle lane, bicycle route, bicycle way or other public right-of-way in the city unless the bicycle or motor bicycle is registered as provided in this chapter. This chapter shall apply to all permanent and temporary residents of the city and to such nonresidents who operate bicycles or motor bicycles upon the streets of the city habitually or frequently, either in going to or from school, or to or from work, or for other purposes; but shall not apply to casual travelers or tourists passing through the city on their bicycles or motor bicycles, nor to those residents of cities, villages and townships adjacent to the city who are validly and currently registered within their respective jurisdictions. (Ord. 61-5573 '1(part), 2013; Ord. 61-4776 '1(part), 1992.)

#### **Sec. 10.40.020 Registration.**

(a) Registration shall be made with the Wausau police department who shall provide the appropriate forms therefor. Upon registration the bicycle or motor bicycle which shall be permitted to be operated within the city as provided in this chapter.

(b) The registration shall be permanent from the date of registration; provided that the bicycle or motor bicycle remains with the owner/owners' family and at the registered address. (Ord. 61-5573 '3 & 4 (part), 2013; Ord. 61-5573 '2(part), 2013; Ord. 61-4776 '1(part), 1992; Ord. 61-4776 '1(part), 1992; Ord. 61-4776 '1(part), 1992.)

#### **Sec. 10.40.050 Rules of the road.**

(a) The rules of the road for bicycles as enacted by the state of Wisconsin have been adopted elsewhere in this code as part of the Wausau motor vehicle rules of the road.

(b) Except as in subsection (c) of this section, the parking and traffic committee is the delegated authority to recommend to the common council rules restricting bicycle operation within the city. After adoption by the council and publication, the parking and traffic committee shall post the signs bearing the restrictions at appropriate places upon the streets.

(c) Bicycles shall not be operated on the following streets except upon the sidewalks:

(1) Grand Avenue, from the Schofield city limits to the southern boundary line of Forest Street;

*This restriction is problematic, especially considering the recent addition of shared-lane markings ("sharrows") to this section of Grand Avenue. In other words, municipal ordinance prohibits bicyclists even though pavement markings clearly indicate that bicyclists are allowed to use this street.*

(2) Forest Street, from the east boundary of Sixth Street to the west boundary of Fifth Street.

(d) Bicycles shall be permitted on the sidewalks on the following bridges:

(1) Bridge Street bridge;

(2) Thomas Street bridge.

(3) Scott Street and W. Washington Street bridge.

## City of Schofield Municipal Code

### **Sec. 49-211. Registration, tag required.**

It shall be unlawful for any person to operate a bicycle upon any street in the city unless said bicycle is registered and tagged, as herein provided. This article shall apply to all residents of the city and to such nonresidents who operate bicycles upon the streets of the city habitually or frequently either in going to or from school or to or from work or for other purposes; but shall not apply to casual travelers or tourists passing through the city on their bicycles, or to bicycles registered and tagged in any other municipality in the state pursuant to ordinances thereof requiring such registration.

### **Sec. 49-212. Registration procedure, fee.**

Registration shall be made by filing with the police department the name and address of the owner, together with a complete description of the bicycle on forms provided by said department and paying a registration fee of \$1.50. Registrations shall be serially numbered and kept on file in the police department as a public record. Upon such registration, the police department shall cause an identification tag to be affixed to the bicycle registered, serially numbered to correspond to the registration number. Such tag shall remain affixed to the bicycle unless removed by the police department for cause or for retagging upon registration. In case of theft or loss, a duplicate tag shall be issued for a fee of \$1.50.

### **Sec. 49-213. Registrations shall be permanent.**

The identification tag shall remain with the bicycle upon any transfer by the registered owner. Upon transfer, if the new owner is a resident of the city, the bicycle shall be reregistered for a fee of \$0.55.

### **Sec. 49-214. Destruction of tag prohibited.**

No person shall willfully remove, deface or destroy any such identification tag.

### **Sec. 49-215. Interfering with rights of other persons prohibited.**

No person shall ride or propel any bicycle upon any part of any public street, highway, boulevard or alley in such a manner as to unlawfully interfere with the rights of other persons using such street, highway, boulevard or alley. *This provision is vague since other provisions (such as requirements to yield right-of-way) should account for intrusions on the rights of others. It may also be inconsistent with state law.*

### **Sec. 49-216. Carrying passengers prohibited.**

No person shall ride or propel a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley except in a careful and prudent manner, nor shall any person propel or operate a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley while carrying thereon another person upon such bicycle. *This provision is inconsistent with state law, as it effectively prohibits the use of tandem bicycles and pedicabs (state statute says that "no bicycle may be used to carry or transport more persons at one time than the number for which it is designed"), as well as prohibiting parents from carrying children (statute says that "a bicycle otherwise designed to carry only the operator may be used to carry or transport a child seated in an auxiliary child's seat or trailer designed for attachment to a bicycle if the seat or trailer is securely attached to the bicycle according to the directions of the manufacturer of the seat or trailer.")*

### **Sec. 49-217. Speeding prohibited.**

No bicycle shall be ridden upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley at a speed faster than is reasonable and proper under traffic conditions at the time, and every bicycle shall be operated with due regard to the safety of the operator and other persons upon the streets, highways, boulevards and alleys of the city.

### **Sec. 49-218. Traffic signs, signals to be observed.**

Persons riding or propelling bicycles shall observe traffic signs and signals and stop when and as required by such signals and signs.

**Sec. 49-219. Lamps required.**

Every bicycle operated upon a public highway in the city between one-half hour after sunset until one-half hour before sunrise, shall be equipped with a lamp on the front, exhibiting a white light visible for a distance of at least 500 feet to the front, and with a lamp on the rear, exhibiting a red light visible from a distance of 500 feet to the rear, except that a red reflector approved by the state department of transportation may be used in lieu of a rear light.

**Sec. 49-220. Time restriction for riders under 12 years old.**

No person under the age of 12 years shall operate a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. *This provision may be inconsistent with state law, which considers a bicycle to be a vehicle, and which does not place a curfew on the operation of any vehicle (motorized or not).*

**Sec. 49-221. Riding abreast prohibited.**

No persons shall operate a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley abreast of or to the left of another person operating a bicycle except while passing such bicycle. *This provision is inconsistent with state law, which allows side-by-side riding as long as the cyclists are not impeding traffic.*

**Sec. 49-222. Traffic rules to be followed; trick riding.**

Every person riding a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley shall observe all traffic rules and regulations of the city and shall turn only at intersections as permitted by such rules and regulations, signal for all turns and stops, ride at the right-hand side of the street or highway as near as may be to the street curb, pass to the left when passing vehicles or bicycles that are slower moving and on the right side when meeting. It shall be unlawful to do any trick riding on any street, highway, boulevard or alley or to operate a bicycle without both hands on the handlebars. *Two elements of this provision are inconsistent with state law and impractical. First, the requirement to ride "as near as may be to the street curb" does not account for the three exceptions specified in state statute (when overtaking, when preparing to make a left turn or U-turn, and to avoid "unsafe conditions, including fixed or moving objects, parked or moving vehicles, pedestrians, animals, surface hazards or substandard width lanes that make it unsafe to ride along the right-hand edge or curb"). Second, prohibiting bicyclists from operating without both hands on the handlebars precludes bicyclists from signaling turns, which requires removing one hand from the handlebars.*

**Sec. 49-223. Grand Avenue.**

Bicycles shall be ridden on the sidewalk along Grand Avenue from the north city limits to the intersection with Holt Street south of the Eau Claire River bridge. *As with the similar provision in the Wausau Municipal Code, this restriction is problematic considering the recent addition of shared-lane markings ("sharrows") to Grand Avenue.*

**Village of Rothschild**

**Sec. 217-1. Registration required.**

It shall be unlawful for any person to operate a bicycle upon any street in the Village of Rothschild unless said bicycle is registered and tagged as herein provided.

**Sec. 217-2. Application for registration; identification tag**

Registration shall be made by filing with the Police Department the name and address of the owner, together with a complete description of the bicycle, on forms provided by said Department and paying a registration fee set by the Village Board. Registration shall be serially numbered and kept on file in said Department as a public record. Upon such registration, said Department shall cause an identification tag to be affixed to the bicycle registered, serially numbered to correspond to the registration number. Such tag shall remain affixed to the bicycle unless removed by said Department for cause. In case of theft or loss, a duplicate tag shall be issued for a fee of set by the Village Board.

**Sec. 217-3. Transfer of ownership.**

The identification tag shall remain with the bicycle upon any transfer by the registered owner. Upon transfer, if the new owner is a resident of the Village of Rothschild, the bicycle shall be reregistered for a fee set by the Village Board.

**Sec. 217-4. Suspension of registration.**

No bicycle shall be registered which is in unsafe mechanical condition. The Village Chief of Police shall have the authority to suspend the registration of and remove the identification tag from any bicycle operated contrary to any state law or Village ordinance or operated while in an unsafe mechanical condition, such suspension and removal to continue for a period not to exceed 10 days, provided that such registration shall not be reinstated or such identification tag be replaced while such bicycle is in an unsafe mechanical condition. Such suspension and removal shall be in addition to the other penalties provided hereunder.

**Sec. 217-5. Removing, defacing or destroying identification tag.**

No person shall willfully remove, deface or destroy any such identification tag.

**Sec. 217-6. Report of change of ownership or dismantling.**

Within 10 days after any bicycle registered hereunder shall have changed ownership or been dismantled and taken out of operation, the person in whose name the bicycle has been registered shall report such information to the Police Department. In case of change of ownership, the registration shall thereupon be changed to show the name of the new owner. In case of dismantling and taking out of operation, the registration shall be cancelled and identification returned to the Police Department.

**Sec. 217-7. Standards for operation.**

A. No person shall ride or propel any bicycle upon any public sidewalk or thoroughfare of the Village set apart for pedestrians, except within the Village limits on U.S. Business 51 where bicycles must be ridden on the sidewalk if available. No person shall ride or propel any bicycle upon any public street, alley, boulevard or sidewalk of the Village in such manner as to interfere with the rights of other persons using such street, alley, boulevard, or sidewalk. *The second part of this provision ("interfere with the rights of others") is vague since other provisions (such as requirements to yield right-of-way) should account for intrusions on the rights of others. It may also be inconsistent with state law.*

B. No person shall ride or propel a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley except in a careful and prudent manner, nor shall any person propel or operate a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley while carrying thereon another person upon such bicycle. *This provision is inconsistent with state law, as it effectively prohibits the use of tandem bicycles and pedicabs (state statute says that "no bicycle may be used to carry or transport more persons at one time than the number for which it is designed"), as well as prohibiting parents from carrying children (statute says that "a bicycle otherwise designed to carry only the operator may be used to carry or transport a child seated in an auxiliary child's seat or trailer designed for attachment to a bicycle if the seat or trailer is securely attached to the bicycle according to the directions of the manufacturer of the seat or trailer.")*

C. No bicycle shall be ridden upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley at a speed faster than is reasonable and proper under traffic conditions at the time, and every bicycle shall be operated with due regard to the safety of the operator and other persons upon the streets, highways and alleys of the Village.

D. Persons riding or propelling bicycles shall observe all traffic signs and signals and stop when and as required by such signals and signs.

E. Every bicycle operated upon a public highway during any of the time between 1/2 hour after sunset and 1/2 hour before sunrise shall be equipped with a lamp on the front, exhibiting a white light visible from a distance of at least 500 feet to the front, and with a lamp on the rear, exhibiting a red light visible from a distance of 500 feet to the rear, except that a red reflector approved by the Motor Vehicle Department may be used in lieu of a rear light.

F. No person shall operate a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley abreast of or to the left of another person operating a bicycle except while passing such bicycle. *This provision is inconsistent with state law, which allows side-by-side riding as long as the cyclists are not impeding traffic.*

G. Every person riding a bicycle upon any public street, highway, boulevard or alley shall observe all traffic rules and regulations of the Village and shall turn only at intersections as permitted by such rules and regulations, signal for all turns and stops, ride at the right-hand side of the street or highway as near as may be to the street curb, and pass to the left when passing vehicles or bicycles that are slower moving and on the right side when meeting. It shall be unlawful to do any trick riding on any street, highway, boulevard or alley or to operate a bicycle without both hands on the handlebars. *Two elements of this provision are inconsistent with state law and impractical. First, the requirement to ride "as near as may be to the street curb" does not account for the three exceptions specified in state statute (when overtaking, when preparing to make a left turn or U-turn, and to avoid "unsafe conditions, including fixed or moving objects, parked or moving vehicles, pedestrians, animals, surface hazards or substandard width lanes that make it unsafe to ride along the right-hand edge or curb"). Second, prohibiting bicyclists from operating without both hands on the handlebars precludes bicyclists from signaling turns, which requires removing one hand from the handlebars.*

**Sec. 217-8. Violations and penalties.**

Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this chapter shall forfeit not more than \$25 and in default of such forfeiture shall be imprisoned in the county jail for a period of not more than 30 days.

**Village of Weston**

**Sec. 82.114. Registration required.**

(a) No person shall operate a bicycle upon any street, alley, public highway, sidewalk, bicycle lane, bicycle route, bicycle way or other public right-of-way in the village unless the bicycle is registered and has affixed a registration plate as provided in this article.

(b) This article shall apply to all permanent and temporary residents of the village and to such nonresidents who operate bicycles upon the streets of the village habitually or frequently, either in going to or from school, or to and from work, or for other purposes, but shall not apply to casual travelers or tourists passing through the village on their bicycles, nor to those residents of cities, villages and townships adjacent to the village who are validly and currently registered within their respective jurisdictions.

**Sec. 82.115. Registration; fee; inspection.**

(a) Registration shall be made with the police department, who shall provide the appropriate forms. Upon payment of a registration fee provided in the village fee schedule, the police department shall issue a registration plate for the bicycle, which shall permit the bicycle to be operated within the village as provided in this article.

(b) The registration shall be permanent from the date of issuance provided the bicycle remains with the registered owner and at the registered address. In the event of a sale or other transfer of the bicycle to a new owner, a transfer of registration must be completed in conformity with the provisions of section 82.117.

(c) No person shall be entitled to register a bicycle when that person is believed by the Everest Metro Police Department to have no claim to, or evidence of, ownership of the bicycle or have no right to possession of such bicycle.

(d) The Everest Metro Police Department may inspect each bicycle before registering it, and the department may refuse to register any bicycle that the registering officer believes to be in an unsafe mechanical condition.

**Sec. 82.116. Display of registration plate.**

(a) No bicycle shall be considered registered until a registration plate, which shall remain legible at all times is affixed to the bicycle, which shall remain so affixed until the bicycle is either dismantled, destroyed or removed by the Everest Metro Police

Department for cause. Such license shall be attached securely to the rear of the operator's seat or to the rear fender of the bicycle.

(b) No person shall intentionally destroy, mutilate or alter the identification plate affixed to any bicycle or remove, without the permission of the owner, any identification plate from any bicycle. If a replacement plate must be issued, the fee shall be as provided in the village fee schedule.

***Sec. 82.117. Transfer and cancellation of registration.***

Within ten days after any bicycle registered under this article changes ownership, is transferred or dismantled and/or taken out of operation, the person in whose name the bicycle has been registered shall report such information to the Everest Metro Police Department. In the event of a change of ownership or other transfer of the bicycle wherein the bicycle remains in use in the village, the license plate will remain with the bicycle. Every such person who transfers the title of any bicycle shall endorse upon the

**City of Mosinee**

***Sec. 42-1042. Block design.***

(c) Pedestrian pathways. Pedestrian pathways, not less than ten feet wide, may be required by the plan commission through the center of a block more than 900 feet long, where deemed essential to provide circulation or access to schools, playgrounds, shopping centers, transportation and other community facilities.

***Sec. 78-352. Manner of operation.***

No bicycle shall be allowed to proceed in any street in the city by inertia or momentum with the feet of the rider removed from the bicycle pedals. No rider of a bicycle shall remove both hands from the handlebars or practice any trick or fancy riding in any street in the city, nor shall any bicycle rider carry or ride any other person so that two persons are on the bicycle at one time, unless a seat is provided for a second person.

***Sec. 78-353. Lighting equipment.***

No person shall operate a bicycle upon a highway during the hours of darkness unless equipped as required in Wis. Stats. §347.489.

***Sec. 78-354. Warning signal required.***

No bicycle shall be operated on the streets of the city unless equipped with either a warning bell or horn.

***Sec. 78-355. Parking a bicycle.***

No person shall leave a bicycle at such a place or in such a way as to create a hazard to pedestrians, automobile operators or to anyone else.

***Sec. 78-356. Riding abreast.***

Persons riding or using bicycles or other similar vehicles along or upon any public street, avenue, lane, alley or other public road, ground or way within the city shall not ride more than two abreast excepting in a general parade or public demonstration. *This provision is inconsistent with state law, which allows side-by-side riding as long as the cyclists are not impeding traffic.*

***Sec. 78-357. Rules of the road.***

The provisions of Wis. Stats. ch. 346 shall be applicable to the operation of bicycles, where appropriate.

***Sec. 78-381. Required registration.***

No person shall operate a bicycle upon any street, alley, public highway, sidewalk, bicycle lane, bicycle route, bicycle way or other public right-of-way in the city unless the bicycle is registered and has affixed thereto a registration plate as provided in this division. This division shall apply to all permanent and temporary residents of the city and to such nonresidents who

operate bicycles upon the streets of the city habitually or frequently, either in going to or from school, or to and from work, or for other purposes; but shall not apply to casual travelers or tourists passing through the city on their bicycles, nor to those residents of other cities, villages and townships who are validly and currently registered with their respective jurisdictions.

***Sec. 78-382. Fee; inspection.***

(a) Registration shall be made with the police department who shall provide the appropriate forms. Upon payment of a registration fee set by the council from time to time, the police department shall issue a registration plate for the bicycle which shall permit the bicycle to be operated within the city as provided in this article. Registration shall be nonexpiring.

(b) The registration shall be permanent from the date of issuance; provided, however, that the bicycle remains with the registered owner and at the registered address. In the event of a sale or other transfer of such bicycle to a new owner, a transfer of registration must be completed in conformity with the provisions of section 78-384.

(c) No person shall be entitled to register a bicycle when that person is believed by the police department to have no claim to, or evidence of, ownership of the bicycle or have no right to possession of such bicycle.

(d) The police department may inspect each bicycle before registering it, and may refuse to register any bicycle that the registering officer believes to be in an unsafe mechanical condition.

***Sec. 78-383. Display of registration plate.***

(a) No bicycle shall be displayed, used or considered registered until a registration plate, which shall remain legible at all times, is affixed to the bicycle. It shall remain so affixed until the bicycle is either dismantled or destroyed. Such registration plate may be removed from the bicycle by the police department for cause. The registration plate shall be securely attached to the stem of the operator's seat facing the front of the bicycle.

(b) No person shall intentionally destroy, mutilate or alter the registration plate affixed to any bicycle or remove, without the permission of the owner, any registration plate from any bicycle. If a replacement plate must be issued, the fee shall be set by the council from time to time.

***Sec. 78-384. Transfer and cancellation.***

Within ten days after any bicycle registered under this article changes ownership, is transferred or dismantled and/or taken out of operation, the person in whose name the bicycle has been registered shall report such information to the police department. In the event of a change of ownership or other transfer of the bicycle wherein the bicycle remains in use in the city, the license plate will remain with the bicycle. If a bicycle is dismantled and/or taken out of operation, the license plate will not remain with the bicycle, but shall be immediately destroyed by the person disposing of or dismantling the bicycle.

***Sec. 78-385. Buying or selling bicycles.***

Every person in the business of buying, selling or exchanging bicycles in the city shall maintain for three years from the date of the transaction a record containing the brand name, color, type and serial number of each bicycle bought, sold or exchanged and shall record the name and address of the person buying, selling or exchanging a bicycle. This record shall be open to inspection by a representative of the city police department during reasonable business hours.

# Appendix B

## Traffic Stress Analysis

### Background and Methodology

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



As part of assessing existing conditions for bicycling in the Wausau area (see Chapter 2), an evaluation of the area’s urban street and rural road networks was performed. The intent of this evaluation was to classify each segment of roadway with regard to the level of stress, based on interactions with motor vehicles that a casual adult bicyclist should expect to encounter. This appendix provides additional detail regarding the methodology used to perform that analysis.

## Typical Methods for Calculating Level of Service

Multiple methodologies to determine the suitability of streets for bicycling have been developed over the past few decades. The most common models used over the past few years (such as the Bicycle Compatibility Index and Bicycle Level of Service models) are very quantitative and scientific, being developed based on the feedback of users riding along various study segments of streets in selected locations in the United States. One critique is that these methods estimate and are based on the *perception* of safety afforded by various factors, as opposed to being based on proven crash reduction strategies. As such, the traditional methods arguably overestimate the effects of some factors (such as the presence of a striped bike lane) and underestimate the effects of others (most notably traffic volumes and speeds). While these models may be adequate for determining suitability for highly-skilled and confident bicyclists, they may not be adequate for determining suitability for the entire population (including people that do not currently ride a bicycle but have interest in doing so).

## Types of Bicyclists and the New “Typical Bicyclist”

Anecdotal experience<sup>1</sup> supplemented with survey-based research<sup>2</sup> indicates that people (whether or not they regularly ride a bicycle) fall into one of the four categories shown in Table 1, based on their traffic stress tolerance or comfort, confidence, and willingness to interact with motor vehicle traffic. As can be seen, the majority (56%) of people are “Interested but Concerned” about bicycling.

The research and thinking surrounding this method for classifying the general population by traffic stress tolerance posits that the “Interested but Concerned” portion of the population is not bicycling very often, at least not on streets with little separation between bicycles and cars. Table 1 illustrates that the majority of the population that currently or might bicycle (the “Interested but Concerned” and “Enthusied and Confident” categories) are concerned about interactions with motor vehicles, which indicates that separation from motor vehicle traffic may be the most important factor to consider in order to encourage more people to bicycle.

As part of the WikiMap public participation exercise that was performed for this Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the Wausau Area MPO, a short survey was administered in order to classify each participant according to a similar method (see Table 2). The results show that a significant portion of the WikiMap participants are willing or somewhat willing to mix with motor vehicle traffic. It is likely that these results are skewed due to the intentional participation of people that are already avid bicyclists (local cycling clubs and groups were strongly encouraged to participate in the exercise) and low participation of would-be bicyclists due to lack of awareness of this planning effort. Interestingly, half of the people that stated they are willing to ride in mixed traffic on almost any type of street stated that separated paths are one of their most desired bicycle facility type.

Nonetheless, it is important to note that 64% of the respondents stated that they prefer some level of separation from motor vehicle traffic.

---

<sup>1</sup> Geller, R. “Four Types of Cyclists.” Portland Office of Transportation. (<https://www.portlandoregon.gov/transportation/article/264746>)

<sup>2</sup> Dill, J. and N. McNeil. (2013, January) “Four Types of Cyclists? Examining a Typology to Better Understand Bicycling Behavior and Potential.” Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board.

Table 1: General Population Broken Down by Interest in Bicycling

Category Description*	Traffic Stress Tolerance	Characteristics**	Percent of Population**
No Way, No How		Not interested in riding a bicycle for transportation. 87%	31%
Interested but Concerned		Little tolerance for traffic stress with major concerns for safety. Strongly prefer separation from traffic on arterials by way of protected bike lanes and paths. 84%	56%
Enthusied and Confident		Some tolerance for traffic stress. Confident riders who will share lanes with cars, especially on rural roads, but prefer separated bike lanes, paths, or paved shoulders on roads with higher traffic levels. 52%	9%
Strong and Fearless		High tolerance for traffic stress. Experienced riders who are comfortable sharing lanes on higher speed and volume arterials. These riders are less interested in protected bike lanes and paths than the general population. 39%	4%

\*These category names were developed by Roger Geller of the City of Portland Office of Transportation. They have become the standard names, but some advocates and industry professionals feel they cast a negative tone on certain types of bicyclists.

\*\*Percent of people concerned about being hit by a motor vehicle and percent of total population are from Dill, J. and N. McNeil. (2013, January).

Table 2: WikiMap Participant Response to the Question "How would you describe your biking habits and comfort level?"

Response	Corresponding Geller Category Description*	Expected Response Rate**	Actual Participant Response Rate
I do not ride a bicycle and am unlikely to ever do so.	No Way, No How	31%	5%
I would like to bicycle more, but I prefer not to ride in traffic.	Interested but Concerned	56%	28%
I am willing to ride in traffic, but I prefer dedicated bicycle lanes and routes.	Enthusied and Confident	9%	36%
I am willing to ride in mixed traffic with automobiles on almost any type of street.	Strong and Fearless	4%	31%

\* See Table 1. Geller, R. "Four Types of Cyclists." Portland Office of Transportation.

\*\* Based on Dill, J. and N. McNeil. (2013, January).

## Level of Traffic Stress Methodology

Since the categorization methodology used by Geller, Dill, and others (Table 1) posits that people can be classified based on their willingness or aversion to bicycle with or alongside motor vehicle traffic, determining the “traffic stress” of a street segment may be the most appropriate way to determine the segment’s suitability for bicycling. The Mineta Transportation Institute (a California-based research institution) developed the Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) model to do this, and it loosely correlates with the categories outlined in Table 1. Generally speaking, LTS 4 is only suitable for “Strong and Fearless” bicyclists, LTS 3 is suitable for that group as well as “Enthusied and Confident” bicyclists, LTS 2 is suitable for almost everyone other than children, and LTS 1 is suitable for the entire population (with the exception of very young children). The LTS definitions are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) Definitions

LTS 1	Presenting little traffic stress and demanding little attention from cyclists, and attractive enough for a relaxing bike ride. Suitable for almost all cyclists, including children trained to safely cross intersections. On links, cyclists are either physically separated from traffic, or are in an exclusive bicycling zone next to a slow traffic stream with no more than one lane per direction, or are on a shared road where they interact with only occasional motor vehicles (as opposed to a stream of traffic) with a low speed differential. Where cyclists ride alongside a parking lane, they have ample operating space outside the zone into which car doors are opened. Intersections are easy to approach and cross.
LTS 2	Presenting little traffic stress and therefore suitable to most adult cyclists but demanding more attention than might be expected from children. On links, cyclists are either physically separated from traffic, or are in an exclusive bicycling zone next to a well-confined traffic stream with adequate clearance from a parking lane, or are on a shared road where they interact with only occasional motor vehicles (as opposed to a stream of traffic) with a low speed differential. Where a bike lane lies between a through lane and a right-turn lane, it is configured to give cyclists unambiguous priority where cars cross the bike lane and to keep car speed in the right-turn lane comparable to bicycling speeds. Crossings are not difficult for most adults.
LTS 3	More traffic stress than LTS 2, yet markedly less than the stress of integrating with multilane traffic, and therefore welcome to many people currently riding bikes in American cities. Offering cyclists either an exclusive riding zone (lane) next to moderate-speed traffic or shared lanes on streets that are not multilane and have moderately low speed. Crossings may be longer or across higher-speed roads than allowed by LTS 2, but are still considered acceptably safe to most adult pedestrians.
LTS 4	A level of stress beyond LTS3.

Source: Mekuria, Furth, and Nixon. “Low-Stress Bicycling and Network Connectivity.” Report 11-19. May 2012. Mineta Transportation Institute. San Jose State University, San Jose, California.

As opposed to other suitability methods (mentioned on the previous page), this method provides a much greater weight to motor vehicle traffic speeds and volumes. While most people are comfortable bicycling on quiet streets, the LTS method requires physical separation between bicycles and cars when traffic volumes and speeds exceed certain thresholds. The model can factor traffic stress along street segments, intersection approaches, and street crossings in determining an overall score for a segment.<sup>3</sup> The method uses several base criteria for determining traffic stress (street width, motor vehicle speed, and presence of on-street parking) as well as additional criteria depending on facility type (bike lane width, traffic volume when streets do not have bike lanes, and number of driveway/street crossings for paths).

Table 4 illustrates how LTS is calculated for various types of streets. The factors included in this table have been tailored specifically for this project.

In the end, this model helps communities and regions identify the traffic stress that may be experienced along each part of their street and road system. It also serves as a tool to help develop interconnected systems of low-stress bikeways that will appeal to the majority of the population (the “Interested but Concerned” and “Enthusied and Confident” groups). A similar approach has been taken by the Dutch for decades, resulting in approximately 80% of the population riding a bicycle at least once per week and 25-50% of the population in larger cities biking to work on a daily basis.

<sup>3</sup> Due to data limitations, only street segment traffic stress was calculated for this project’s analysis.

Table 4: Level of Traffic Stress (Tailored for the Wausau Area MPO)

Level of Traffic Stress	Shared Streets*	Bike Lanes* not Alongside a Parking Lane	Bike Lanes* Alongside a Parking Lane	Shared-Use Paths*
LTS 1	≤ 25 MPH ≤ 2,000 ADT**	≤ 30 MPH 1 lane† Bike lane ≥ 6 feet	≤ 25 MPH 1 lane† Bike lane ≥ 7 feet	Completely separated from car traffic ≥ 10 feet wide
LTS 2	≤ 30 MPH 2,000 – 4,000 ADT	≤ 30 MPH 2 lanes Bike lane 4-6 feet	≤ 30 MPH 1 lane Bike lane 6-7 feet	Along streets with few driveway/street crossings ≥ 10 feet wide
LTS 3	≤ 30 MPH 4,000 – 6,000 ADT	≤ 35 MPH > 2 lanes Bike lane 4-6 feet	≤ 35 MPH ≥ 2 lanes Bike lane 5-6 feet	Along streets with many driveway/street crossings 8 feet wide
LTS 4	> 35 MPH > 6,000 ADT	≥ 40 MPH > 2 lanes Bike lane < 4 feet	≥ 40 MPH ≥ 2 lanes Bike lane < 5 feet	n/a

\* Shared streets include Sharrows, neighborhood streets, and any street without a dedicated bicycle facility. Bike lanes may include paved urban shoulders. The LTS model developed by Mineta does not consider shared-use paths; however, the LTS was tailored for this plan to account for assessment of shared-use paths.


\*\* ADT stands for Average Daily Traffic, a measure of motor vehicle traffic volume.

† Travel lanes in each direction (does not including bike or parking lanes).

## Rating Rural Roads

The LTS model is based on urban and suburban contexts and cannot be applied to rural roads for this reason. However, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) has a methodology for calculating bicycle compatibility for rural roads, which has been used for several decades in Wisconsin as well as other states. The model was designed to be sensitive to the conditions of low and moderate volume rural roadways and was based on the probability of a conflict between bicyclists and passing vehicles, based on research performed as part of a National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) study.<sup>4</sup> Very few rural roads with low volumes of traffic have enough width to allow three vehicles (two passing motorists and a bicyclist) to comfortably share the same linear space. The statistical probability of motor vehicle/bicycle conflict has a major impact on the suitability of a roadway for shared use and overall safety. The model was made sensitive to volumes based on earlier research conducted for warranting passing lanes on highways. The model uses factors including average daily traffic volume, roadway width, percent solid yellow center line, and percent truck traffic. Based on a combination of these factors, roadway segments are rated “good”, “moderate,” or “poor.” A generalized explanation of the methodology is displayed in Table 5.

Table 5: Generalized Bicycling Conditions for Rural Roadways<sup>5</sup>



For purposes of analyzing the suitability of the Wausau Area MPO’s transportation system for bicycling, the categories shown in Table 5 were correlated with Level of Traffic Stress ratings, as shown in Table 6. Because of the higher traffic speeds experienced along rural roadways, it was decided that the “Best conditions” category should correlate with LTS 2. This indicates that while most adult bicyclists should be comfortable using a “Best conditions” rural road, this type of road would likely not be appropriate for younger children.

Table 6: Correlation between Urban and Rural Traffic Stress Ratings

Level of Traffic Stress Rating	Wisconsin Bicycling Conditions for Rural Roads Rating
LTS 1	n/a
LTS 2	Best conditions
LTS 3	Moderate conditions
LTS 4	Undesirable conditions

<sup>4</sup> Glennon, John C. Design and traffic control guidelines for low-volume rural roads. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, 1979. Print.

<sup>5</sup> *Wisconsin Rural Bicycle Planning Guide*. Wisconsin Department of Transportation. April 2006. 15.

<sup>6</sup> Not used for this analysis.

# Appendix C

## Law Enforcement

### Continuum of Training

---

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan for the  
Wausau Area Metropolitan Planning Organization



## Background

The Highway Safety Triangle model for improving traffic safety has proven itself to be effective for many years. Engineering, education, and enforcement (the 3 E's) are the main components of the model. All three contribute directly to traffic safety, including that of pedestrians and bicyclists.

Enforcement is a critical element of any successful pedestrian and bicycle program. Law enforcement officers are the only members of the community who can enforce laws to improve pedestrian and bicycle safety, so they must be at the forefront of this effort and lead by example. Unfortunately, most law enforcement officers have never received any pedestrian- or bicycle-specific training. Without this training it is difficult, if not impossible, for most officers to do their part and therefore these programs cannot reach their full potential.

## Approach


WE BIKE, etc., LLC developed a law enforcement training model with essential pedestrian and bicycle safety educational materials and multi-faceted training through a planned succession of information, from basic to in-depth knowledge. This manner of delivery and level of content is the Continuum of Training in Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement.

Because we know that law enforcement officers typically do not receive specific pedestrian and bicycle safety training in school or after they enter the force, the Continuum of Training in Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement was developed to meet the needs of law enforcement officers who have no prior training. But it also includes components that even certified bicycle patrol officers will appreciate.


This approach to pedestrian and bicycle law enforcement training was originally developed and tested by WE BIKE as part of the federally funded Sheboygan County, Wis., Non-Motorized Transportation Pilot Project in 2006-09. It has since been implemented in Green Bay, Wis., New Orleans, La., Albany, N.Y., Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., and across the state of Idaho.

The continuum has proven to be very successful at increasing officers' pedestrian and bicycle safety knowledge and has resulted in increased pedestrian and bicycle safety enforcement activity and a greater sense of security for pedestrians and bicyclists of all ages and abilities.

The continuum starts with a very low-cost and minimal time commitment element that contains basic knowledge of pedestrian and bicycle safety targeted to law enforcement officers. It continues with increasingly informative pieces with the culmination being a two-day training session with in-depth classroom and on-the-road activities.



The information provided in the continuum is pertinent for all law enforcement personnel, but not all officers will specialize in bicycle and pedestrian safety, just as in other areas of enforcement like crash investigation or narcotics. As the information increases and becomes more in-depth, fewer officers will likely participate. The ones that do participate are naturally interested in pedestrian and bicycle safety and will become the experts and advocates in their departments.



## Components

The *Continuum of Training in Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement* approach includes multiple types of media – written, video, interactive computerized-based training, and personalized classroom instruction to appeal to a broad cross section of officers with different learning styles. It consists of the following tools:

1. “Enforcement for Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety” brochure
2. National and local pedestrian and bicycle safety materials
3. Pedestrian and bicycle safety videos to be shown at roll call
4. Computer-based pedestrian and bicycle safety training
5. Instructor-led, two-day, *Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement* course
6. Community enforcement activity

1. The “Enforcement for Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety” brochure contains basic knowledge of pedestrian and bicycle safety and pertinent state statutes. It will be distributed (print or electronic) to all officers in a department or region. It requires minimal effort on the part of the department and officer and is a very cost effective training tool.

2. There are many national, state and local pedestrian and bicycle safety materials available. The *Continuum of Training in Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement* will include a selection of materials that are the best of what is available nationally and locally. This could include brochures, posters, pocket guides, coloring books, bumper stickers, safety check lists, flyers, etc. for children, adults, commuters and leisure bicyclists and pedestrians. It will also include instructions on ordering the materials (most are free or at nominal cost) and suggestions on which key items officers should have on hand to assist them when making traffic stops, school visits, or interacting with the walking, bicycling and motoring public.

3. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and other agencies have created short, informative videos about bicycle and pedestrian safety targeted to law enforcement. They are designed to raise awareness and to give officers perspective about the role they play. When shown at roll call, they can be very effective for opening dialogue among officers about pedestrian and bicycle safety in their community.

4. The next step in the continuum is two, self-paced, two-hour, interactive instructional DVDs “NHTSA Pedestrian Safety Training for Law Enforcement” and “Enhancing Bicycle Safety: Law Enforcement’s Role.” Officers can perform these trainings on their down time and completion of the final evaluations prompts certificates of completion and the achieved scores.

5. The two-day instructor-led training is a complete pedestrian and bicycle safety educational experience with classroom and on-the-road activities. The course contains information in the following areas: What, Where, When, How, Who & Why of walking and bicycling; components of the Highway Safety Triangle; bicycle environment audit; how pedestrian & bicycle crashes happen; pedestrian & bicycle laws; pedestrian crosswalk enforcement operations; crash investigating & reporting; potential law enforcement partners; and more.

6. The last component of the continuum is a community enforcement activity. Following the completion of the prior steps, departments may choose to implement a community enforcement activity which would provide them with the opportunity to put their newfound skills and knowledge into practice, and make the community aware of their activities. Examples of community enforcement activities include a pedestrian crosswalk enforcement operation (enforcement and media activity to educate motorists and pedestrians about crosswalk safety) and a bike light giveaway activity (officers stop bicyclists riding at night without a light and install one free of charge).

***Continuum of Training in Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety for Law Enforcement***

<b>Timeline</b>	<b>Resource</b>	<b>Target Audience</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
January	Brochure	All officers	General knowledge	Critical quick-reference resource of relevant bike/ped and motorist statutes	Officers incorporate bike/ped enforcement into all patrol work
February	Safety/Education Resources	Patrol, training, school liaison, FTO, neighborhood, shift commanders, bike patrol officers	Traffic stops, school visits, interacting with walkers, bikers, and motorists	Officer and general public education	Increased general bike/ped safety awareness
March	Roll Call Videos	All officers	Internal training	National perspective of best practices of bike/ped enforcement	PD makes strategic enforcement decisions that officers apply on the street
April	Computer-Based Training	Select officers	Internal training	Intermediate training in bike/ped enforcement	Creates mid-level departmental expertise
May/June	Workshop	Select officers	Internal training	Advanced training in bike/ped enforcement	Creates advanced departmental expertise

Agenda Item No.

6

*STAFF REPORT TO CISM COMMITTEE – November 12, 2015*

**AGENDA ITEM**

Discussion and possible action on Relocation Order regarding the Thomas Street Project

**BACKGROUND**

The Relocation Order allows the City to relocate/realign the road as proposed and also references the approved Plat Map. The Plat Map was approved by CISM on October 8, 2015 and approved by Council on October 27, 2015. According to the Real Estate Contractor, MSA, the Relocation Order needs to be approved prior to making offers to any property owners.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

The completion of this Relocation Order is included in AECOM's existing contract.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends approval of the Relocation Order.

Staff contact: Eric Lindman 715-261-6745

# RELOCATION ORDER

LPA1708 08/2011 (Replaces LPA3006)

Project	Road name 17 <sup>TH</sup> Avenue - Wisconsin River	Highway Thomas Street	County Marathon
Right of way plat date October 27, 2015	Plat sheet number(s) 4.01 through 4.09	Previously approved Relocation Order date	

Description of termini of project:

Beginning at a point on the reference line which is 1318.70 feet north and 900.69 feet west of the southwest corner of Section 35, T29N, R7E and ending at a point 1314.64 feet north and 17.32 feet west of the south quarter corner of Section 35, T29N, R7E.

Beginning Coordinates: Y = 197907.636, X = 275087.941, Sta. 13+96.36

Ending Coordinates: Y = 197942.506, X = 278606.092, Sta. 49+15.00

Marathon County Coordinate System - NAD 83 (2007)

---

To properly establish, lay out, widen, enlarge, extend, construct, reconstruct, improve, or maintain a portion of the highway designated above, it is necessary to relocate or change and acquire certain lands or interests in lands as shown on the right of way plat for the above project.

To effect this change, pursuant to authority granted under Sections 62.22 Wisconsin Statutes, the City of Wausau orders that:

1. The said road is laid out and established to the lines and widths as shown on the plat.
2. The required lands or interests in lands as shown on the plat shall be acquired by: City of Wausau
3. This order supersedes and amends any previous order issued by the: City of Wausau

---

Mayor

Date

**CONVENTIONAL SIGNS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

STATE LINE	---	SECTION CORNER		FOUNDATION OR RUIN BUILDING	
COUNTY LINE	---	NOTATION FOR COMBUSTIBLE FLUIDS		CEMETERY	
TOWNSHIP AND RANGE LINES	---	NOTATION FOR HIGH VOLTAGE TRANSMISSION LINES		R/W MONUMENT	
SECTION LINE	---	BRIDGE		NON-MONUMENT R/W POINT	
QUARTER LINE	---	STREAM OR RIVER		IRON PIN	
SIXTEENTH LINE	---	LAKE		VALVE	
NEW REFERENCE LINE	---	CULVERT (Box, Pipe Or Cattle Pass)		WINDMILL	
NEW R/W LINE	---	SIGN		MANHOLE, SEPTIC VENT, WELL, ETC.	
EXISTING R/W LINE	---	ELECTRIC POLE		GAS PUMPS	
PROPERTY LINE	---	ACCESS RESTRICTED (By Acquisition)		BUSHES	
CORPORATE LIMITS	---	ACCESS RESTRICTED (By Previous Acquisition/Control)		TREES (Deciduous)	
LOT, TIE AND OTHER MINOR LINES	---	NO ACCESS (By Statutory Authority)		TREES (Coniferous)	
SLOPE INTERCEPTS	---			WOODS	
UNDERGROUND FACILITY (Communications, Electric, Etc.)	---			ENCROACHING SIGN	
FENCE	---				
FEE INTEREST	---				
TEMPORARY INTEREST	---				
EASEMENT (Highway, Permanent Limited or Restricted Development)	---				
BEAM GUARD	---				
TRANSMISSION STRUCTURES (Line Optional)	---				
RAIL LINE	---				


  

P.I.	Point of Intersection	ST.	Street
or PI		IP	Iron Pipe or Iron Pin
°	Deflection Angle	C.S.M.	Certified Survey Map
D.	Degree of Curve	COR.	Corner
T.	Tangent Length	L.C.	Long Chord
L.	Length	L.C.B.	Long Chord Bearing
R.	Radius	MI.	Miles
CATV	Cable Television Line	MISC	Miscellaneous
FO	Fiber Optic Cable	N/A	Not Available or Applicable
G	Gas Line	P.L.	Property Line
GUY	Guy Wire	P.L.E.	Permanent Limited Easement
GV	Gas Valve	P.O.B.	Point of Beginning
SAN	Sanitary Sewer Line	PC	Point of Curvature
SEPV.	Septic Vent	PG.	Page
T	Telephone Line	PROP	Property Corner
W	Water Line	PT	Point of Tangency
ANT.	Antenna	R/W	Right of Way
B	Barn or Building	RD.	Road
G	Garage	REM.	Remnant
H	House	S.F.	Square Feet
S	Shed	SEC.	Section
C.T.H.	County Trunk Highway	STA.	Station
CORP	Corporation	T.L.E.	Temporary Limited Easement
LLC	Limited Liability Corporation	or TLE	
RR.	Railroad	VOL.	Volume
S.T.H.	State Trunk Highway		

R/W PROJECT NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER	TOTAL SHEETS
FEDERAL PROJECT NUMBER	4.01	9
PLAT OF RIGHT-OF-WAY REQUIRED FOR		
THOMAS STREET		
17TH AVENUE - WISCONSIN RIVER		
THOMAS STREET	CITY OF WAUSAU	
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER		

**BEGIN RELOCATION ORDER**  
 STA. 13+96.36  
 1318.70' NORTH AND 900.69' WEST  
 OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER  
 OF SECTION 35, T29N, R7E.

**END RELOCATION ORDER**  
 STA. 49+15.00  
 1314.64' NORTH AND 17.32' WEST  
 OF THE SOUTH QUARTER CORNER  
 OF SECTION 35, T29N, R7E.



LAYOUT  
 SCALE 0 \_\_\_\_\_ MI.

TOTAL NET LENGTH OF CENTERLINE = 0.666 MI.

**NOTES**

POSITIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAT ARE WISCONSIN COUNTY COORDINATES, MARATHON COUNTY, NAD 83 (2007) IN US SURVEY FEET. VALUES SHOWN ARE GRID COORDINATES, GRID BEARINGS AND GRID DISTANCES. GRID DISTANCES MAY BE USED FOR GROUND DISTANCES.

RIGHT OF WAY MONUMENTS ARE TYPE 2 MONUMENTS (TYPICALLY 3/4 " X 24" REBAR) AND WILL BE PLACED PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.

RIGHT OF WAY BOUNDARIES ARE DEFINED WITH COURSES OF THE PERIMETER OF THE HIGHWAY LANDS REFERENCED TO THE U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY SYSTEM OR OTHER "SURVEYS OF PUBLIC RECORD.

FOR CURRENT ACCESS/DRIVEWAY INFORMATION, CONTACT THE CITY OF WAUSAU.

PROPERTY LINES SHOWN ON THIS PLAT ARE DRAWN FROM DATA DERIVED FROM MAPS AND DOCUMENTS OF PUBLIC RECORD AND/OR EXISTING OCCUPATIONAL LINES. EXCLUDING RIGHT OF WAY LINES, THIS PLAT MAY NOT BE A TRUE REPRESENTATION OF EXISTING PROPERTY LINES AND SHOULD NOT BE USED AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR AN ACCURATE FIELD SURVEY.

ORIGINAL PLAT PREPARED BY

**AECOM**

*Lance J. Habeck*

DATE: 9/28/15

REVISION DATE	CITY OF WAUSAU
APPROVED FOR THE CITY OF WAUSAU	DATE: 11/6/15 <i>James E. Trpple</i>
	JAMES E. TRPPLE, MAYOR

# SCHEDULE OF LANDS & INTERESTS REQUIRED

OWNER'S NAMES ARE SHOWN FOR REFERENCE PURPOSED ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PRIOR TO THE TRANSFER OF LAND INTERESTS TO THE CITY OF WAUSAU.

PARCEL NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER	OWNER (S)	INTEREST REQUIRED	R/W	SO. FT. REQUIRED	T.L.E. SO.FT.	
				NEW	EXISTING	TOTAL	
1	4.04	JESSE TOWLE TRUSTEE OF THE MILTON A. TOWLE REVOCABLE TRUST	FEE	3343	-----	3343	-----
2	4.04	MICHAEL L. HANKE	TLE	-----	-----	-----	103
3	4.04	BEE MOUA & MOR VUE	FEE & TLE	100	-----	100	693
4	4.04	DENISE L. EMMERICH	FEE & TLE	2	-----	2	693
5	4.04	STEVEN XIA CHANG & XAI K. CHANG	TLE	-----	-----	-----	538
6	4.04	WEBKO REAL ESTATE, LLC	FEE & TLE	2055	-----	2055	2203
7	4.04	WEBKO REAL ESTATE, LLC	FEE & TLE	1797	-----	1797	1651
8	4.04	CITY OF WAUSAU	FEE & TLE	1763	-----	1763	550
9	4.04	PAUL LAWRENCE	FEE & TLE	1770	-----	1770	550
10	4.04	DAVID L. LINKE & HOPE A. LINKE	TLE	-----	-----	-----	529
11	4.04	CONNIE M. NIENOW	TLE	-----	-----	-----	537
12	4.04	LOUIS P. KRAUS & PATRICIA J. KRAUS	TLE	-----	-----	-----	533
13	4.04	DALE J. WEINKE	TLE	-----	-----	-----	532
14	4.04	ERVIN H. BIRR & JOANN J. BIRR	TLE	-----	-----	-----	532
15	4.04 & 4.05	HELKE LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	532
16	4.05	ROSEWITHA PAHL	TLE	-----	-----	-----	534
17	4.05	DIANE STENCIL	TLE	-----	-----	-----	534
18	4.05	CLAUDE N. PASZEK & JUDY M. PASZEK	FEE & TLE	155	-----	155	1526
19	4.05	NICHOLAS A. WEINKE	FEE & TLE	1784	-----	1784	550
20	4.05	JAMES H. TREU & MARY J. TREU REVOCABLE TRUST	FEE & TLE	1646	-----	1646	1103
21	4.05	JAN W. MUETZEL & SUSAN M. MUETZEL	FEE & TLE	1387	-----	1387	678
22	4.05	ROGER J. AHO	FEE & TLE	826	-----	826	371
23	4.05	CITY OF WAUSAU	FEE & TLE	2370	-----	2370	536
24	4.05	CHRISTOPHER BARGENDER & JESSICA BARGENDER	FEE & TLE	436	-----	436	484
25	4.05	CHARLENE J. CHRISTIAN	FEE & TLE	322	-----	322	250
26	4.05	GARY HABLE	FEE & TLE	381	-----	381	250
27	4.05	LINDA L. KROENING	FEE & TLE	968	-----	968	482
28	4.06	JOYCE A. KREAGER, TRUSTEE OF THE JOYCE A. KREAGER REVOCABLE TRUST	FEE & TLE	1582	-----	1582	1843
29	4.06	WILFRID J. GUILLAUME, TRUSTEE OF THE WILFRID J. GUILLAUME TRUST	FEE & TLE	1934	-----	1934	725
30	4.06	CLINTON GIBSON & GENA M. GIBSON	FEE & TLE	192	-----	192	194
31	4.06	CITY OF WAUSAU	FEE & TLE	1732	-----	1732	575
32	4.06	CLIFFORD J. HEISER	FEE & TLE	3	-----	3	627
33	4.06	JESSE F. KLFAHL	TLE	-----	-----	-----	655

PARCEL NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER	OWNER (S)	INTEREST REQUIRED	R/W	SO. FT. REQUIRED	T.L.E. SO.FT.	
				NEW	EXISTING	TOTAL	
34	4.06	HOLY NAME OF JESUS PARISH	TLE	-----	-----	-----	655
35	4.06	CITY OF WAUSAU	FEE & TLE	985	-----	985	351
36	4.06	THAD THOMAS	FEE & TLE	984	-----	984	301
37	4.06	KEVIN L. BROWN	FEE & TLE	936	-----	936	287
38	4.06	REUBEN E. DEHNEL OR EVELYN G. DEHNEL, TRUSTEES, DEHNEL REVOCABLE TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 15, 2001	FEE & TLE	1026	-----	1026	340
39	4.07	CITY OF WAUSAU	FEE & TLE	971	-----	971	324
40	4.07	DEVELOPMENT FIRST, LLC	FEE & TLE	970	-----	970	300
41	4.07	MATT KOEHLER	FEE & TLE	1935	-----	1935	586
42	4.07	ABC RENTALS, LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	450
43	4.07	JAMES M. ANDERES	TLE	-----	-----	-----	225
44	4.07	JAMES G. ASCHER & AUDREY M. ASCHER	TLE	-----	-----	-----	625
45	4.07	SCOTT L. KOY	TLE	-----	-----	-----	1200
46	4.07	CHAI PA XIONG & MAY YANG VANG	TLE	-----	-----	-----	774
47	4.07	CITY OF WAUSAU	FEE & TLE	1942	-----	1942	625
48	4.07	GERALD L. PATNODE & JANET C. PATNODE REVOCABLE TRUST	FEE & TLE	969	-----	969	300
49	4.07	MERLIN C. KRUEGER & PATSY J. KRUEGER	FEE & TLE	969	-----	969	350
50	4.08	COMPLETE PROPERTIES LLC	FEE & TLE	972	-----	972	350
51	4.08	ROBERT R. BREDECK	FEE & TLE	972	-----	972	300
52	4.08	ROBERT R. BREDECK	FEE & TLE	1948	-----	1948	625
53	4.08	HELKE LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	680
54	4.08	JOY & KEVIN'S PROPERTIES, LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	655
55	4.08	JAMES P. LITZENBERGER & KENNETH C. SCHAUER	TLE	-----	-----	-----	625
56	4.08	EZ & KZ ENTERPRISES, LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	650
57	4.08	HUNG V. NGUYEN	FEE & TLE	1953	-----	1953	645
58	4.08	TODD UTECHT & JANET UTECHT	FEE & TLE	1971	-----	1971	595
59	4.09	SCHULRUD FAMILY LEGACY TRUST	FEE & TLE	1988	-----	1988	649
60	4.09	CHRISTOPHER M. PETTERSON & LAURA L. PETTERSON	FEE & TLE	1953	-----	1953	597
61	4.09	BRIAN SHIDELL	TLE	-----	-----	-----	275
62	4.09	DAVID J. NEWMAN	TLE	-----	-----	-----	199
63	4.09	JEREMY R. LUISIER	TLE	-----	-----	-----	161
64	4.09	UNDERWOOD CHAPEL, LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	625
65	4.09	BHAGAVATI, LLC	TLE	-----	-----	-----	381

4

4

REVISION DATE	DATE	HWY: THOMAS STREET	COUNTY R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.02
		COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET E

CITY

OF

WAUSAU

T 29 N

R 7 E

NE - SE

NW - SW

NE - SW

SECTION 34

SECTION 35

SECTION 34

SECTION 35

SE - SE

SW - SW


SE - SW

GN



4

4



REVISION DATE	DATE	NOT TO SCALE	HWY: THOMAS STREET	COUNTY R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.03
			COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET

E

NOTE: EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY ESTABLISHED FROM CROCKER'S ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF STETTIN, THE FIRST ADDITION TO WUNSCH'S ROOSEVELT PARK ADDITION AND WUNSCH'S ROOSEVELT PARK ADDITION.

FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
2096 - 2097	N05°03'23"E	5.03'
2097 - 2098	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2098 - 2099	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2099 - 532	N89°43'35"E	7.05'
532 - 1784	S00°05'43"E	108.45'
1784 - 2068	S80°03'08"E	50.78'
2068 - 2070	S88°26'37"E	79.58'
2070 - 2071	N89°43'35"E	584.84'
2071 - 2072	S89°43'35"W	60.00'
2072 - 2073	S89°43'35"W	109.87'
2073 - 2074	S89°43'35"W	16.00'
2074 - 2075	S89°43'35"W	110.02'
2075 - 2076	S89°43'35"W	60.00'
2076 - 2077	S89°43'35"W	97.83'
2077 - 2078	S89°43'35"W	12.23'
2078 - 2079	S89°43'35"W	16.01'
2079 - 2080	S88°26'37"E	110.16'
2080 - 2081	N53°11'10"W	50.24'
2081 - 2082	N01°33'23"E	52.46'
2082 - 2083	S89°54'07"W	326.20'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
532	22+97.76	18.14'
1784	14+44.75	54.41'
2023	14+37.53	34.00'
2024	15+47.69	34.00'
2025	15+63.55	29.00'
2026	15+75.32	29.00'
2027	16+72.69	29.00'
2028	17+32.69	29.00'
2029	18+42.70	29.00'
2030	18+58.70	29.00'
2031	19+68.58	29.00'
2068	14+94.98	47.00'
2070	15+74.56	47.00'
2096	13+96.36	52.46'
2097	13+96.66	57.48'
2098	22+43.15	25.00'
2099	22+95.84	25.00'
2100	13+96.36	0.00'

FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
532 - 2099	S89°43'35"W	7.05'
2099 - 3178	N13°29'12"E	30.04'
3178 - 532	S00°05'43"E	29.18'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3094	14+37.98	49.00'
3095	15+48.13	49.00'
3096	15+63.99	44.00'
3097	15+75.32	44.00'
3098	16+72.64	44.00'
3099	17+32.67	34.00'
3100	18+42.69	34.00'
3101	18+58.69	34.00'
3102	19+68.55	34.00'
3133	14+94.69	57.00'
3135	15+74.40	57.00'
3178	23+31.17	21.28'

POINT	Y	X
532	198069.160	275137.608
1784	197960.713	275137.788
2023	197877.533	275128.161
2024	197874.541	275238.284
2025	197874.106	275254.285
2026	197873.774	275266.511
2027	197874.241	275364.340
2028	197874.528	275424.340
2029	197875.054	275534.356
2030	197875.130	275550.356
2031	197875.655	275660.227
2068	197951.941	275187.802
2070	197949.779	275267.356
2096	197960.078	275089.366
2097	197965.087	275089.809
2098	198029.560	275112.962
2099	198069.126	275130.553
2100	197907.636	275087.941


**S. 15TH AVE. ALIGNMENT**

P.I. = 20+26.46  
Y 197803.252  
X 275100.588  
Δ = 04°16'05" RT.

P.I. = 22+15.57  
Y 197991.624  
X 275117.254  
Δ = 29°23'44" RT.  
D. = 52°05'13"  
T. = 28.85'  
L. = 56.43'  
R. = 110.00'

P.I. = 22+87.71  
Y 198052.155  
X 275158.781  
Δ = 34°23'02" LT.  
D. = 39°47'19"  
T. = 44.55'  
L. = 86.42'  
R. = 144.00'

P.I. = 23+89.88  
Y 198157.019  
X 275158.906




FROM - TO	RADIUS	LENGTH	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
2097 - 2098	135.00'	69.26'	68.50'	N19°45'14"E
2098 - 2099	119.00'	43.54'	43.30'	N23°58'09"E

**THOMAS STREET ALIGNMENT**

P.I. = 13+72.32  
Y 197908.289  
X 275063.907  
Δ = 02°14'25" RT.

P.I. = 15+75.32  
Y 197902.776  
X 275266.836  
Δ = 01°49'48" LT.

P.I. = 21+61.56  
Y 197905.576  
X 275853.071  
Δ = 01°38'27" LT.



REVISION DATE	DATE	SCALE, FEET	HWY: THOMAS STREET	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.04
	GRID FACTOR N/A	0 20 40	COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET E

R/W COORDINATE TABLE		
POINT	Y	X
430	197896.760	276299.844
982	197957.140	276299.791
2032	197875.941	275720.227
2033	197876.467	275830.257
2034	197876.543	275846.257
2035	197876.579	275853.624
2036	197880.017	275956.494
2037	197882.038	276016.948
2038	197886.565	276152.405
2039	197886.967	276164.405
2043	197888.002	276195.371
2048	197866.764	276268.722
2049	197855.855	276282.084
2051	197799.276	276300.000
2071	197952.573	275852.183
2072	197956.053	275956.293
2073	197958.058	276016.289
2078	197966.508	276269.072
2091	197970.910	276288.794
2092	197984.597	276299.744

R/W CURVE TABLE				
FROM - TO	RADIUS	LENGTH	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
2091 - 2092	14.00'	18.94'	17.53'	N38°39'35"E
2051 - 2049	168.90'	59.66'	59.35'	N17°34'14"W
2049 - 2048	22.00'	17.73'	17.25'	N50°46'15"W

NOTE: EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY ESTABLISHED FROM THE FIRST ADDITION TO WUNSCH'S ROOSEVELT PARK ADDITION, WUNSCH'S ROOSEVELT PARK ADDITION, A.R. HOLUB'S ADDITION, CSM 2557 AND GOVERNMENT LAND LINES.


THOMAS STREET ALIGNMENT

P.I. = 15+75.32      P.I. = 21+61.56      P.I. = 28+12.46  
 Y 197902.776      Y 197905.576      Y 197927.321  
 X 275266.836      X 275853.071      X 276503.602  
 Δ = 01°49'48" LT.      Δ = 01°38'27" LT.      Δ = 01°28'07" RT.

R/W COURSE TABLE		
FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
2070 - 2071	N89°43'35"E	584.84'
2071 - 2072	N88°05'08"E	104.17'
2072 - 2108	N88°05'08"E	30.02'
2108 - 2073	N88°05'08"E	30.02'
2073 - 2078	N88°05'08"E	252.92'
2078 - 2091	N77°25'03"E	20.21'
2091 - 2092	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2092 - 982	S00°05'53"E	27.46'
982 - 430	S00°03'00"E	60.38'
430 - 2051	S00°05'30"E	97.48'
2051 - 2049	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2049 - 2048	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2048 - 2043	N73°51'08"W	76.36'
2043 - 2109	S88°05'08"W	30.98'
2039 - 2038	S88°05'08"W	12.01'
2038 - 2037	S88°05'08"W	135.53'
2037 - 2109	S88°05'08"W	30.54'
2109 - 2036	S88°05'08"W	29.94'
2036 - 2035	S88°05'08"W	102.93'
2035 - 2034	S89°43'35"W	7.37'
2034 - 2033	S89°43'35"W	16.00'
2033 - 2032	S89°43'35"W	110.03'
2032 - 2031	S89°43'35"W	60.00'
2051 - 2052	N73°38'47"E	62.50'
2092 - 2094	N87°37'26"E	60.05'

R/W STATION & OFFSET TABLE		
POINT	STATION	OFFSET
430	26+07.79	23.74'
982	26+09.75	36.61'
2032	20+28.58	29.00'
2033	21+38.61	29.00'
2034	21+54.61	29.00'
2035	21+61.56	29.00'
2036	22+64.07	29.00'
2037	23+24.56	29.00'
2038	24+60.09	29.00'
2039	24+72.10	29.00'
2043	25+03.08	29.00'
2048	25+75.68	52.68'
2049	25+88.67	64.03'
2051	26+04.69	121.17'
2071	21+62.25	47.00'
2072	22+66.41	47.00'
2073	23+26.44	47.00'
2078	25+79.36	47.00'
2091	25+99.22	50.74'
2092	26+10.62	64.06'

TLE STATION & OFFSET TABLE		
POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3103	20+28.55	34.00'
3104	21+38.58	34.00'
3105	21+54.56	39.00'
3106	21+61.56	39.00'
3107	22+63.73	39.00'
3108	23+24.38	34.00'
3109	24+59.93	34.00'
3110	24+71.93	34.00'
3111	25+02.29	34.00'
3112	25+74.13	57.43'
3113	25+84.17	66.20'
3114	25+99.70	121.57'
3146	21+62.39	57.00'
3147	22+61.73	57.00'
3148	22+61.88	62.00'
3149	22+66.89	62.00'
3150	23+26.76	57.00'
3151	23+31.76	57.00'
3152	23+31.60	52.00'
3156	25+78.90	52.00'
3157	25+98.30	55.65'
3158	26+05.63	64.21'



REVISION DATE	DATE	SCALE, FEET	HWY: THOMAS STREET	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.05
	GRID FACTOR N/A	0 20 40	COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET

NOTE: EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY ESTABLISHED FROM J.H. KOEHLER'S ADDITION AND A. A. BOCK'S LAKE PARK ADDITION.

FROM - TO	RADIUS	LENGTH	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
2094 - 2095	17.00'	27.24'	24.42'	S46°00'22"E
2059 - 2058	120.00'	64.01'	63.25'	S72°48'17"W
2058 - 2061	27.00'	15.78'	15.56'	S40°46'39"W

TILE STATION & OFFSET TABLE

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
1085	26+71.53	91.76'
3115	26+64.83	117.82'
3116	26+93.30	59.29'
3117	27+01.90	49.98'
3118	27+60.37	34.00'
3119	27+77.63	34.00'
3120	27+89.64	34.00'
3121	28+03.75	34.00'
3122	29+05.92	34.22'
3123	29+05.87	39.22'
3124	29+10.87	39.22'
3125	29+70.88	39.22'
3126	29+75.88	39.22'
3127	29+75.92	34.22'
3129	32+17.79	34.24'
3130	32+17.74	39.24'
3131	32+22.74	39.24'

TILE STATION & OFFSET TABLE

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3159	26+86.53	91.35'
3160	26+85.53	60.00'
3162	27+81.04	60.00'
3163	27+92.77	52.00'
3164	28+12.46	52.00'
3165	29+06.36	52.00'
3166	29+06.61	77.00'
3167	29+11.61	77.00'
3168	29+71.46	62.00'
3169	29+76.46	62.00'
3170	29+76.36	52.00'
3172	30+91.79	52.00'
3173	31+03.79	52.00'
3175	32+19.22	52.00'
3176	32+19.27	57.00'
3177	32+24.27	57.00'

R/W COURSE TABLE

FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
2094 - 2095	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2095 - 2080	N88°05'08"E	92.93'
2080 - 2081	N88°05'08"E	12.01'
2081 - 2082	N88°05'08"E	20.46'
2082 - 2083	N89°33'15"E	99.45'
2083 - 2084	N89°33'15"E	60.00'
2084 - 2086	N89°33'15"E	120.43'
2086 - 2087	N89°33'15"E	12.00'
2087 - 2088	N89°33'15"E	57.43'
2088 - 2089	N89°33'15"E	63.00'
2089 - 991	S00°05'16"W	16.26'
991 - 448	S00°41'26"W	59.99'
448 - 447	S89°33'28"W	251.87'
447 - 445	S89°33'28"W	60.00'
445 - 2066	S89°33'28"W	106.41'
2066 - 2065	S88°05'08"W	13.99'
2065 - 2056	S88°05'08"W	12.01'
2056 - 2059	S88°05'08"W	17.44'
2059 - 2058	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2058 - 2061	SEE R/W CURVE TABLE	
2061 - 2052	S24°01'52"W	53.91'
2052 - 454	N00°05'30"W	80.12'
454 - 984	N00°03'00"W	60.32'
984 - 2094	N00°05'53"W	29.77'
2094 - 2092	S87°37'26"W	60.05'
2092 - 2051	S73°38'47"W	62.50'
2089 - 2000	N89°33'15"E	60.00'
448 - 446	N89°33'28"E	60.00'

R/W STATION & OFFSET TABLE


POINT	STATION	OFFSET
445	29+10.97	29.22'
447	29+70.97	29.22'
448	32+22.84	29.24'
454	26+67.76	25.50'
984	26+69.73	34.79'
991	32+24.03	30.74'
2052	26+65.22	105.59'
2056	27+77.81	29.00'
2058	26+99.35	45.67'
2059	27+60.37	29.00'
2061	26+88.80	57.11'
2065	27+89.82	29.00'
2066	28+03.81	29.00'
2080	27+80.59	47.00'
2081	27+92.60	47.00'
2082	28+12.46	47.00'
2083	29+11.31	47.00'
2084	29+71.31	47.00'
2086	30+91.74	47.00'
2087	31+03.74	47.00'
2089	32+24.18	47.00'
2094	26+70.67	64.54'
2095	26+87.66	47.00'

R/W COORDINATE TABLE

POINT	Y	X
445	197898.869	276602.339
447	197899.332	276662.339
448	197901.277	276914.198
454	197896.997	276359.843
984	197957.321	276359.791
991	197961.257	276914.921
2052	197816.874	276359.972
2056	197897.179	276469.949
2058	197877.898	276392.090
2059	197896.597	276452.514
2061	197866.115	276381.927
2065	197897.581	276481.950
2066	197898.048	276495.930
2080	197973.230	276470.188
2081	197973.631	276482.189
2082	197974.314	276502.634
2083	197975.088	276602.085
2084	197975.555	276662.087
2086	197976.492	276782.516
2087	197976.585	276794.516
2089	197977.522	276914.946
2094	197987.087	276359.740
2095	197970.125	276377.308

THOMAS STREET ALIGNMENT

P.I. = 21+61.56      P.I. = 28+12.46      P.I. = 38+77.99  
 Y 197905.576      Y 197927.321      Y 197935.610  
 X 275853.071      X 276503.602      X 277569.106  
 Δ = 01°38'27" LT.      Δ = 01°28'07" RT.      Δ = 00°03'53" RT.



REVISION DATE	DATE	SCALE, FEET	HWY: THOMAS STREET	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.06
	GRID FACTOR N/A	0 20 40	COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET E

NOTE: EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY ESTABLISHED FROM HILDENSPERGER AND BRAND ADDITION, WISCONSIN VALLEY LAND COMPANY'S FIRST ADDITION AND LOTZ AND BOPF'S FIRST ADDITION.



FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
2000 - 2002	N89°33'15"E	119.69'
2002 - 2003	N89°33'15"E	12.00'
2003 - 2001	N89°33'15"E	119.69'
2001 - 2004	N89°33'15"E	60.00'
2004 - 2006	N89°33'15"E	120.04'
2006 - 2007	N89°33'15"E	12.00'
2007 - 2005	N89°33'15"E	120.04'
2005 - 1005	S00°10'16"W	16.13'
1005 - 442	S00°13'12"W	60.00'
442 - 486	S89°32'27"W	120.00'
486 - 485	S89°32'27"W	12.00'
485 - 443	S89°32'27"W	120.00'
443 - 444	S89°35'56"W	60.00'
444 - 466	S89°31'54"W	119.97'
466 - 463	S89°31'54"W	12.00'
463 - 446	S89°31'54"W	119.97'
446 - 990	N00°41'25"E	60.01'
990 - 2000	N00°05'16"E	16.24'
2000 - 2089	S89°33'15"W	60.00'
446 - 448	S89°33'28"W	60.00'
2005 - 2008	N89°35'10"E	60.00'
442 - 468	N89°37'59"E	60.00'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
442	38+46.77	29.13'
443	35+94.77	29.19'
444	35+34.77	29.14'
446	32+82.84	29.24'
463	34+02.80	29.19'
466	34+14.81	29.19'
485	37+14.77	29.16'
486	37+26.78	29.16'
990	32+84.03	30.76'
1005	38+47.47	30.87'
2000	32+84.18	47.00'
2001	35+35.56	47.00'
2002	34+03.87	47.00'
2003	34+15.87	47.00'
2004	35+95.57	47.00'
2005	38+47.65	47.00'
2006	37+15.61	47.00'
2007	37+27.61	47.00'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3000	32+84.27	57.00'
3001	32+89.27	57.00'
3002	32+89.23	52.00'
3004	34+03.92	52.00'
3005	34+15.92	52.00'
3006	35+30.62	52.00'
3007	35+30.72	62.00'
3008	35+35.72	62.00'
3009	35+95.67	57.00'
3010	36+00.67	57.00'
3011	36+00.62	52.00'
3012	37+15.66	52.00'
3017	37+27.66	52.00'
3019	38+42.70	52.00'
3020	38+42.81	62.00'



POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3021	38+47.81	62.00'
3023	32+82.65	49.24'
3024	32+87.65	49.24'
3025	32+87.79	34.24'
3027	34+02.76	34.19'
3028	34+14.76	34.19'
3029	35+29.72	34.14'
3030	35+29.68	39.14'
3031	35+34.68	39.14'
3034	35+94.68	39.19'
3035	37+14.68	39.16'
3036	37+26.72	35.16'
3037	38+40.71	35.13'
3038	38+40.62	44.13'
3039	38+46.62	44.13'

POINT	Y	X
442	197906.239	277538.117
443	197904.220	277286.125
444	197903.800	277226.124
446	197901.740	276974.199
463	197902.721	277094.161
466	197902.819	277106.161
485	197905.181	277406.121
486	197905.278	277418.121
990	197961.748	276974.922
1005	197966.243	277538.347
2000	197977.989	276974.947
2001	197979.945	277226.323
2002	197978.920	277094.635
2003	197979.014	277106.635
2004	197980.412	277286.325
2005	197982.373	277538.395
2006	197981.345	277406.360
2007	197981.439	277418.360

THOMAS STREET ALIGNMENT  
 P.I. = 28+12.46      P.I. = 38+77.99  
 Y 197927.321      Y 197935.610  
 X 276503.602      X 277569.106  
 Δ = 01°28'07" RT.      Δ = 00°03'53" RT.

4

4



REVISION DATE	DATE	SCALE, FEET 0 20 40	HWY: THOMAS STREET	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.07
	GRID FACTOR N/A		COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET E



NOTE: EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY ESTABLISHED FROM WISCONSIN VALLEY LAND COMPANY'S FIRST ADDITION, LOTZ AND BOFF'S FIRST ADDITION AND J.M. SMITH'S ADDITION.

THOMAS STREET ALIGNMENT  
 P.I. = 38+77.99      P.O.T. = 50+16.52  
 Y 197935.610      Y 197943.181  
 X 277569.106      X 278707.610  
 Δ = 00°03'53" RT.



FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
2008 - 2010	N89°37'08"E	120.04'
2010 - 2011	N89°37'08"E	12.00'
2011 - 2009	N89°37'08"E	120.04'
2009 - 2012	N89°37'08"E	60.00'
2012 - 2014	N89°37'08"E	119.06'
2014 - 2015	N89°37'08"E	16.00'
2015 - 2013	N89°37'08"E	119.06'
2013 - 1009	S00°06'43"W	16.62'
1009 - 470	S01°24'58"E	59.56'
470 - 498	S89°36'20"W	119.91'
498 - 497	S89°36'20"W	16.00'
497 - 469	S89°36'20"W	119.91'
469 - 467	S89°36'34"W	60.00'
467 - 468	S89°37'59"W	252.10'
468 - 1006	N00°13'13"E	60.00'
1006 - 2008	N00°10'16"E	16.18'
2008 - 2005	S89°35'10"W	60.00'
468 - 442	S89°37'59"W	60.00'
2013 - 2016	N89°37'08"E	60.00'
470 - 472	N89°27'33"E	60.00'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
467	41+58.91	29.24'
468	39+06.81	29.18'
469	42+18.91	29.23'
470	44+74.72	29.17'
497	43+38.82	29.20'
498	43+54.82	29.20'
1006	39+07.44	30.82'
1009	44+73.65	30.38'
2008	39+07.60	47.00'
2009	41+59.67	47.00'
2010	40+27.63	47.00'
2011	40+39.63	47.00'
2012	42+19.67	47.00'
2013	44+73.79	47.00'
2014	43+38.73	47.00'
2015	43+54.73	47.00'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3022	39+07.74	62.00'
3040	39+06.68	44.18'
3041	39+11.68	44.18'
3042	39+11.77	34.18'
3044	41+53.86	34.24'
3045	41+53.81	39.24'
3046	41+58.81	39.24'
3047	42+18.81	39.23'
3048	42+23.81	39.23'
3049	42+23.86	34.23'
3050	43+38.77	34.20'
3051	43+54.77	34.20'
3052	44+69.68	34.17'
3053	44+69.58	44.17'
3054	44+74.58	44.17'

POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3063	39+12.74	62.00'
3064	39+12.64	52.00'
3066	40+27.68	52.00'
3067	40+39.68	52.00'
3068	41+54.72	52.00'
3069	41+54.77	57.00'
3070	41+59.77	57.00'
3071	42+19.77	57.00'
3072	42+24.77	57.00'
3073	42+24.72	52.00'
3074	43+33.78	52.00'
3075	43+33.82	57.00'
3076	43+38.82	57.00'
3079	43+54.78	52.00'
3080	44+73.83	52.00'

POINT	Y	X
467	197908.239	277850.211
468	197906.624	277598.118
469	197908.648	277910.213
470	197910.409	278166.018
497	197909.473	278030.115
498	197909.584	278046.115
1006	197966.626	277598.349
1009	197969.952	278164.546
2008	197982.806	277598.397
2009	197984.482	277850.467
2010	197983.604	277718.432
2011	197983.684	277730.432
2012	197984.881	277910.469
2013	197986.571	278164.578
2014	197985.673	278029.523
2015	197985.779	278045.524



REVISION DATE	DATE	SCALE, FEET	HWY: THOMAS STREET	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.08
	GRID FACTOR N/A	0 20 40	COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET E

NOTE: EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY ESTABLISHED FROM LOTZ AND BOPF'S FIRST ADDITION AND J.M. SMITH'S ADDITION.




R/W COURSE TABLE		
FROM - TO	BEARING	DISTANCE
2016 - 2018	N89°37'08"E	119.84'
2018 - 2019	N89°37'08"E	16.00'
2019 - 2017	N89°37'08"E	119.32'
2017 - 1015	S75°16'57"W	62.02'
1015 - 2103	N89°35'02"E	66.17'
2103 - 2113	N89°35'02"E	2.92'
2113 - 2114	S00°37'19"E	59.89'
2114 - 2104	S89°37'07"W	3.17'
2104 - 1023	S89°37'07"W	64.42'
1023 - 471	S89°37'07"W	60.00'
471 - 504	S89°37'07"W	119.93'
504 - 503	S89°37'07"W	16.00'
503 - 472	S89°37'07"W	119.93'
472 - 1007	N01°25'21"W	59.33'
1007 - 2016	N00°06'43"E	16.69'
2016 - 2013	N89°37'08"E	60.00'
472 - 470	S89°27'33"W	60.00'

R/W STATION & OFFSET TABLE		
POINT	STATION	OFFSET
470	44+74.72	29.17'
471	47+90.58	29.00'
472	45+34.73	29.00'
503	46+54.65	29.00'
504	46+70.65	29.00'
1007	45+33.65	30.32'
1015	48+48.83	30.85'
1023	48+50.58	29.00'
2013	44+73.79	47.00'
2016	45+33.79	47.00'
2017	47+88.95	47.00'
2018	46+53.63	47.00'
2019	46+69.63	47.00'
2103	49+15.00	30.89'
2104	49+15.00	29.00'
2113	49+17.92	30.89'
2114	49+18.17	29.00'

TILE STATION & OFFSET TABLE		
POINT	STATION	OFFSET
3052	44+69.68	34.17'
3053	44+69.58	44.17'
3054	44+74.58	44.17'
3055	45+34.62	41.00'
3056	45+39.62	41.00'
3057	45+39.68	34.00'
3060	46+54.60	34.00'
3061	46+70.60	34.00'
3062	47+85.53	34.00'
3080	44+73.83	52.00'
3081	45+33.92	62.00'
3082	45+38.92	62.00'
3083	45+38.83	52.00'
3084	46+53.67	52.00'
3085	46+69.67	52.00'
3086	47+88.99	52.00'
3087	48+48.94	45.85'
3088	48+53.94	45.85'
3089	48+53.87	35.85'
3090	49+15.00	35.89'
3092	47+85.47	39.00'
3093	47+90.47	39.00'

R/W COORDINATE TABLE		
POINT	Y	X
470	197910.409	278166.018
471	197912.679	278481.865
472	197910.976	278226.019
503	197911.774	278345.942
504	197911.881	278361.942
1007	197970.284	278224.547
1015	197972.910	278539.718
1023	197913.079	278541.867
2013	197986.571	278164.578
2016	197986.970	278224.579
2017	197988.667	278479.733
2018	197987.767	278344.414
2019	197987.873	278360.414
2103	197973.391	278605.886
2104	197913.508	278606.285
2113	197973.412	278608.806
2114	197913.529	278609.456

THOMAS STREET ALIGNMENT  
 P.I. = 38+77.99 P.O.T. = 50+16.52  
 Y 197935.610 Y 197943.181  
 X 277569.106 X 278707.610  
 Δ = 00°03'53" RT.



REVISION DATE	DATE	SCALE, FEET	HWY: THOMAS STREET	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER	PLAT SHEET 4.09
	GRID FACTOR N/A	0 20 40	COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER	PS&E SHEET E

**AGENDA ITEM**

Discussion and possible action on Request for Proposals for Engineering Design Services for South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue from Thomas Street to Stewart Avenue. (Proposals were opened by the Board of Public Works on October 27, 2015.)

**BACKGROUND**

The Engineering Department sent out Request for Proposals for engineering services on 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue. 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue is scheduled to be reconstructed in 2018 under the STP Urban funding program. This program is administered by the WDOT. The City has a signed State Municipal Agreement (SMA) with the WDOT. In accordance with this SMA, the City is 100% responsible for the design costs of the project. This RFP was sent to consultants who had inquired about the project or had worked with the City in the past. The RFP was also placed on the City website. The Engineering Department received 11 responses to the RFP. The RFP is attached for your reference. The proposals were reviewed and scored independently by Eric Lindman, Allen Wesolowski, and Sean Gehin.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

A budget of \$235,000 is included in the 2016 budget for these design services.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff will make a recommendation to CISM at the meeting based upon the scoring system as outlined in the RFP. Staff will negotiate with the consultant to finalize the contract for design after a recommendation from CISM.

Staff contact: Allen Wesolowski 715-261-6762

# REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Engineering Design Services  
Design Project ID: 6999-18-03  
South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue  
Thomas Street – Stewart Avenue  
City of Wausau

## A. PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION

The City of Wausau wishes to hire a consulting engineering firm to prepare construction plans and specifications for the reconstruction of South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue from Thomas Street to Stewart Avenue. The project is an STP-Urban project.

Currently, South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue is a one way, two-lane street with an urban cross section. The attached map shows the location of the project. The purpose of the project is to reconstruct South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue with an urban section with two northbound lanes. The reconstruction will include new concrete curb and gutter, pavement, base course, storm sewer, sidewalk, drive approaches, street lighting, sanitary sewer and water main replacement.

As part of the State/Municipal agreement, the City of Wausau is 100% responsible for the design of South 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue. The project will be funded by State and Federal funds and will be administered through the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and their Local Program consultant.

Following is a schedule for undertaking this project:

- Hire consulting engineering firm by November, 2015.
- PS&E Date: August 1, 2017.
- LET Date: January 9, 2018.
- Construction in 2018.

## B. SCOPE OF WORK

Work under this proposal will include but may not be limited to the following:

1. Complete the reports and investigations required by WisDOT in its Facilities Development Manual to ensure that the project remains in compliance in order to use the State and Federal monies for construction.
2. City Engineering Department will provide the topographic survey for the project. The topographic survey will be completed in the Fall of 2015.

3. Consultant will provide soil borings and geotechnical investigation as part of this contract to be used for pavement design and also to evaluate the stability of the slope along the Wisconsin River on the east side of the roadway. The existing roadway is exhibiting signs of failure and this will need to be evaluated as part of the design.

4. Prepare plans for review and approval by the City and all applicable requirements of WisDOT. Review will include 30, 60 and 90 percent plans. The plans, at a minimum, will include the following elements:

- a. Typical sections
- b. Construction details
- c. Intersection details
- d. Temporary traffic control and Staging/Detour plan
- e. Storm sewer sheets
- f. Sanitary sewer sheets
- g. Water main sheets
- h. Signing and Pavement marking sheets
- i. Street lighting sheets
- j. Plan and Profile sheets
- k. Cross Sections

5. Identify any right of way needs for the project including fee, TLE or PLE. The consultant will be responsible to prepare the TPP if required. The City of Wausau will hire a real estate consultant to manage the right of way purchases if so required.

6. Storm water calculations including inlet spacing and storm sewer sizing.

7. Include drive approaches and grades for all driveways along 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue and any affected driveways on the side streets.

8. Obtain and review traffic and accident data to identify hazardous intersections or driveways. If particularly hazardous locations are identified, the consulting firm shall propose appropriate means of mitigating the problem conditions.

9. Review and make recommendations in regards to pedestrian accommodations. Final plans to incorporate any pedestrian accommodations approved by the City.

10. Utility coordination in accordance with the WisDOT Utility Coordination policy. Railroad coordination will be essential as there are two railroad crossings on the project.

11. Prepare construction cost estimates at 60% and 90% plan review phase to be presented to the City for budgeting purposes.

12. Prepare final plans, specifications and estimate and submit all required information to WisDOT, in a format acceptable to WisDOT, for final PS&E.

C. MEETINGS

The Consultant shall outline all required meetings in the proposal. All meetings are intended to be held at Wausau City Hall.

Also, the consulting engineering firm, with City staff, may need to meet with individual property owners in order to resolve problems or questions relating to their property.

The consulting engineering firm will be responsible for all exhibits, handouts and other information needed for all meetings and recording meeting minutes for distribution. The City of Wausau will notify property owners and tenants of the public informational meeting and will coordinate other public relations activities. The consulting engineering firm shall arrange and coordinate all other meetings.

D. QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONNEL/RFP CONTENT

The consultant should outline the key personnel involved on the project and each individual's role in the design process. The proposal should outline past WisDOT projects, in particular, STP-Urban projects which would demonstrate an understanding for the project and the WisDOT process.

The proposal should include a detailed scope of services and schedule outlining critical milestone dates to complete the project by the required PS&E date.

The proposal should include an anticipated engineering cost based upon the scope of services provided to complete the PS&E package.

E. SCHEDULE

Proposals are due to the City of Wausau, Engineering Department, 407 Grant Street, Wausau, WI 54403 at **1:00 p.m. on Tuesday October 27, 2015**. Please provide 4 copies of the proposal.

F. SELECTION

City staff will review the proposals and make a recommendation to the Capital Improvements and Street Maintenance Committee at its November 12, 2105 meeting. With approval from this Committee, the Board of Public Works will negotiate the final fee with the selected consulting engineering firm. Proposals will be scored using the following:

Overall Project Approach and Schedule:	40 Points
Project Personnel and relevant experience:	40 Points
Anticipated Engineering Cost:	<u>20 Points</u>

Total	100 Points
-------	------------

At this time no interviews are anticipated. However, City staff may contact or ask for a meeting to clarify any issues contained in the proposal.


The City of Wausau reserves the right to reject any and all proposals and to award the project in the best interests of the City.

G. CONTACT PERSON

Questions about the RFP or the project should be directed to Allen M. Wesolowski, P.E., City Engineer, phone 715/261-6762.



# DPW Mapping System



### Legend

- Section Lines/Numbers
- Railroad
- Bridge
- Overpass
- Paved Road
- Stream - River
- Pond - Lake

Map Created: 10/12/2015

360.75 0 360.75 Feet



User\_Defined\_Lambert\_Conformal\_Conic

**DISCLAIMER:** The information and depictions herein are for informational purposes and Marathon County-City of Wausau specifically disclaims accuracy in this reproduction and specifically admonishes and advises that if specific and precise accuracy is required, the same should be determined by procurement of certified maps, surveys, plats, Flood Insurance Studies, or other official means. Marathon County-City of Wausau will not be responsible for any damages which result from third party use of the information and depictions herein or for use which ignores this warning.

**THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION**

### Notes

**AGENDA ITEM**

Discussion and possible action on easement from Wisconsin Public Service for facilities located along 1<sup>st</sup> Street

**BACKGROUND**

WPS is proposing to install power for the channel lighting and waterfall pump for the riverfront. They are proposing an easement where these facilities will be installed. The City will be working closely with WPS to make sure the utilities are installed in a manner to maximize the developable area of the parcels. I have requested the walking paths be staked prior to the utilities being installed to make sure there will not be any conflicts. The easement language allows for some flexibility to move the utilities as the city feels is in its best interest.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

No cost for the easement.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends approval of the proposed easement. Final legal description will be verified once the facilities are to be installed.

Staff contact: Eric Lindman 715-261-6745

**58181**

DOCUMENT NUMBER

UTILITY EASEMENT / CORPORATION

THIS INDENTURE is made this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, by and between **CITY OF WAUSAU, a Municipal Corporation** ("Grantor") and **WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION**, a Wisconsin corporation, along with its successors and assigns **Frontier North, Inc. and Charter Communications** (collectively, "Grantee"). For One Dollar and No/100 (\$1.00) and/or for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Grantor does hereby grant unto said Grantee the perpetual right, permission, authority, privilege and easement, to construct, install, operate, maintain, inspect, remove, replace or abandon in place all equipment ("Facilities") necessary or useful for the purpose of transmitting electrical energy and/or gas for light, heat and power or for such other purpose as electric energy and/or gas is now or may hereafter be used, and for communication upon, over, across, within and above and/or beneath certain "Easement Area(s)" as shown below, or on attached Exhibit "A", on land owned by said Grantor in the **City of Wausau, County of Marathon, State of Wisconsin**, described as follows, to-wit:

Return to:  
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.  
Real Estate Dept.  
P.O. Box 19001  
Green Bay, WI 54307-9001

Parcel Identification Number (PIN)  
**291-2907-252-0992**  
**291-2907-264-0975**  
**291-2907-264-0998**

**Parcel 291-2907-252-0992**

Part of the Parcel described in Marathon County Register of Deeds recorded as Document Number 1598019; being part of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (SW1/4-NW1/4) and the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NW1/4-SW1/4) of Section 25 and part of Government Lots 5 and 6 of Section 26, all in Township 29 North, Range 7 East;

**Parcel 291-2907-264-0975**

Part of the Parcel described in Marathon County Register of Deeds recorded as Document Number 1287462; being part of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NW1/4-SW1/4) of Section 25 and part of Government Lot 6 of Section 26, all in Township 29 North, Range 7 East, and being part of Blocks "D" and "E" of McIndoe and Shutters Addition and part of Block "F" of Stewart, Manson and Parcher's Addition;

**Parcel 291-2907-264-0998**

Part of Lot 2 of Certified Survey Map No. 5761 recorded in the Marathon County Register of Deeds Volume 21 of Certified Survey Maps on Page 79 as Document 919715; being part of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NW1/4-SW1/4) of Section 25, part of Block "F" of Stewart, Manson and Parcher's Addition and part of Government Lots 5 and 6 of Section 26, all in Township 29 North, Range 7 East;

***Parcel 291-2907-252-0992 Easement***

***An easement strip located on the southeast side of said Parcel being east of N. 1<sup>st</sup> St. and south of and abutting the Railroad right of way, as shown on the attached Exhibit "A".***

***Parcel 291-2907-264-0975 Easement***

***An easement strip which is described as being all of said Parcel lying from its northeast limits to the intersection of the extension of the south line of Lot 2 of Certified Survey Map No. 5761 recorded in the Marathon County Register of Deeds Volume 21 of Certified Survey Maps on Page 79 as Document 919715, as shown on the attached Exhibit "A".***

***Parcel 291-2907-264-0998 Easement***

***A 12 foot wide easement strip lying north of and abutting the following described line:***

***Commencing at the southeast corner of said Lot 2, also being the **Point of Beginning**;***

***Thence West coincident with the south line of said Lot 2, 30 feet to the **Point of Termination**.***

***Also,***

***A 12 foot wide easement strip, the center line of which is described as follows:***

***Commencing at the southeast corner of said Lot 2;***

***Thence West coincident with the south line of said Lot 2, 30 feet to the **Point of Beginning**;***

***Thence N10°E, 230 feet;***

***Thence S85°W, 360 feet to the **Point of Termination**.***

***Bearings magnetic.***

***See the Attached Exhibit "A"***

Grantor acknowledges that the measurements used in the above description or shown on the attached Exhibit "A" are approximate. Grantor agrees that the actual location of grantee's facilities as built and installed will be controlling as to the location of the easement granted.

Grantor grants to the Grantee the perpetual right, privilege and easement to enter upon the Easement Area for the purpose of constructing, installing, operating, maintaining, inspecting, removing, replacing or abandoning in place the Facilities. The Grantee shall have the right to enter on and across any of the Grantor's property outside of the Easement Area as may be reasonably necessary to gain access to the Easement Area and as may be reasonably necessary for the installation, operation, maintenance, inspection, removal or replacement of the Grantee's Facilities. Except in the event of an emergency, Grantee shall make reasonable efforts to notify the Grantor before going upon Grantor's property outside of the Easement Area.

Should Grantee's work on its Facilities require excavation, the Grantee shall restore the surface of the Easement Area to its condition prior to Grantee's work. All work performed by the Grantee pursuant to this Easement will be performed in a safe and proper workmanlike manner

The Grantee shall have the right to control all brush and trees within the Easement Area by cutting, trimming, chemically treating and/or other means as may be reasonably necessary, within Grantee's exclusive judgment, to prevent interference with or damage to Grantee's Facilities.

In order to insure the use of the Easement Area conforms with the (Wisconsin Gas Safety Code) (Wisconsin Electrical Code) and the Grantee's construction standards, the Grantor shall not permit any of the following to occur within the Easement Area without first securing the written consent of the Grantee: (i) construction of any improvements, including buildings or other structures; (ii) placement of any other objects, or (iii) change the grade more than four (4) inches.

Grantee shall indemnify and hold Grantor harmless from and against any liability associated with Grantee's use or occupation of the Easement Area, except where such liability arises from the negligence or willful misconduct of Grantor.

The covenants herein contained shall bind the parties hereto and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns. No failure or delay of either Party in enforcing its rights hereunder shall act as a waiver thereof, nor shall any single or partial exercise thereof preclude any other or further exercise thereof or the exercise of any other right set forth herein. This Easement may be executed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.

WITNESS the hand and seal of the Grantor the day and year first above written.

**City of Wausau, a Municipal Corporation**

Corporate Name

Sign Name above

Print Name & Title above

Sign Name above

Print Name & Title above

STATE OF \_\_\_\_\_ )

)SS

COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_ )

This instrument was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, by the above-named \_\_\_\_\_, to me known to be the Grantor(s) who executed the foregoing instrument on behalf of said Grantor(s) and acknowledged the same.

Sign Name \_\_\_\_\_

Print Name \_\_\_\_\_


Notary Public, State of \_\_\_\_\_

My Commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

This instrument drafted by: Todd W. Schroeder  
INTEGRYS BUSINESS SUPPORT, LLC

Date	County	Municipality	Site Address	Parcel Identification Number
October 16, 2015	Marathon	City of Wausau	1202 N. 1st Street	291-2907-252-0992, 291-2907-264-0975 291-2907-264-0998
Real Estate No.	Wpsc District	WR#	WR Type	IFRIS
58181	61 Wausau	2026370	ECA Electric Commercial App	Electric Systems-Flat Rate Underground

Future lots



NORTHEAST LIMITS

NORTH

EASEMENT AREA

PIN # 291-2907-264-0975

EXTENSION OF SOUTH LINE OF LOT 2

RAILROAD R/W



**EXHIBIT "A"**  
 NOT TO SCALE  
 FOR REFERENCE ONLY

LOT 2  
 CSM 5761  
 V.21, PG.79

FULTON ST

1ST ST.

94'

30'

338' ON CURVE

9.224' ON CURVE

40'

40'


173'

112'

34'

Lighting

**EXHIBIT "A"**  
NOT TO SCALE  
FOR REFERENCE ONLY



1ST ST

RAILROAD RW

EASEMENT AREA



PIN #  
291-2907-252-0992

224' ON CURVE

179'

12'

15.5'

255'

257' ON CURVE

236' ON CURVE

23' WIDTH

47'

Lift Pump

FULTON ST

1ST ST.

POINT OF BEGINNING

NORTH



EXHIBIT "A"  
NOT TO SCALE  
FOR REFERENCE ONLY

230' N 10 DEGREES EAST

← 350' S 85 DEGREES WEST

CENTER LINE  
OF 12' WIDE  
UTILITY EASEMENT

LOT 2  
CSM 5761  
V.21, PG.79


PIN # 291-2907-264-0998


PROPERTY LINE

LIFT PUMP  
LOCATION

POINT OF  
TERMINATION

WISCONSIN RIVER





**AGENDA ITEM**

Discussion and possible action on obtaining a drainage easement for 1215 Maple Hill Road

**BACKGROUND**

A drainage easement from the property owner at 1225 Maple Hill Road is needed to install a public storm inlet south of the Maple Hill Road sidewalk. The placement of public storm sewer and an inlet is necessary to collect and safely convey the overland flow of stormwater draining off of and behind the residential properties to the south. Currently the stormwater from the hillside overland flows across public sidewalk into Maple Hill Road. Collection of the stormwater prior to flowing across the sidewalk will help eliminate the icing issues experienced late in the fall, winter and spring. A map has been included showing the proposed Maple Hill Road storm sewer and approximate location of the proposed storm inlet and drainage easement.

In addition, the placement of the public storm inlet would allow the residents to the south to collectively work together to install and extend private drain tile to improve the existing drainage issues in their backyards.

Weather permitting, due to a safety hazard, the storm sewer work may be completed by Public Works this fall prior to the acceptance and approval of the drainage easement by Council. The construction costs are estimated at \$14,000.

This item has been to CISM in the past and we were attempting to get an easement for 1215 Maple Hill Road; however, at that time the home owner was not in favor of placing an inlet behind the walk on their property. The property owner at 1225 Maple Hill Road is in favor the proposed project. The City will work with the property owner in the near future to obtain the drainage easement.


**FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fee for the acquisition of the drainage easement.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends acceptance and approval of the drainage easement for the installation of the storm sewer.

Staff contact: Sean Gehin 715-261-6748




# Maple Hill Road Storm Sewer Extension

City of Wausau  
Marathon County, Wisconsin

**NOTES:**  
 1. DUPLICATION OF THIS MAP IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE CITY OF WAUSAU ENGINEERING DEPT.  
 2. THIS MAP WAS COMPILED AND DEVELOPED BY THE CITY OF WAUSAU AND MARATHON COUNTY GIS. THE CITY AND COUNTY ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.  
 3. MAP FEATURES DEVELOPED FROM APRIL 2010 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY.

- Map Notes:**
- 1) Spring 2012 Aerial Photo shown hereon.
  - 2) Spring 2010 contours shown hereon.



**Legend**

Existing Storm Main	Existing Storm Inlet
Existing Storm Manhole	Parcels

